

Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery 2019/20



L.J. McLeay and G. E. Hooper

**SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-10
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1073**

**SARDI Aquatics Sciences
PO Box 120 Henley Beach SA 5022**

September 2020

Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture

**Gulf St Vincent Prawn
Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus
Fishery 2019/20**

Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture

L.J. McLeay and G. E. Hooper

**SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-10
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1073**

September 2020

This publication may be cited as:

McLeay, L.J. and Hooper, G. E. (2020). Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery 2019/20. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-10. SARDI Research Report Series No. 1073. 44p.

South Australian Research and Development Institute

SARDI Aquatic Sciences
2 Hamra Avenue
West Beach SA 5024

Telephone: (08) 8207 5400

Facsimile: (08) 8207 5415

<http://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research>

DISCLAIMER

The authors warrant that they have taken all reasonable care in producing this report. The report has been through the SARDI internal review process, and has been formally approved for release by the Research Director, Aquatic Sciences. Although all reasonable efforts have been made to ensure quality, SARDI does not warrant that the information in this report is free from errors or omissions. SARDI and its employees do not warrant or make any representation regarding the use, or results of the use, of the information contained herein as regards to its correctness, accuracy, reliability and currency or otherwise. SARDI and its employees expressly disclaim all liability or responsibility to any person using the information or advice. Use of the information and data contained in this report is at the user's sole risk. If users rely on the information they are responsible for ensuring by independent verification its accuracy, currency or completeness. The SARDI Report Series is an Administrative Report Series which has not been reviewed outside the department and is not considered peer-reviewed literature. Material presented in these Administrative Reports may later be published in formal peer-reviewed scientific literature.

© 2020 SARDI

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act* 1968 (Cth), no part may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission.

SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-10
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1073

Author(s): L.J. McLeay and G. E. Hooper

Reviewer(s): S. Mayfield, J. Smart (SARDI) and S. Shanks (PIRSA)

Approved by: Dr S. Mayfield
Science Leader - Fisheries

Signed:



Date: 28 September 2020

Distribution: PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture, SAASC Library, Parliamentary Library, State Library and National Library

Circulation: Public Domain

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
LIST OF TABLES	VI
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VII
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1. Overview	3
1.2. Description of the fishery.....	3
1.2.1. Access	3
1.2.2. Management arrangements	6
1.3. Western King Prawn biology, biosecurity and prawn health	9
1.4. Research program.....	10
1.5. Information sources used for assessment	10
1.6. Stock status classification.....	11
2. METHODS	14
2.1. Fishery-independent surveys	14
2.2. Fishery statistics	17
2.2.1. Catch and effort.....	17
2.2.2. Prawn size	17
2.3. Catch rate standardisation.....	18
3. RESULTS	19
3.1. Fishery-independent surveys	19
3.1.1. CPUE.....	19
3.1.2. Recruitment.....	21
3.1.3. Prawn Size.....	21
3.1.4. Spatial patterns in CPUE and size	22
3.2. Fishery statistics	24
3.2.1. Catch and effort.....	24
3.2.2. CPUE.....	26
3.2.3. Spatial patterns in catch and effort	28
3.2.4. Prawn size	30
4. DISCUSSION.....	33
4.1. Information sources used for assessment	33
4.1.1. Fishery-independent data.....	33
4.1.2. Fishery-dependent data	33
4.2. Status	34
4.3. Future research needs	35
5. REFERENCES.....	37
6. APPENDICES	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Double-rig trawl gear and location of hopper sorting and prawn grading systems used in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery..	4
Figure 2. Trawl net configuration showing trawl boards, head rope, ground chain and codend with crab bag as used in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.	4
Figure 3. The research management blocks for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.....	5
Figure 4. The 109 survey stations specified in the 2017 Management Plan and regions of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.....	16
Figure 5. Key outputs from May fishery-independent surveys: (a) nominal catch per unit effort (CPUE, kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹) from diamond and T90-mesh; (b) standardised versus nominal catch per unit effort (CPUE, kg.trawl shot ⁻¹) (diamond net); (c) the FIS recruitment index (FRI) (recruits.h ⁻¹); and (d) prawn size (prawns.kg ⁻¹)..	20
Figure 6. Catch rate and mean size of prawns sampled from the diamond-mesh codend during May FIS' in the GSVPF between 2011/12 and 2019/20.....	23
Figure 7. Fishery-dependent catch and effort data outputs for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery; (a) Annual catch (t) and (b) effort (vessel-nights) separated by early spawning (November–December), late spawning (January–March) and non-spawning (April–October) and (c) annual commercial effort (hours * 1000).....	25
Figure 8. Comparison of standardised annual commercial CPUE and nominal annual commercial CPUE (kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹) in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 1990/91–2019/2020..	27
Figure 9. The number of blocks fished pre–Christmas (November and December) and all other months in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 1989/90–2019/20.....	28
Figure 10. The annual catch per fishing block in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2009/10–2019/20.....	29
Figure 11. a) Size-grade composition (%) of annual harvests in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2005/06–2019/20; b) Temporal trends in harvest composition of extra-large (XL) and large prawns; c) Temporal trends in harvest composition of medium and small prawns.....	31

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Key Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery statistics between 2016/17 and 2019/20.	2
Table 2. Major management milestones for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.	8
Table 3. Current management arrangements for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.	9
Table 4. Stock status terminology (Flood <i>et al.</i> 2014).	12
Table 5. Decision rules for classifying the status of Western King Prawn stock in GSV..	13
Table 6. Categories assigned to reported prawn grades from commercial logbook data for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.	18
Table 7. Analysis of deviance (Type II test) for the GLM used to standardise survey CPUE for the GSVPF.	21
Table 8. Analysis of deviance (Type II test) for the GLM used to standardise annual commercial catch in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.	26
Table 9. Size-grade composition (t) of annual harvests in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2005/06–2019/20.	32

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funds for this research were provided by PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture, obtained through licence fees. SARDI Aquatic Sciences provided substantial in-kind support. Thanks go to the Gulf St Vincent prawn fishers for their contributions to surveys (including vessel time and personnel). Observer work during the May survey was undertaken by Lachlan McLeay, Graham Hooper, Mark Sutcliffe, Stephanie Garra, Peter Hawthorne, Andrew Hogg, David Delaine, Doug Graske, Gretta Chaplin and Owen Burnell. The catch and effort data from the SARDI Information Management System were entered by Nicole Bowden and provided by Melleessa Boyle of the Information Systems and Database Support Unit at SARDI Aquatic Sciences. We thank Dr Craig Noell for his assistance with statistical procedures. The report was formally reviewed by Dr Stephen Mayfield and Dr Jonathan Smart of SARDI Aquatic Sciences, and Steve Shanks of PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture, and approved for release by Dr Stephen Mayfield, Science Leader, Fisheries (SARDI Aquatic Sciences).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report determines the current status of the Western King Prawn (*Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*) stock in Gulf St Vincent (GSV) through analysis of data collected by several long-term monitoring programs.

In 2019/20, the total commercial catch of Western King Prawn in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery (GSVVPF) was 132.3 t, with an additional 2.0 t taken in the May 2020 fishery-independent survey (FIS). Fishing was conducted over 204 vessel-nights, comprising 82% of the Total Allowable Commercial Effort (TACE). All 40 allocated pre-Christmas vessel-nights were fished (Table 1).

The Department of Primary Industries and Regions has adopted the National Fishery Status Reporting Framework (NFSRF) to determine the status of all South Australian fish stocks. The current management plan for the GSVVPF provides the decision rules for classifying stock status relative to limit, trigger and target reference points defined for three performance indicators relating to stock abundance and recruitment (PIRSA 2017). The performance indicators are: 1) standardised annual commercial CPUE; 2) standardised FIS CPUE; and 3) the FIS recruitment index (FRI).

Estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE were in the high range ($\geq 900 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{block}^{-1}\cdot\text{vessel-night}^{-1}$) in 2015/16 and within the target range (≥ 750 and $< 900 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{block}^{-1}\cdot\text{vessel-night}^{-1}$) from 2016/17 to 2019/20. In 2019/20, standardised annual commercial CPUE was **792 kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹**, a decrease of 8.5% since 2018/19 ($866 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{block}^{-1}\cdot\text{vessel-night}^{-1}$), and the lowest estimate recorded since the fishery reopened in 2014/15.

Estimates of standardised FIS CPUE remained in the high range ($\geq 30 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{trawl-shot}^{-1}$) between 2013/14 and 2017/18. In 2018/19, standardised FIS CPUE was $28.6 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{trawl-shot}^{-1}$, declining to within the target range (≥ 25 to $< 30 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{trawl-shot}^{-1}$). In 2019/20, standardised FIS CPUE declined 22.7% further to **22.1 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹**, the lowest estimate since the fishery reopened in 2014/15, and within the trigger range (≥ 20 to $< 25 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{trawl-shot}^{-1}$).

The FIS recruitment index (FRI) remained in the high range ($\geq 600 \text{ recruits}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) in the four annual May surveys between 2015/16–2018/19. In 2019/20, the FRI was **597.2 ± 88.5 recruits.h⁻¹**, which was 28.5% lower than in 2018/19 ($834.8 \pm 102.6 \text{ recruits}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) and within the target range of ≥ 450 to $< 600 \text{ recruits}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$.

The trends in the performance indicators observed in last year's assessment, and now in 2019/20, signal uncertainty in relation to the GSVVPF's future performance. Declines in standardised annual commercial CPUE and standardised FIS CPUE, first observed in 2018/19,

and again in 2019/20, are indicative of reductions in prawn abundance. Also, decreases in prawn size estimated from the FIS' and commercial prawn-grade data since 2014/15 indicate that fishing mortality has likely caused changes in the stock size-structure exceeding that which produces maximum yield per recruit. To minimise the risk to stock sustainability, future fishery exploitation rates will need to be low enough to stabilise the level of stock biomass and reverse the declines in prawn size observed.

When the 2019/20 estimates for each performance indicator are applied in the decision matrix used to classify the stock status of Western King Prawn in GSV, the fishery is classified as **'sustainable'**.

Table 1. Key Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery statistics between 2016/17 and 2019/20. Note, estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE and standardised FIS CPUE will differ in each status report as data are updated within the Generalised Linear Model.

Statistic	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
Total allowable commercial effort (TACE)	300 nights (50 pre-Christmas)	300 nights (50 pre-Christmas)	300 nights (50 pre-Christmas)	250 nights (40 pre-Christmas)
Total commercial catch	224.6 t (+2.9 t from survey)	236.6 t (+3.5 t from survey)	212.2 t (+2.5 t from survey)	132.3 t (+2.0 t from survey)
Total effort	287 vessel nights (49 pre-Christmas) 2,836 hours	295 vessel nights (50 pre-Christmas) 2,892 hours	300 vessel nights (50 pre-Christmas) 2,935 hours	204 vessel nights (40 pre-Christmas) 1,984 hours
Standardised annual commercial CPUE	882 kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹	891 kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹	866 kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹	792 kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹
Standardised FIS CPUE	32.8 kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹	35.0 kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹	28.6 kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹	22.1 kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹
FIS Recruitment Index (FRI)	784.4 recruits.h ⁻¹	670.3 recruits.h ⁻¹	834.8 recruits.h ⁻¹	597.2 recruits.h ⁻¹
Status	Sustainable	Sustainable	Sustainable	Sustainable

Keywords: Western King Prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*, trawl fishery, Gulf St Vincent, South Australia.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview

Stock assessments for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery (GSVVPF) are part of the South Australian Research and Development Institute's (SARDI) (Aquatic Sciences) ongoing fisheries' assessment program. This report assesses the current status of the Western King Prawn (*Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*) (Kishinouye 1896) stock in Gulf St Vincent (GSV) and includes new data from the 2019/20 fishing season.

The report has three objectives: 1) present information relating to the fishery and biology of Western King Prawn; 2) assess the 2019/20 status of the Western King Prawn stock resource in Gulf St Vincent; and 3) identify future directions for the research program.

1.2. Description of the fishery

1.2.1. Access

Three commercial prawn fisheries occur within South Australia: the Spencer Gulf Prawn Fishery (SGPF); the West Coast Prawn Fishery (WCPF); and the GSVVPF. The SGPF is the largest prawn fishery in South Australia in terms of total catch and number of licences (39). The WCPF is the smallest of the prawn fisheries with three licences. There are currently ten commercial fishing licences issued for the GSVVPF.

All three prawn fisheries use demersal otter trawls to target Western King Prawn at night between sunset and sunrise (Figure 1). Trawls tow funnel-shaped nets along the sea floor and retain the catch in bags located at the end of the net (most commonly referred to as codends) (Figure 2). Licence holders in the GSVVPF are permitted to retain and sell two species of by-product harvested incidentally during prawn trawling: Balmain Bug (*Ibacus* spp.) and Southern Calamari (*Sepioteuthis australis*). A smaller penaeid (*Metapenaeopsis crassima*) is also permitted to be retained in South Australian waters but is of low commercial value.

The fishing season of the GSVVPF occurs from 1 November to 31 July of the following year with a closure in January and February. Fishing during the season generally occurs between the last quarter and first quarter of the moon and is permitted in depths greater than 10 m north of the geodesic joining GSV, Investigator Strait and Backstairs Passage (PIRSA 2017). The GSVVPF is divided into 121 prawn fishing blocks for research and management purposes (Figure 3). The major home ports for GSVVPF vessels are Port Adelaide and North Haven.




Figure 1. Double-rig trawl gear and location of hopper sorting and prawn grading systems used in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. Figure from Carrick (2003).




Figure 2. Trawl net configuration showing trawl boards, head rope, ground chain and codend with crab bag as used in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. Figure from Carrick (2003).




Figure 3. The research management blocks for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. South Australian Marine Park Zoning also shown.

1.2.2. Management arrangements

The *Fisheries Management Act 2007* provides the legislative framework for the management of fisheries resources in South Australia. General regulations for South Australia's prawn fisheries are described in the *Fisheries Management (General) Regulations 2017*, with specific regulations located in the *Fisheries Management (Prawn Fisheries) Regulations 2017*. These three documents provide the overarching statutory framework for management of the GSVPF.

Management arrangements for the GSVPF have evolved since the fishery's inception in 1967 (Table 2). Between 1967 and 1979, the number of licences increased to a total of 22 before being reduced to 10 by 1990. Following licence rationalisation in 1990, the Western King Prawn stock was considered overfished, and the fishery was closed during the 1991/92 and 1992/93 fishing seasons to allow recovery. The fishery reopened in 1993/94, and in 1998, the first management plan for the fishery was introduced. From 2004/05, four surveys per season were undertaken. A second management plan was implemented in 2007 (Dixon and Sloan 2007).

A review of the GSVPF in 2011 (Knuckey *et al.* 2011) recommended that the fleet improve its operating efficiencies. Two key management changes were subsequently implemented for the 2011/12 season: 1) the number of surveys used to manage the fishery during the fishing season was reduced to two (i.e. April and May); and 2) in March 2012, all trawl nets used for commercial fishing were modified to T90-mesh codends and fitted with Nordmøre-grids to improve catch selectivity, reduce levels of bycatch and facilitate the escapement of small prawns (Dixon *et al.* 2013).

Due to poor economic performance, the fishery was closed again in 2012 at the request of licence holders. Following the fishery's closure in 2012/13–2013/14, a new management framework was developed with stakeholder input and implemented in November 2014 (PIRSA 2014). The management framework included an individual transferrable effort (ITE) system, with transferrable fishing nights as the effort unit. From 2013/14, the number of surveys was further reduced to one undertaken in May.

From 2014/15, under the new management framework, control rules for spatial management, mean prawn size and mean nightly catches per vessel were adopted within the St Vincent Gulf Prawn Boat Owner's Association (SVGPBOA) Industry Code of Practice. The Industry Code of Practice is a non-legislated document that describes the expected activities for licence holders in the GSVPF.

Transferrable fishing nights set prior to the commencement of the season on 1 November are restricted to the fishing nights set for the respective periods (pre-Christmas:

November to December; post-Christmas: March to July). A revised daily logbook and nightly fishing reports were also implemented from 2014/15. The daily reports require licence holders to provide more detailed spatial information (start and end coordinates of each trawl-shot), and the nightly fishing reports provide a summary of the total catch unloaded per grade within 48 hours of unloading. Also, in November 2015, restrictions on the construction of the T90 codend were modified so that no more than 33 meshes were made up of standard mesh. Previously, between March 2012 and November 2015, no more than 10 meshes were allowed.

The latest management plan for the fishery was implemented in April 2017 and provides the principal policy arrangements and harvest strategy for management of the fishery (PIRSA 2017). The GSVPF is currently a limited-entry (10 licence holders) fishery, which is managed by gear restrictions and a restriction on the number of fishing nights. Fishing takes place between sunset and sunrise. No trawling is permitted in waters shallower than 10 m. Current management arrangements are summarised in Table 3.

The harvest strategy listed within the management plan for the fishery uses decision rules for setting the total number of fishing nights (TACE) and number of pre-Christmas fishing nights each season (PIRSA 2017). The decision rules are based on limit, trigger and target reference points defined for three performance indicators relating to stock abundance and recruitment: 1) standardised annual commercial catch per unit effort (CPUE); 2) standardised fishery-independent survey (FIS) CPUE; and 3) the FIS recruitment index (FRI). These indicators are also used to determine the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (see Section 1.6).

Table 2. Major management milestones for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.

Date	Management Change
1967	Commercial prawn fishing commences in GSV.
1968	All SA waters closed to trawling except for specific managed zones for which permits are offered and all waters less than ten metres are closed to trawling.
1969	The <i>Preservation of Prawn Resources Regulations 1969</i> is introduced and vessels licensed to fish for prawns.
1975	The fishery is split into two zones: 1) Gulf Saint Vincent; and 2) Investigator Strait with eight permits issued to specifically fish in Investigator Strait (Copes 1990).
1977	Total number of licences issued in Gulf Saint Vincent reaches 14. Total number of fishers in both zones (Gulf Saint Vincent and Investigator Strait) reaches 22.
1982	Number of Investigator Strait zone permits reduced from eight to two. Total number of fishers in both zones (Gulf Saint Vincent and Investigator Strait) reaches 16.
1982	Triple rig trawl nets introduced.
1986	A review of management was completed by Prof Parzival Copes.
1986	A licence rationalisation strategy was implemented as an outcome of the review.
1987	The <i>Fisheries (Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery Rationalisation) Act 1987</i> is introduced.
1987	Two Investigator Strait entitlements are removed. Four GSVPF licences are also removed over the next four years and the two zones (Investigator Strait and Gulf Saint Vincent) are once again amalgamated.
1990	Prof Parzival Copes was requested to complete his second review of the fishery.
1990	A total of 10 licences is now issued in the GSVPF.
1991	Fishery closed in June.
1991	A Select Committee of the House of Assembly of South Australia reviewed the fishery's management options.
1994	The fishery re-opened in February.
1995	A review of the fishery was conducted by Dr Gary Morgan.
1998	The first management plan for the fishery was introduced (Zacharin 1997).
2000	<i>Fisheries (General) Regulations 2000</i> enabled "large" vessels to enter the fleet.
2007	The second management plan was implemented (Dixon and Sloan 2007).
2011	A review of the fishery was undertaken by (Knuckey <i>et al.</i> 2011).
2012	The fishery was closed in November by unanimous agreement of industry. The T90-mesh codend was introduced.
2013	Morgan and Cartwright (2013) completed a review of the fishery management framework.
2014	A review of the stock assessment methods, processes and outputs was undertaken (Dichmont 2014). The fishery reopened in November 2014. Individual transferable units were introduced. A revised framework for longer-term management of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery was developed.
2017	The third management Plan for the South Australian Commercial Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery was approved by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and Fisheries (PIRSA 2017).

Table 3. Current management arrangements for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery.

Management tool	Current restriction
Permitted species	Western King Prawn (<i>Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus</i>), Balmain Bug (<i>Ibacus</i> spp.), Southern Calamari (<i>Sepioteuthis australis</i>).
Limited entry	10 licences
Licence transferability	Permitted
Corporate ownership	Permitted
Spatial and temporal closures	No fishing <10m depth or in aquatic reserves or marine parks (sanctuary zones/benthic protection areas)
Method of capture	Demersal otter trawl
Trawl rig	Single, double or triple
Trawling times	Not during daylight hours
Maximum combined headline length	27.43 or 29.26 m (non-amalgamated gear), 43.89 m (amalgamated gear)
Minimum codend mesh size	58 mm
Maximum vessel length	22 m
Maximum vessel power	336 kW
Catch and effort data	Daily logbook and catch disposal logbook submitted after each trip
Landing locations	Landings permitted anywhere in the State
Landing times	Landings permitted at any time during the season

1.3. Western King Prawn biology, biosecurity and prawn health

Previous stock assessment and stock status reports detail information relating to the distribution and habitat preferences, movement, growth, reproductive biology and life history and stock structure of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (e.g. Dixon *et al.* 2011; 2012; Beckmann *et al.* 2015; 2016; McLeay *et al.* 2017; McLeay and Hooper 2018; 2019).

The potential effects of coastal pollutants, parasites and disease on growth, survival, reproduction and the overall health of Western King Prawn populations in South Australia is poorly understood. Roberts *et al.* (2010) assessed the disease status of prawns (focusing on viruses) collected from key nursery sites in both Spencer Gulf and Gulf St Vincent. A naturally occurring (endemic), and likely harmless, monodon-type baculovirus (MBV) was observed in ~60% of prawns. The MBV is a common virus known to occur throughout Australia.

White spot disease (WSD) is a highly contagious and lethal viral disease that was first detected in farmed prawns in south-east Queensland in December 2016. The virus can

cause high rates of mortality in affected prawns and is currently the subject of a national surveillance program. Most recently, the virus was detected on two prawn farms on the Logan River and in Moreton Bay in southern Queensland ([National Pest and Disease Outbreaks](#)). To date, all samples that have been collected and tested within jurisdictions outside of Queensland have returned negative results.

1.4. Research program

There have been numerous fisheries' research projects relevant to the Western King Prawn stock in GSV. Research has been undertaken to investigate population dynamics and biology (King 1977; Kangas 1999; Xiao and McShane 2000; Tanner and Deakin 2001; Roberts *et al.* 2012), stock structure (Carrick 2003), biosecurity and disease (Roberts *et al.* 2009), fishing gear technology (McShane 1996; Broadhurst *et al.* 1999; Dixon *et al.* 2013; Gorman and Dixon 2015), trawling impacts (Tanner 2003) and to develop fisheries models (Xiao 2004). Previous stock assessment and stock status reports detail the biological information and the history of commercial catch used to assess the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (Kangas and Jackson 1997; Xiao and McShane 1998; Boxshall *et al.* 1999; Boxshall and Williams 2000; Boxshall and Johnson 2001; Svane 2003; Svane and Johnson 2003; Roberts *et al.* 2007a, 2007b; 2008; 2009; Hooper *et al.* 2009; Dixon *et al.* 2011; 2012; Beckmann *et al.* 2015; 2016; McLeay *et al.* 2017; McLeay and Hooper 2018; 2019).

From 2011 to 2014, three separate independent reviews of the stock assessment and harvest strategy for the GSVPF were conducted (Knuckey *et al.* 2011; Morgan and Cartwright 2013; Dichmont 2014). As a result of these reviews, there has been a rationalisation of the research program. The principal change was a reduction from four fishery-independent surveys (FIS') per year to one (conducted in May). The focus of the 2019/20 research program is to assess the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV using fishery-independent and -dependent information collected from the GSVPF during the 2019/20 fishing season.

1.5. Information sources used for assessment

Fishery-independent data have been collected for the GSVPF using surveys coordinated by SARDI since 1984. SARDI also maintains a comprehensive fishery-dependent catch and effort database for the GSVPF using data obtained from South Australian Fishing Industry Council (SAFIC) annual reports between 1968 and 1987. Data from July 1987 were obtained from daily commercial logbooks provided to SARDI by GSVPF licence holders.

1.6. Stock status classification

A National Fishery Status Reporting Framework (NFSRF) was developed to enable the consistent assessment of the status of Australian fish stocks (Flood *et al.* 2012, 2014; Stewardson *et al.* 2016, 2018). The NFSRF of Flood *et al.* (2014), which the management plan for the GSVPF refers to (PIRSA 2017), considers whether the current level of fishing pressure is adequately controlled to ensure that stock abundance is not reduced to a point where recruitment is impaired. The system combines information on both the current stock size and level of catch into a single classification for each stock against defined biological reference points. The stock is then classified as 'sustainable', 'transitional-recovering', 'transitional-depleting', 'overfished', 'environmentally limited', or 'undefined' (Table 4).

Table 4. Stock status terminology (Flood *et al.* 2014).

	Stock status	Description	Potential implications for management of the stock
	Sustainable	Stock for which biomass (or biomass proxy) is at a level sufficient to ensure that, on average, future levels of recruitment are adequate (i.e. not recruitment overfished) and for which fishing pressure is adequately controlled to avoid the stock becoming recruitment overfished	Appropriate management is in place
↑	Transitional-recovering	Recovering stock—biomass is recruitment overfished, but management measures are in place to promote stock recovery, and recovery is occurring	Appropriate management is in place, and the stock biomass is recovering
↓	Transitional-depleting	Deteriorating stock—biomass is not yet recruitment overfished, but fishing pressure is too high and moving the stock in the direction of becoming recruitment overfished	Management is needed to reduce fishing pressure and ensure that the biomass does not deplete to an overfished state
	Overfished	Spawning stock biomass has been reduced through catch, so that average recruitment levels are significantly reduced (i.e. recruitment overfished). Current management is not adequate to recover the stock, or adequate management measures have been put in place but have not yet resulted in measurable improvements	Management is needed to recover this stock; if adequate management measures are already in place, more time may be required for them to take effect
	Environmentally limited	Spawning stock biomass has been reduced to the point where average recruitment levels are significantly reduced, primarily as a result of substantial environmental changes/impacts, or disease outbreaks (i.e. the stock is not recruitment overfished). Fisheries management has responded appropriately to the environmental change in productivity	Appropriate management is in place
	Undefined	Not enough information exists to determine stock status	Data required to assess stock status are needed

PIRSA has adopted this classification system to determine the status of all South Australian fish stocks. Status is categorised for the Western King Prawn stock in GSV from a combination of three performance indicators as defined in the harvest strategy for the fishery (PIRSA 2017):

1. Standardised annual commercial CPUE ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{block}^{-1}\cdot\text{vessel-night}^{-1}$) that is estimated from daily commercial logbook data provided to SARDI.
2. Standardised FIS CPUE ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{trawl-shot}^{-1}$) that is estimated from data collected in the May FIS.
3. FRI ($\text{recruits}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) that is estimated from data collected in the May FIS.

To categorise stock status, estimates for each of the three performance indicators are assessed in relation to how they align against limit, trigger and target reference points for each performance indicator as defined in the harvest strategy for the fishery (Table 5) (PIRSA 2017).

Table 5. Decision rules for classifying the status of Western King Prawn stock in GSV (PIRSA 2017). *Transitional may refer to transitional depleting, or transitional recovering. Transitional depleting is based on the definition in Flood *et al.* (2014) as “biomass is not yet recruitment overfished, but fishing pressure is too high and moving the stock in the direction of becoming recruitment overfished”. Transitional Recovering is based on the definition in Flood *et al.* (2014) as “biomass is recruitment overfished but management measures are in place to promote stock recovery and recovery is occurring”.

		Performance Indicator – Standardised Annual Commercial CPUE (kg.block ⁻¹ .vessel-night ⁻¹)			
		Limit <600	Trigger ≥600 - <750	Target ≥750 - <900	High ≥900
Performance Indicator - Standardised FIS CPUE (kg.trawl-shot ⁻¹)	Limit <20	Overfished	Transitional* OR Overfished when FRI <450 recruits.h ⁻¹	Transitional* OR Sustainable when FRI ≥450 recruits.h ⁻¹	Sustainable
	Trigger ≥20-<25	Transitional* OR Overfished when FRI <450 recruits.h ⁻¹	Transitional* OR Sustainable when FRI ≥450 recruits.h ⁻¹		
	Target ≥25-<30	Transitional* OR Sustainable when FRI ≥450 recruits.h ⁻¹			
	High ≥30				

2. METHODS

2.1. Fishery-independent surveys

Surveys using commercial vessels with fishery-independent observers on-board, were conducted in GSV prior to the December, March, April and May harvest periods from 2004/05 to 2010/11, in April and May in 2011/12, and in May from 2013/14. This report used data from May surveys only to enable temporal comparison of the FIS data collected since 2004/05.

Surveys were typically done using three to six vessels over two or three consecutive nights commencing on the second and third nights following the last quarter of the moon. There were two exceptions; 1) 2004/05 to 2007/2008, when surveys were conducted during one night on the dark of the moon with approximately ten vessels and, 2) the 2013/2014 survey, which was extended over the dark phase of the moon with only one vessel participating. From 2004/05 to 2018/19, the number of sites sampled ranged from 94–112, except for 2013/14 where a reduced survey of 47 sites was completed. The survey in May 2020 included data from a total of 92 of the 109 survey locations defined under the Management Plan (PIRSA 2017) (Figure 4).

Survey shots were done at semi-fixed sites in the ten regions of the GSVPF (Figure 4). Each shot began close to a known location (recorded by Global Positioning System, GPS) and then continued in a set direction for a specified period of time (30 minutes). The total distance covered was dependent on trawl speed, which was influenced by vessel power, tide and weather conditions. Data collected for each shot location included the total catch weight, catch weight of each prawn size grade, number of nets used, trawl duration, tide direction, and the number of prawns in a 7 kg bucket ('bucket count' - recorded before grading of the catch has occurred and used as a rapid measure to estimate prawn size).

The number of prawns in a 7 kg subsample was used to estimate mean prawn size (N prawns.kg⁻¹), with higher estimates representing smaller prawns and lower estimates representing larger prawns. The GPS locations of each trawl station were used to map prawn size and CPUE across the survey area. To depict the spatial distribution of catch rate and prawn size, prawns were defined as 'small' (>32 prawns.kg⁻¹), 'medium' (30–32 prawns.kg⁻¹), 'large' (28–30 prawns.kg⁻¹) and 'extra-large' (<28 prawns.kg⁻¹), and CPUE was defined as 'very low' (<10 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹), 'low' (10–20 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹), 'moderate' (20–40 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹), 'high' (40–60 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹) and 'very high' (>60 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹).

A random sample of 100 prawns is also taken from each shot to obtain information on sex ratio and length-frequency. Length-frequency measurements are used to determine the FRI that is estimated from the catch rate of recruits per trawl-hour, with 'recruits' defined by prawn size: <33 mm CL for males and <35 mm CL for females.

T90-mesh (conventional diamond net turned 90 degrees) codends with bycatch reduction grids were introduced into the GSVPF in 2012 to reduce discards. However, the reference levels relating to standardised FIS CPUE ($\text{kg.trawl-shot}^{-1}$) and FRI (recruits.h^{-1}) described within the current harvest strategy were derived from historical data collected from diamond-mesh codends only since 2005. Consequently, only data collected from diamond-mesh codends since 2005 were used to estimate standardised FIS CPUE and FRI in the 2019/20 season because these data directly inform stock status determination. Data from T90 mesh codends are collected during the FIS to enable potential calibration with data collected from diamond-mesh codends, and are presented for initial comparison.




Figure 4. The 109 survey stations specified in the 2017 Management Plan and regions of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. The northern gulf (pink) includes Region 1 (RG1) and Region 6 (RG6), the central gulf (green) includes Region 2 (RG2), Region 5 (RG5) and Little Hole (LIH), and the southern gulf (blue) includes Deep Hole (DPH), Southern Deep Hole (SDH), Region 3 (RG3), Region 4 (RG4) and Investigator Strait (INV).

2.2. Fishery statistics

2.2.1. Catch and effort

Fishery catch (t) and effort (vessel-nights or trawl hours) data are presented for data since the 1968/69 fishing season. In this report, a 'fishing season' is defined as the period from 1 November to 31 July of the following year. Fisher-estimated prawn catch for each shot was adjusted using validated post-harvest catches reported in monthly logbooks. Catch and effort data include fishery-dependent 'searching' and spot survey catches, as well as FIS catches that are retained for sale by the SVGPBOA.

The main spawning period for Western King Prawns in GSV extends from November to March, so catch and effort data are also presented for early (November–December), late (January–March) and non-spawning (April–October) periods. The spatial distribution of the annual harvest per fishing block is presented from 2006/07–2019/20, however, confidential data (<5 licence holders) are not shown.

All data are entered and maintained by SARDI Aquatic Sciences. Data are checked for errors during data entry, and further validated via electronic lookup tables and code-driven software that is activated during data entry and reporting operations. SARDI staff contact licence holders to correct any errors or missing information identified in the quality assurance process.

2.2.2. Prawn size

Mandatory reporting of commercial prawn-grade data in daily logbooks was introduced in 2005/06. The grade is determined from the number of prawns to the pound (e.g. 'U10' = under 10 prawns per pound). To facilitate interpretation of the prawn-grade data, grades were assigned to four size categories (Table 6). Soft and broken prawns that were not graded, were assigned to a fifth category ('S&B'). Data presented are from commercial fishing nights only and reported as the proportion of the total catch occurring in each of the size classes (see Dixon *et al.* 2012).

Uncertainty associated with the calculation of prawn size from grade data arises from: 1) data not being available from the entire fleet for all seasons; 2) differences in the grading among the vessels; and 3) uncertainty associated with the unvalidated grade data provided in logbooks (Dixon *et al.* 2012).

Table 6. Categories assigned to reported prawn grades from commercial logbook data for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. The grade is determined from the number of prawns to the pound (e.g. 'U10' = under 10 prawns per pound).

Prawn grade	Categories in logbook
Extra Large	U6 U8, XL U10, L
Large	9/12 U12 LM 10/15 13/15 10/20 (50%), 12/18 (50%)
Medium	10/20 (50%), 12/18 (50%) 16/20, M
Small	SM, 19/25 21/25 S, 20+, 21/30 26+ 30+, 31/40
Soft & Broken	S/B, B&D, MIX, REJ, SMS, blank, ERR

2.3. Catch rate standardisation

CPUE is commonly used as an indicator of relative biomass (stock abundance) in crustacean fisheries worldwide. However, to improve the relationship between CPUE and relative biomass, it is important to standardise CPUE to account for the influence of variables that are not related to changes in population size. Generalised linear models (GLMs) within R statistical software (v 3.2.2, R Development Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing) were used to standardise FIS CPUE ($\text{kg.trawl-shot}^{-1}$) since 2005 and annual commercial CPUE ($\text{kg.block}^{-1}.\text{vessel-night}^{-1}$) since 1990/91, as per the methods in Noell *et al.* (2015). Models incorporated a Gaussian error structure, with cubic-root transformation and identity link function.

Estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE will differ from previous reports as new data from the latest season (e.g. 2019/20) are added to the GLM. Variables used in the GLM for standardising FIS CPUE were fishing year-survey, region and vessel, while those for standardising annual commercial CPUE were fishing year, month, region, lunar phase, effort, licence number and cloud cover. Luminosity data were obtained from the [NASA Horizons Web-interface](#). Cloud cover data were downloaded from [Bureau of Meteorology](#) as daily weather observations. Standardised estimates of CPUE are presented with 95% confidence intervals.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Fishery-independent surveys

3.1.1. CPUE

Nominal FIS CPUE, measured from diamond mesh, declined from 42.3 ± 3.5 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹ in 2007/08 to a historical low of 22.3 ± 2.1 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹ in 2011/12 prior to the fishery being closed in 2012/13 and 2013/14 (Figure 5a). The fishery was then reopened in 2014/15, following a reduced survey in 2013/14 that recorded a nominal FIS CPUE of 56.5 ± 5.0 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹. By 2016/17, nominal FIS CPUE declined to 33.1 ± 2.4 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹, then declined further to 28.1 ± 2.1 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹ in 2018/19. In 2019/20, nominal FIS CPUE was 22.5 ± 2.1 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹, a decrease of 32.0% since 2016/17. Consequently, a decline in nominal FIS CPUE since the last closure of 2011/12–2012/13 is evident, and FIS CPUE is now similar to the level reached prior to the closure. Nominal seasonal estimates of FIS CPUE measured from the T90-mesh since 2011/12 are 12.3–46.6% lower than those estimated from diamond mesh but follow a similar trend (Figure 5a).

Fishing year-survey, region and vessel were all highly significant variables in the GLM used to standardise nominal estimates of FIS CPUE (Table 7). However, a low overall model goodness-of-fit (adjusted R^2 value 0.14) indicates other sources of variability are unaccounted for. A total of 15% of the deviance in survey catches was explained by the model. The model term 'fishing year-survey' explained 7.8% of the deviance. The model term 'region' explained 5.4% of the deviance and 'vessel' explained 1.8% of the deviance, indicating that 84.9% of the deviance was caused by unknown factors.

Trends in standardised FIS CPUE and nominal FIS CPUE measured from diamond mesh between 2004/05 and 2019/20 were similar, differing by less than 12.1% in each year surveyed over this period (Figure 5b). Estimates of standardised FIS CPUE remained in the high range for this performance indicator (≥ 30 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹) in surveys undertaken between 2013/14 and 2017/18, ranging from $31.2 [26.3–36.8]$ kg.trawl-shot⁻¹, in 2014/15 to $53.7 [43.4–65.4]$ kg.trawl-shot⁻¹ in 2013/14 (Figure 5b).

In 2019/20, standardised FIS CPUE was estimated from a total of 92 trawl shots and was $22.1 [18.3–26.3]$ kg.trawl-shot⁻¹, which was a 22.7% decrease in standardised FIS CPUE since 2018/19 ($28.6 [24.2–33.5]$ kg.trawl-shot⁻¹), and falling from the target range (≥ 25 to < 30 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹) to the trigger range (≥ 20 to < 25 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹) for this performance indicator.




Figure 5. Key outputs from May fishery-independent surveys: (a) nominal catch per unit effort (CPUE, kg.trawl-shot⁻¹) from diamond and T90-mesh; (b) standardised versus nominal catch per unit effort (CPUE, kg.trawl shot⁻¹) (diamond net); (c) the FIS recruitment index (FRI) (recruits.h⁻¹); and (d) prawn size (prawns.kg⁻¹). Indices were calculated from 92 completed survey shots in the 2017 Management Plan. Note: T90 and diamond gear were sampled side by side from 2011/12, and a reduced survey was conducted in 2013/14. Error bars = standard error for all metrics except standardised CPUE where error bars are upper and lower (95%) confidence intervals. Grey area indicates the period when no survey occurred during the fishery closure.

Table 7. Analysis of deviance (Type II test) for the GLM used to standardise survey CPUE for the GSVPF. Abbreviations: SS, sum of squares; df, degrees of freedom; *F*, *F*-statistic.

Effect	SS	df	<i>F</i>
Fishing year-survey	279.2	36	9.6***
Region	191.7	7	33.7***
Vessel	65.4	13	6.2***
Residuals	3025.3	3726	NA

Significance: *** $p < 0.001$

3.1.2. Recruitment

Since 2013/14, the FRI has remained in the high range of >600 recruits.hour⁻¹ in all but two seasons (2014/15 and 2019/20) (Figure 5c). In 2019/20, the FRI was estimated from a total of 92 completed trawl shots and was 597.2 ± 88.5 recruits.h⁻¹ (Figure 5c). This estimate is 28.5% lower than the FRI estimate recorded in 2018/19 (834.8 ± 102.6 recruits.h⁻¹) and in the target range of ≥ 450 to <600 recruits.h⁻¹ defined for this performance indicator.

Similar to the patterns in CPUE observed between diamond and T90-mesh since 2011/12 (Section 3.1.1), estimates of FRI from T90-mesh were lower (24.1–63.5%) than those estimated from diamond mesh but followed a similar trend (Figure 5c).

3.1.3. Prawn Size

Mean prawn size (N prawns.kg⁻¹), measured from the 7-kg subsample taken per shot, has an inverse relationship with the number of prawns per kg (i.e. larger mean prawn size = less prawns per kg). From 2004/05 to 2011/12, mean prawn size measured from diamond mesh decreased steadily from 31.0 ± 1.0 to 37.1 ± 1.2 prawns.kg⁻¹ (Figure 5d). Following the fishery closure, mean prawn size in 2013/14 increased 11.2% to 33.0 ± 1.1 prawns.kg⁻¹, and in 2014/15 mean prawn size was the largest on record at 29.3 ± 0.8 prawns.kg⁻¹ (Figure 5d). Mean prawn size then decreased steadily from 2014/15 reaching the lowest estimate on record at 43.2 ± 1.7 prawns.kg⁻¹ in 2018/19. Mean prawn size has increased 10.5% since 2018/19, and in 2019/20 was 38.7 ± 0.9 prawns.kg⁻¹ (Figure 5d).

Trends in mean prawn size estimated from the T90-mesh since May 2012 are similar to those estimated from diamond mesh. However, annual estimates of mean prawn size from T90-mesh are slightly larger (0.1–7.3%) than diamond mesh (Figure 5d).

3.1.4. Spatial patterns in CPUE and size

Between 2011/12 and 2019/20, there was generally a north to south gradient in the size of prawns sampled in each May FIS, with relatively high estimates of CPUE for small and medium prawns (>30 prawns.kg⁻¹) recorded in the north of the fishery, and relatively high estimates of CPUE for extra-large prawns (<28 prawns.kg⁻¹) recorded in the south of the fishery (Figure 6).

In 2011/12, prior to the closure of the fishery (2012/13 to 2013/14), 13.2% of survey sites had 'High' to 'Very High' estimates of CPUE (≥ 40 kg.trawl.shot⁻¹) (≥ 2.9 lb.min⁻¹). In 2013/14, prior to the fishery reopening, 56.5% of sites in the reduced survey recorded 'High' to 'Very High' estimates of CPUE (≥ 40 kg.trawl.shot⁻¹). Similarly, in 2015/16, 52% of sites recorded 'High' to 'Very High' estimates of CPUE. Since 2015/16, the percentage of sites with 'High' to 'Very High' estimates of CPUE has decreased, and in 2019/20, 21.7% of sites recorded estimates of CPUE ≥ 40 kg.trawl.shot⁻¹ (Figure 6).

In 2015/16 and 2016/17, extra-large prawns (<28 prawns.kg⁻¹) were recorded in relatively high abundance (CPUE ≥ 40 kg.trawl.shot⁻¹ (≥ 2.9 lb.min⁻¹)) from 16% of FIS sites, predominantly within the southern part of the fishery in Regions 3 and 5, and the Southern Deep Hole (SDH) (Figure 6). In contrast, estimates of CPUE of ≥ 40 kg.trawl.shot⁻¹ (≥ 2.9 lb.min⁻¹) of extra-large prawns (<28 prawns.kg⁻¹) were recorded from 4% of sites within Regions 2, 3 and 5 (Figure 6) in 2018/19, and 1% of sites in 2019/20 (one site within Region 2) (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Catch rate and mean size of prawns sampled from the diamond-mesh codend during May FIS' in the GSVPF between 2011/12 and 2019/20. A reduced survey was undertaken in 2013/14 following the fishery closure between 2012/13 & 2013/14. Map on bottom right shows the regions used to describe the spatial distribution of catch rate and mean prawn size.

3.2. Fishery statistics

3.2.1. Catch and effort

The total commercial catch of Western King Prawn in the GSVPF in 2019/20 was 132.3 t, with an additional 2.0 t taken in the May 2020 survey (Figure 7a, Appendix 1). The 2019/20 catch was the fifth lowest on record (Range: 1968/69–2019/20) (Figure 7a).

In 2019/20, 38.7 t of the catch was taken during the early spawning period prior to Christmas (November–December), which was 29.3% of the total annual catch, and 11.1% less than that taken in the same period in 2018/19 (43.5 t). The amount of catch taken during the late spawning period (January–March) in 2019/20 (30.8 t) was similar to that taken in the previous three seasons (range: 25.0–29.8 t) (Appendix 1). The highest proportion of catch (62.8 t, 47.5%) taken in 2019/20 was harvested during the non-spawning period (April–July).

In 2019/20, commercial fishing was conducted over 204 vessel-nights and comprised 82% of the TACE (Figure 7b). This is the lowest number of nights on record between 1989/90 and 2019/20 (Figure 7b). All 40 allocated pre-Christmas vessel-nights were fished. The total commercial effort recorded in 2019/20 was 1,984 trawl-hours, which was 31% less than the average trawl-hours reported since the fishery reopened in 2014/15 (2873 ± 25 trawl-hours; Figure 7c).




Figure 7. Fishery-dependent catch and effort data outputs for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery; (a) annual catch (t) and (b) effort (vessel-nights) separated by early spawning (November–December), late spawning (January–March) and non-spawning (April–October) periods; and (c) annual commercial effort (hours * 1000). Catch data from surveys not included.

3.2.2. CPUE

The GLM used to standardise nominal commercial CPUE data had a relatively high goodness-of-fit (adjusted R^2 value = 0.78). Region, fishing year, month, lunar phase, effort, licence number and cloud cover were all significant variables in the final model (Table 8). Effort was the most influential variable, explaining 73.0% of the total model deviance. All other variables explained a total of 5.1% of the total model deviance and 21.9% of the deviance was caused by unknown factors.

Table 8. Analysis of deviance (Type II test) for the GLM used to standardise annual commercial catch in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. Abbreviations: SS, sum of squares; df, degrees of freedom; F , F -statistic.

Effect	SS	df	F
fishing year	4706.7	25	123.4***
month	1291.4	7	120.9***
region	440.7	10	28.9***
lunar phase	111.9	1	73.3***
effort	97125.3	1	63663.9***
licence no	163.2	9	11.9***
cloud	45.1	1	29.5***
residuals	29204.5	19143	NA

significance: *** $p < 0.001$.

Estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE since 1990/91 are higher than estimates of nominal CPUE, which is likely attributed to effort being used as a fixed term in the GLM (Figure 8). Despite the differences in scale of annual nominal and standardised CPUE estimates, the trend in both CPUE metrics was similar over time.

Historically, estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE were relatively stable from 1993/94 to 2004/05, ranging from 634 [619–650] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹ in 2002/03 to 872 [851–894] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹ in 1999/00 (Figure 8). From 2003/04, standardised commercial CPUE steadily increased, reaching a peak of 1,138 [1097–1179] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹ in 2008/09. From 2008/09, standardised commercial CPUE declined, reaching a low of 583 [559–609] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹ in 2011/12. The fishery was then closed in 2012/13 and 2013/14.

Following reopening of the fishery in 2014/15, the estimate of standardised annual commercial CPUE was 883 [856–910] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹, which was within the current target range for this performance indicator of

≥750 and <900 kg.block⁻¹.vessel- night⁻¹. In 2015/16, standardised annual commercial CPUE increased further to 921 [895–949] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹, which was in the high range defined for this performance indicator (≥900 kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹). Standardised annual commercial CPUE then decreased slightly, falling within the target range for this performance indicator of ≥750 and <900 kg.block⁻¹.vessel- night⁻¹ in 2016/17 (882 [856–908] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹, 2017/18 (891 [864–918] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹) and 2018/19 (866 [840, 893] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹).

In 2019/20, standardised annual commercial CPUE was 792 [763–822] kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹, a decrease of 8.5% since 2018/19, and the lowest estimate recorded since the fishery reopened in 2014/15 (Figure 8). The 2019/20 season estimate is within the target range defined for this performance indicator (Figure 8; PIRSA 2017).




Figure 8. Comparison of standardised annual commercial CPUE and nominal annual commercial CPUE (kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹) in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 1990/91–2019/2020. Error bars are ± standard error for nominal CPUE, and upper and lower (95%) confidence intervals for standardised CPUE.

3.2.3. Spatial patterns in catch and effort

From 2004/05 to 2011/12, FIS' were used to spatially control the areas harvested in GSV leading to a decline in the number of prawn fishing blocks that were fished (Figure 9). From 2014/15, the number of surveys was reduced, control rules for spatial management were transferred and adopted into the SVGPBOA Industry Code of Practice (see Section 1.2.2), and the number of blocks that were fished subsequently increased. In 2019/20, fishing took place in 7 blocks in November–December and 47 blocks in the remaining months of the season. The total number of blocks fished in 2019/20 (54) was the lowest since the fishery reopened in 2014/15 (range: 55–80 blocks), yet at a relatively high level compared to that recorded between 2004/05 and 2011/12 (range: 26–52).




Figure 9. The number of blocks fished pre–Christmas (November and December) and all other months in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 1989/90–2019/20.

The spatial distribution of the GSVPF catch has varied annually. However, since 2014/15, a relatively high proportion of the catch has been taken in fishing blocks located in southern parts of GSV (Figure 10). In 2019/20, 66.7% (88.3 t) of the total annual catch was taken from southern GSV in the regions DPH, INV, RG3, RG4 and SDH. Relatively high catches were also recorded in parts of northern GSV, with 33.3 t recorded from RG1, representing 25.2% of the total annual catch (Figure 10). A combined total of 10.8 t was taken from other regions (LIH, RG2, RG5, RG6), representing 8.1% of the total annual catch recorded in the fishery in 2019/20.




Figure 10. The annual catch per fishing block in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2009/10–2019/20. Note, the fishery was closed in 2012/13 and 2013/14. Confidential data from <5 licence holders are depicted in grey and typically <5 t. Map on bottom right shows regions used to describe spatial distribution of fishing (see Figure 4 for more detail).

3.2.4. Prawn size

Since 2005/06, when grade information was first collected through daily logbooks, the annual catch of Western King Prawn in the GSVPF has comprised, on average, 26% extra-large prawns, 38% large prawns, 22% medium prawns, 7% small prawns (Figure 11). The percentage of large and extra-large prawns in the catch decreased from 2005/06 to 2010/11, and a corresponding increase in the proportion of small and medium prawns was recorded over the same period (Figure 11). The percentage of soft and broken prawns has historically been relatively low (Average since 2005/06: $7 \pm 0.2\%$), and in 2019/20, soft and broken prawns comprised 7% of the total annual catch (Figure 11, Table 9).

Following the re-opening of the fishery in 2014/15, extra-large and large prawns comprised a total of 69% of the total catch (Figure 11, Table 9). The percentage of extra-large (U6-U10 prawns per pound) and large (10/15 prawns per pound) prawns in the catch remained similar between 2014/15 and 2017/18 (66–69%). However, in 2018/19, extra-large and large prawns comprised a total of 55.0% of the total catch. Similarly, in 2019/20, extra-large and large prawns comprised a total of 57.0% of the total catch (Figure 11, Table 9). The percentage of small and medium prawns (10/20 to 30+ prawns per pound) comprising the catch also remained similar between 2014/15 and 2017/18 (25–28%). However, in 2018/19 and 2019/20, small and medium prawns comprised 37% and 36% of the catch, respectively (Figure 11, Table 9).




Figure 11. a) Size-grade composition (%) of annual harvests in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2005/06–2019/20; b) Temporal trends in harvest composition of extra-large (XL) and large prawns; c) Temporal trends in harvest composition of medium and small prawns (see Table 6 for prawn grade composition categories).

Table 9. Size-grade composition (t) of annual harvests in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 2005/06–2019/20. Grades include; soft and broken (SB), small (S), medium (M), large (L) and extra-large (XL). Note: grade weight totals are estimated from daily fishing logbooks and are not adjusted relative to Catch Disposal Records (CDRs).

SEASON	XL	XL-Split			L	M	S	SB
		<i>U6</i>	<i>U8</i>	<i>U10</i>	10/15	16/20	20+	
2005/06	29.0				30.1	8.7	3.4	5.9
2006/07	46.0				53.1	26.0	6.8	9.7
2007/08	40.2				56.1	25.6	4.2	10.1
2008/09	50.4				67.6	38.4	9.7	10.4
2009/10	36.2				59.3	49.9	13.6	12.6
2010/11	26.2				42.9	43.9	12.0	7.6
2011/12	25.6				35.4	23.7	8.8	5.6
2012/13								
2013/14								
2014/15	57.1	2.5	17.8	36.8	104.8	49.1	9.1	15.9
2015/16	57.3	3.6	22.1	31.6	78.7	41.3	11.9	16.0
2016/17	53.4	3.0	18.2	32.2	88.9	40.9	9.9	14.1
2017/18	52.3	2.4	19.3	30.6	89.0	49.4	11.4	12.1
2018/19	38.7	1.9	14.9	21.9	74.9	51.5	24.1	16.6
2019/20	24.5	1.0	9.5	14.0	49.6	32.0	15.3	9.3

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Information sources used for assessment

The current management plan for the fishery provides the decision rules for classifying the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (PIRSA 2017). It uses limit, trigger and target reference points defined for three performance indicators relating to stock abundance and recruitment (PIRSA 2017). The performance indicators relating to stock abundance are: 1) standardised annual commercial CPUE estimated from fishery-dependent data recorded in daily commercial logbooks; and 2) standardised FIS CPUE estimated from data collected in the May FIS. The performance indicator relating to recruitment, the FRI, is also estimated from data collected in the May FIS.

4.1.1. Fishery-independent data

A fishery-independent survey program has been undertaken since December 2004 and is a key component in the assessment of status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV. The methods used to collect the data have remained relatively consistent and are considered high quality, despite adaptations to the survey program in recent years (see Section 2.1). The locations sampled in the May FIS have remained consistent over time, and standardised FIS CPUE and the FRI are considered the best available indices of current and future relative biomass. Also, while the distribution, extent and timing of recruitment is highly variable in GSV, new recruits are expected to be adequately represented in the catch during the FIS in May.

The introduction of T90-mesh codends fitted with Nordmøre-grids into the GSVPF in 2012 was based on the results of FRDC Project 2009/069 (Dixon *et al.* 2013), which indicated that this trawl-gear modification improved catch selectivity, reduced levels of bycatch and facilitated the escapement of small prawns in the fishery. Under the current management plan, data collected from diamond-mesh codends in the May FIS are used to estimate standardised FIS CPUE and the FRI. Data collected from T90-mesh codends during the FIS will potentially enable calibration with data collected from diamond-mesh codends in the future.

4.1.2. Fishery-dependent data

The trends in standardised annual commercial CPUE estimated from data recorded in daily commercial logbooks resemble the trends in standardised FIS CPUE since 2004/05. However, there remain sources of uncertainty associated with data collected from the fishery: 1) the spatial distribution of fishing effort has changed over time due to changes in spatial management of the fishery; 2) estimates of standardised annual commercial

CPUE are based on daily logbook data from 1990/91 because catch data available prior to this time are either not available or considered unreliable; and consequently, (3) estimates of standardised CPUE from 1991/92 do not take into account the period when catches were considerably higher (1975/76–1983/84).

4.2. Status

Since its inception in 1967, the GSVPF has gone through a number of cycles characterised by steady or increasing catches and catch rates, subsequent declines in recruitment and fishery performance, and resulting closure periods (1991/92–1992/93, and 2012/13–2013/14). The current harvest strategy for the fishery (PIRSA 2017) was developed considering the history of fishery performance, previous stock assessments and reviews of the fishery conducted by Knuckey *et al.* (2011), Morgan and Cartwright (2013) and Dichmont (2014).

COVID-19 in 2020 has resulted in recent declines in demand for Western King Prawn supplied by the GSVPF and resulting reductions in catch and effort have occurred. In 2019/20, the total commercial catch of Western King Prawn in the GSVPF was 132.3 t, with an additional 2.0 t taken in the May 2020 survey. This was the second lowest recorded since 1980/81, and 42% less than the average catch reported since 2000/01 (227.8 ± 14.4 t). Fishing was conducted over 204 vessel-nights, comprising 82% of the TACE. All 40 allocated pre-Christmas vessel-nights were fished.

Estimates of standardised annual commercial CPUE were in the high range in 2015/16 and within the target range from 2016/17 to 2019/20 (PIRSA 2017). In 2019/20, standardised annual commercial CPUE was **792 kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹**, a decrease of 8.5% since 2018/19 (866 kg.block⁻¹.vessel-night⁻¹), and the lowest estimate recorded since the fishery reopened in 2014/15.

Estimates of standardised FIS CPUE remained in the high range between 2013/14 and 2017/18. In 2018/19, standardised FIS CPUE was 28.6 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹, declining to within the target range. In 2019/20, standardised FIS CPUE declined 22.7% further to **22.1 kg.trawl-shot⁻¹**, the lowest estimate since the fishery reopened in 2014/15, and within the trigger range.

The FRI remained in the high range in the four annual May surveys between 2015/16–2018/19. In 2019/20, the FRI was **597.2 ± 88.5 recruits.h⁻¹**, which was 28.5% lower than in 2018/19 (834.8 ± 102.6 recruits.h⁻¹), and within the target range.

The trends in the performance indicators observed in 2018/19 in 2019/20 signal uncertainty in relation to the GSVPF's future performance. Declines in standardised

annual commercial CPUE and standardised FIS CPUE, first observed in 2018/19, and again in 2019/20, are indicative of reductions in prawn abundance.

Decreases in prawn size estimated from the FIS' and commercial prawn-grade data since 2014/15 are also cause for concern. In this period, mean prawn size measured from FIS' steadily decreased, with 2018/19 and 2019/20 recording the smallest mean prawn sizes on record (2018/19: 43.2 ± 1.7 prawns.kg⁻¹; 2019/20: 38.7 ± 0.9 prawns.kg⁻¹). The composition of extra-large (U6–U10 prawns per pound) and large (10/15 prawns per pound) prawns recorded from the commercial catch has also decreased by approximately 10% since 2017/18. Spatial representation of FIS data also supports the steady decrease in the relative abundance (CPUE) and average size (PPKG) of prawns across the area of the May FIS since the fishery reopened in 2014/15.

These trends indicate that fishing mortality has likely caused changes in the stock size-structure exceeding that which produces maximum yield per recruit. To minimise the risk to stock sustainability, future fishery exploitation rates will need to be low enough to stabilise the level of stock biomass and reverse the declines in prawn size observed.

PIRSA has adopted the NFSRF stock status classification system to determine the status of all South Australian fish stocks (Flood *et al.* 2014; Stewardson *et al.* 2018). The 2014 NFSRF was used to assess the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV as 'transitional-depleting' in 2014/15 (Beckmann *et al.* 2015) and 'sustainable' in 2015/16 (Beckmann and Hooper 2016) using a 'weight-of-evidence' approach. The current harvest strategy also uses the 2014 NFSRF of Flood *et al.* (2014) to prescriptively determine the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (PIRSA 2017). Stock status is determined within the harvest strategy by assessing how each of the three performance indicators align against pre-defined limit, trigger and target reference points (Table 5) (PIRSA 2017).

When the 2019/20 estimates for each performance indicator are applied in the decision matrix used to determine the status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV (Table 5), the stock is classified as '**sustainable**'. This classification is consistent with the classification status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV from 2016/17 to 2018/19, (McLeay *et al.* 2017, 2018; McLeay and Hooper 2019).

4.3. Future research needs

Uncertainty in relation to the GSVPF's future performance is driven by a long history characterised by steady or increasing catches and catch rates, subsequent declines in recruitment and fishery performance, and resulting closure periods as well as declines in standardised annual commercial CPUE, standardised FIS CPUE and prawn size in

2018/19, and again in 2019/20. As a consequence, it is important that the sources of uncertainty associated with the assessment are highlighted and addressed in the future.

The status of the Western King Prawn stock in GSV in 2019/20 has been determined under the newly adopted harvest strategy for the GSVPF (PIRSA 2017) and is based on fishery-dependent data available since 1991/92 and FIS data available since 2004/05. The assessment does not take into account the period prior to 1991/92 when catches were higher. Consequently, the assessment is made against a 'baseline' that has shifted away from an era of relatively high productivity. Additionally, the efficacy of the current harvest strategy in reducing fishery exploitation rates to boost stock biomass and recruitment, and maintain its operational objectives in the long term is currently unknown.

A review of the harvest strategy is underway and will assess how data collected from recent fishing seasons (2015/16–2019/20), which were not previously included in development of the harvest strategy, influence the trends in each performance indicator and associated levels of TACE. In parallel to the review, work is being undertaken to update a bio-economic model for the fishery (Noell *et al.* 2015). This model will provide a tool to evaluate harvest strategy performance under a range of plausible biological and/or economic scenarios.

In relation to stock assessment, the outputs of the GLMs used to standardise commercial and FIS CPUE indicate large amounts of unexplained variance and suggest that standardisation procedures would benefit from incorporating additional information that affect CPUE (e.g. oceanographic data or information relating to fishing-effort creep). A review of GLM procedures is being undertaken as part of the bio-economic model update. Further analyses are also required to assess the influence of survey design on FIS CPUE. A review of FIS methods would also benefit from comparisons of data collected from T90 and diamond-mesh codends to facilitate a potential transition to using only T90 codends in surveys in the future.

More research is also required to understand how recruitment patterns in the GSVPF are affected by the interaction between oceanographic patterns and fishing during the main spawning period (November–December). A biophysical model was recently developed for the Spencer Gulf Prawn Fishery to predict patterns of larval dispersal and settlement by coupling knowledge of the biology and behaviour of Western King Prawn larvae to a hydrodynamic model (McLeay *et al.* 2015). A similar model would be beneficial to the GSVPF to assess how spatial changes in fishing patterns and/or environmental conditions could maximise both recruitment and catch during parts of the fishing season when catch value is highest (e.g. pre-Christmas).

5. REFERENCES

Beckmann, C. L., Noell C. J. and Hooper, G. E. (2015). Status of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery in 2014/15. Fishery Status Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/00174-4. SARDI Research Report Series No. 870. 25pp.

Beckmann, C. L. and Hooper, G. E. (2016). Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery in 2015/16. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/0082-6. SARDI Research Report Series No. 919. 44pp.

Boxshall, S., Xiao Y. and Williams H. (1999). Gulf St Vincent Prawn. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA. SARDI Aquatic Sciences, South Australian Fisheries Assessment Series 99/05.

Boxshall, S. and Williams H. (2000). Gulf St Vincent Prawn. SARDI Aquatic Sciences, South Australian Fisheries Assessment Series 00/05.

Boxshall, S. and Johnson J. (2001). Gulf St Vincent Prawn. SARDI Aquatic Sciences, South Australian Fisheries Assessment Series 01/05.

Broadhurst, M.K., Larsen R.B., Kennelly S.J. and McShane P. (1999). Use and success of composite square-mesh codends in reducing bycatch and in improving size-selectivity of prawns in Gulf St Vincent, South Australia. Fish. Bull. 97: 434–448.

Bryars, S.R. and Havenhand, J.N. (2006). Effects of constant and varying temperatures on the development of blue swimmer crab (*Portunus pelagicus*) larvae: laboratory observations and field predictions for temperate coastal waters. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 329, 218-229

Carrick, N.A. (1982). Spencer Gulf Prawn Fishery – surveys increase our knowledge. SAFIC. vol 6(1) pp 3–32.

Carrick, N.A. (1996). Key factors which affect prawn recruitment and implications to harvesting prawn stocks. FRDC report 91/3, Canberra, Australia.

Carrick, N.A. (2003). Spencer Gulf Prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) Fishery. Fishery assessment report to PIRSA Fisheries. RD03/0079-2. Adelaide, South Australia: South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences).

Copes, P. (1990). Resolution of current management problems in the prawn fishery of Gulf St Vincent. Report to the Minister of Fisheries of South Australia. 70p.

Courtney, A.J. and Dredge M.C.L. (1988) Female reproductive biology and spawning periodicity of two species of king prawns, *Penaeus longistylus* Kubo and *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, from Queensland's east coast fishery. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 39: 729–741.

Dichmont, C. (2014). A review of the stock assessment methods, processes and outputs for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. A report prepared for Primary Industries and Regions SA (PIRSA). CSIRO, Brisbane, Australia, 39 pp.

Dixon C.D. and Sloan S. (2007). Management Plan for the South Australian Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. South Australian Fisheries Management Series. No. 53. Adelaide, South Australia: Primary Industries and Resources South Australia.

Dixon, C.D., Roberts S.D. and Hooper, G.E. (2011). Gulf St Vincent Prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*, Fishery 2009/10. Fishery Assessment report to PIRSA Fisheries. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-4. SARDI Research Report Series No. 526. 121pp.

Dixon, C.D., Hooper, G.E and Burch P. (2012). Gulf St Vincent Prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*, Fishery 2010/11. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-5.

Dixon, C., Raptis, J., Gorman, D., Roberts, S., Hooper, G., Bicknell, N., Sorokin, S., Newman, R., Noell, C., Benediktsson, T., Saint, J. and Hill, Wallace. (2013). A collaborative approach to novel bycatch research for rapid development, extension and adoption into a commercial trawl fishery. FRDC Project 2009/069. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2012/000250-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 643. 47pp.

Flood, M., Stobutzki, I., Andrews, J., Begg, G., Fletcher, W., Gardner, C., Kemp, J., Moore, A., O'Brien, A., Quinn, R., Roach, J., Rowling, K., Sainsbury, K., Saunders, T., Ward, T., and Winning, M. (eds) (2012). Status of key Australian fish stocks reports 2012, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.

Flood, M., Stobutzki, I., Andrews, J., Ashby, C., Begg, G., Fletcher, R., Gardner, C., McDonald, B., Moore, A., Roelofs, A., Sainsbury, K., Saunters, T., Smith, T., Stewardson, C., Stewart, J., and Wise, B. (2014). Status of key Australian fish stock reports 2014. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.

- Gorman, D. and Dixon, C. (2015). Reducing discards in a temperate prawn trawl fishery: a collaborative approach to bycatch research in South Australia. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 72 (9): 2609-2617.
- Grey D.L., Dall W. and Baker A. (1983). "A guide to the Australian penaeid Prawns". Department of Primary Production, Northern Territory, Australia.
- Hooper G.E., Roberts S.D. and Dixon C.D. (2009). Gulf St Vincent prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) fishery 2007/08 status report. Fishery status report to PIRSA Fisheries. SARDI Aquatic Sciences Publication No. F2007/001074-2, Research Report Series No 328.
- Hudinaga, M. (1942). Reproduction, development and rearing of *Penaeus japonicus* Bate. *Jap. J. Zool.* 10: 305–393.
- Jackson, C.J. and Burford, M.A. (2003). The effects of temperature and salinity on growth and survival of larval shrimp *Penaeus semisulcatus* (Decapoda: Penaeoidea). *J. Crust. Biol.* 23(4), 819-826
- Kangas M. (1999). Postlarval and juvenile western king prawns *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye studies in Gulf St Vincent, South Australia with reference to the commercial fishery. PhD thesis, University of Adelaide.
- Kangas, M. I. and Jackson, B. (1997). Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. South Australian Fisheries Assessment Series, Report No. 99/05. Adelaide, South Australia: South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences).
- King, M. G. (1977). The biology of the western king prawn, *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, and aspects of the fishery in South Australia. MSc Thesis, University of Adelaide.
- Knuckey, I.T., Kompas, T. and Bodsworth A. (2011). Review of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. Ocean Grove, Victoria: Cobalt MRM Pty Ltd. Report for PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture- September 2011. (Internal report).
- Lober, M. and Zeng, C. (2009). Effect of microalgae concentration on larval survival, development and growth of an Australian strain of giant freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture* 289, 95-100
- McLeay, L., Doubell, M., Roberts, S., Dixon, C., Andreatchio, L., James, C., Luick, J. and Middleton, J. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences) (2015). Prawn and crab harvest optimisation: a bio-physical management tool. Final Report to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Adelaide, June. 80pp.

McLeay, L.J., Beckmann, C. L. and Hooper, G. E. (2017). Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery 2016/17. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-7. SARDI Research Report Series No. 972. 48pp.

McLeay, L.J and Hooper, G. E. (2018). Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery 2017/18. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-8. SARDI Research Report Series No. 999. 44pp.

McLeay, L.J and Hooper, G. E. (2019). Gulf St Vincent Prawn *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Fishery 2018/19. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2007/000782-9. SARDI Research Report Series No. 1034. 47pp.

McShane, P. (1996). Transfer of by-catch reduction technology to South Australian prawn fisheries. FRDC Report 96/254.02

Morgan, G. (1995). Assessment, management and research support for the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. South Australian Fish. Man. Series 12.

Morgan, G. and Cartwright G. (2013). Review of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery management Framework. Adelaide, South Australia. (Internal report).

National Pest and Disease Outbreaks (2019). [White Spot Disease](#). Accessed 1 August 2019.

Noell, C.J., O'Neill, M.F., Carroll, J.D. and Dixon, C.D. (2015). A bio-economic model for South Australia's prawn trawl fisheries. Final Report. Prepared by the South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. CRC Project No. 2011/750. 115pp.

Penn, J.W. (1976). Tagging experiments with the western king prawn *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye. II. Estimation of population parameters. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 27: 239–250.

Penn, J.W. (1980). Spawning and fecundity of the western king prawn, *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, in Western Australian waters. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31, 21–35.

Penn, J.W., Hall N.G. and Caputi N. (1988). Resource assessment and management perspectives of the Penaeid prawn fisheries of Western Australia. In: J. Caddy (Ed) The scientific basis of Shellfish Management. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

PIRSA (2014). Revised framework for longer-term management of the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. PIRSA Fisheries and Aquaculture. Adelaide. Internal document.

PIRSA (2017). Management Plan for the South Australian Commercial Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. Paper number 74. PIRSA Fisheries & Aquaculture. 70 pp.

Preston, N. (1985). The combined effects of temperature and salinity on hatching success and the survival, growth and development of the larval stages of *Metapenaeus bennettiae* (Racek and Dall). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 85, 57-74

Primavera J.H. and Leбата M.J.H.L. (2000). Size and diel differences in activity patterns of *Metapenaeus ensis*, *Penaeus latisulcatus* and *P. merguensis*. Mar. Freshwater Behav. Physiol. 33: 173–185.

Rasheed M.A. and Bull C.M. (1992). Behaviour of the western king prawn, *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye: Effect of food dispersion and crowding. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 43: 745–752.

Roberts S.D., Dixon C.D. and Hooper G.E. (2007a). Gulf St Vincent Prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) Fishery 2005/06. Fishery Assessment report to PIRSA Fisheries. SARDI Aquatic Sciences Publication No. F2007/000782-1, Research Report Series No 253.

Roberts S.D., Dixon C.D. and Hooper G.E. (2007b). Gulf St Vincent Prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) Fishery 2006/07 Status Report. Fishery status report to PIRSA Fisheries. SARDI Aquatic Sciences Publication No. F2007/001074-1, Research Report Series No 254.

Roberts S.D., Dixon C.D. and Hooper G.E. (2008). Gulf St Vincent Prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) Fishery 2006/07. Fishery Assessment report to PIRSA Fisheries. SARDI Aquatic Sciences Publication No. F2007/000782-2, Research Report Series No 300.

Roberts S.D., Dixon C.D. and Hooper G.E. (2009). Gulf St Vincent Prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus*, Fishery 2007/08. Fishery Assessment report to PIRSA Fisheries. SARDI Aquatic Sciences Publication No. F2007/000782-3, Research Report Series No 382.

Roberts, S.D., Deveney, M. and Sierp, M. (2010). Biosecurity and disease status of prawn nurseries in South Australia. South Australian Research and Development Institute

(Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication Number F2010/000593-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 449. 35p

Roberts, S.D., Dixon, C.D. and Andreacchio L. (2012). Temperature dependent larval duration and survival of the western king prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Kishinouye, from Spencer Gulf, South Australia. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 411: 14–22.

Rodgers, G.G, S.D., Roberts, S.D. and Dixon, C.D. (2013). The effects of temperature on larval size in the western king prawn, *Penaeus (Melicertus) latisulcatus* Kishinouye, from Spencer Gulf, South Australia: implications for fishery management. Mar. Freshwater Res. 64: 976–985.

Stewardson, C., Andrews, J., Ashby, C., Haddon, M., Hartmann, K., Hone, P., Horvat, P., Mayfield, S., Roelofs, A., Sainsbury, K., Saunders, T., Stewart, J., Stobutzki, I. and Wise, B. (2016). Status of Australian Fish Stocks Reports 2016. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.

Stewardson, C., Andrews, J., Ashby, C., Haddon, M., Hartmann, K., Hone, P., Horvat, P., Klemke, J., Mayfield, S., Roelofs, A., Sainsbury, K., Saunders, T., Stewart, J., Nicol, S. and Wise, B. (2018). Status of Australian Fish Stocks reports 2018. Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.

Svane, I. (2003). Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery (*Melicertus latisulcatus*). Fishery assessment report to PIRSA for the Prawn Fishery Management Committee, October 2003. SARDI Aquatic Sciences RD03/0063-2.

Svane, I. and Roberts S.D. (2005). Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery (*Melicertus latisulcatus*). Fishery assessment report to PIRSA. SARDI Aquatic Sciences RD03/0063–3.

Svane, I. and Johnson J. (2003). Gulf St Vincent Prawn (*Melicertus latisulcatus*) Fishery. Fishery assessment report to PIRSA. SARDI Aquatic Sciences RD03/0063.

Tanner, J.E. (2003). The influence of prawn trawling on sessile benthic assemblages in Gulf St Vincent, South Australia. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 60: 517–526.

Tanner, J.E. and Deakin, S. (2001). Active habitat selection for sand by juvenile western king prawns, *Melicertus latisulcatus* (Kishinouye). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 261: 199–209.

Wallner, B. (1985). An assessment of the South Australian West Coast western king prawn (*Penaeus latisulcatus*) fishery. Department of Fisheries, South Australia.

Xiao Y. (2004). Use of generalized linear models in analyzing the catch and effort data on the western king prawn *Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye in the Gulf St Vincent, Australia. Fisheries Research 68: 67-82.

Xiao, Y. and McShane, P. (1998). Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery. SARDI South Australian Fishery Assessment Series 98/05.

Xiao, Y. and McShane, P. (2000). Estimation of instantaneous rates of fishing and natural mortalities from mark-recapture data on the western king prawn *Penaeus latisulcatus* in the Gulf St Vincent, Australia, by conditional likelihood. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 129: 1005-1017.

Zacharin, W. (1997). Management plan for the South Australian Gulf St Vincent prawn fishery. South Australian Fishery Management Series. Paper No. 30.

6. APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Monthly distribution of commercial (and survey) catch in the Gulf St Vincent Prawn Fishery from 1989/90–2019/20.

Season	Nov	Dec	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Total
1989/90	35.8	9.4	-	47.8	33.6	42.3	-	-	168.8
1990/91	-	5.3	-	48.0	36.0	31.9	13.1	-	134.3
1991/92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1992/93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1993/94	-	-	-	97.9	69.3	41.2	17.0	-	225.4
1994/95	-	-	26.0	60.9	52.4	8.0	-	-	147.3
1995/96	30.7	48.3	-	65.5	46.4	67.1	-	-	258.0
1996/97	37.9	25.7	-	34.8	41.9	45.0	24.7	-	209.9
1997/98	43.8	31.7	15.8	81.3	53.7	40.5	-	-	266.9
1998/99	69.8	30.5	-	65.6	99.7	48.1	22.5	-	336.2
1999/00	19.3	82.6	27.3	71.0	76.3	91.7	32.0	-	400.2
2000/01	65.9	60.6	-	84.3	86.9	72.4	14.8	-	384.9
2001/02	8.8	94.0	-	58.5	80.6	62.1	18.1	-	322.1
2002/03	4.0	60.1	11.5	72.8	46.6	37.0	-	-	231.9
2003/04	-	13.9	-	28.9	69.5	57.7	2.5	-	172.5
2004/05	-	43.5 (2.0)	-	50.1 (2.4)	40.9 (2.0)	46.7 (2.4)	27.2	-	208.4 (8.8)
2005/06	-	21.2 (1.9)	-	13.6 (2.5)	64.1 (3.6)	40.4 (2.5)	35.5	-	174.9 (10.5)
2006/07	-	26.5 (1.6)	-	51.5 (2.9)	86.1 (3.7)	45.3 (4.5)	-	-	209.4 (12.7)
2007/08	-	30.2 (2.5)	-	63.5 (3.3)	69.6 (4.2)	65.8 (4.5)	-	-	229.0 (14.4)
2008/09	36.5	(3.2)	-	56.3 (4.0)	53.1 (4.1)	126.7 (4.1)	-	-	272.6 (15.4)
2009/10	-	31.4 (2.3)	-	24.7 (2.6)	109.2 (4.3)	58.3 (3.9)	-	-	223.6 (13.0)
2010/11	-	31.9 (2.5)	-	6.9 (2.9)	43.3 (3.0)	68.5 (2.6)	27.6	-	178.3 (11.0)
2011/12	16.0*	-	-	25.0	38.0 (2.0)	37.2 (2.1)	8.8*	-	125.0 (4.2)
2012/13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2013/14	-	-	-	-	-	(1.9)	-	-	(1.9)
2014/15	40.7	16.4	-	65.9	56.8	44.5 (2.8)	25.2*	-	249.4 (2.8)
2015/16	20.1*	-	-	54.4	49.8	32.4 (4.1)	42.7	18.4	217.8 (4.1)
2016/17	21.2	24.6	-	29.8	40.2	49.3 (2.9)	59.4*	-	224.6 (2.9)
2017/18	58.0*	-	-	25.2	60.0	49.2 (3.5)	44.3*	-	236.6 (3.5)
2018/19	17.6	25.9	-	25.0	52.2	32.0 (2.5)	43.0	16.5	212.2 (2.5)
2019/20	38.7*	-	-	30.8	33.2	17.5 (2.0)	12.2*	-	132.3 (2.0)

*Data amalgamated across more than one month due to confidentiality requirements (<5 licence holders), data from gear trials not included. Survey catches since 2011/12 comprise catches from both T90 and diamond net.