Inland Waters &
Catchment Ecology

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE
PIRSA

# Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2015/16

C. M. Bice, B. P. Zampatti and J. Fredberg

SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-6
SARDI Research Report Series No. 921

SARDI Aquatics Sciences
PO Box 120 Henley Beach SA 5022

September 2016

PREMIUM FOOD AND WINE FROM OUR CLEAN ENVIRONMENT

Government of South Australia
Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources
Australian Government

MURRAY–DARLING BASIN AUTHORITY

SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Government of South Australia
Primary Industries and Regions SA

SARDI
SOUTH AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

# Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2015/16

**C. M. Bice, B. P. Zampatti and J. Fredberg**

**SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-6**
**SARDI Research Report Series No. 921**

**September 2016**

This publication may be cited as:
Bice, C. M., Zampatti, B. P. and Fredberg, J. (2016). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2015/16. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-6. SARDI Research Report Series No. 921. 78pp.

**SARDI Aquatic Sciences**
2 Hamra Avenue
West Beach SA 5024

Telephone: (08) 8207 5400
Facsimile: (08) 8207 5406
http://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research

**DISCLAIMER**

The contents of this publication do not purport to represent the position of the Commonwealth of Australia or the MDBA in any way and are presented for the purpose of informing and stimulating discussion for improved management of the Basin's natural resources. To the extent permitted by law, the copyright holders (including its employees and consultants) exclude all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this report (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.The report has been through the SARDI internal review process, and has been formally approved for release by the Research Chief, Aquatic Sciences. The SARDI Report Series is an Administrative Report Series which has not reviewed outside the department and is not considered peer-reviewed literature. Material presented in these Administrative Reports may later be published in formal peer-reviewed scientific literature.

**© 2016 SARDI & DEWNR**

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), no part may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission. With the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms, the Murray-Darling Basin Authority logo and photographs, all material presented in this document is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

For the avoidance of any doubt, this licence only applies to the material set out in this document.

The details of the licence are available on the Creative Commons website (accessible using the links provided) as is the full legal code for the CC BY 4.0 licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode)

Source: Licensed from the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources (DEWNR) under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. Enquiries regarding the licence and any use of the document are welcome to: Adrienne Rumbelow, LLCMM Icon Site Coordinator adrienne.rumbelow@sa.gov.au

Printed in Adelaide: February 2017

SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-6
SARDI Research Report Series No. 921

| | |
|---|---|
| Author(s): | C. M. Bice, B. P. Zampatti and J Fredberg |
| Reviewer(s): | G. Giatas (SARDI), K. Wedge and R. Turner (DEWNR) |
| Approved by: | J. Nicol<br>Sub-Program Leader – Plant Ecology, Inland Waters and Catchment Ecology |
| Signed: | |
| Date: | 2 February 2017 |
| Distribution: | DEWNR, MDBA, SAASC Library, SARDI Waite Executive Library, Parliamentary Library, State Library and National Library |
| Circulation: | Public Domain |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES ..... V
LIST OF TABLES ..... VIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... XI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... 1
1. INTRODUCTION ..... 5
1.1. Background ..... 5
1.2. Objectives ..... 7
2. METHODS ..... 9
2.1. Study area and fishways ..... 9
2.2. Fish sampling ..... 12
2.3. Data analysis ..... 14
3. RESULTS ..... 20
3.1. Hydrology ..... 20
3.2. Catch summary ..... 23
3.3. Temporal variation in fish assemblages ..... 26
3.4. Spatial variation in fish assemblages in 2013/14 ..... 46
3.5. Spatio-temporal variation in the abundance and recruitment of diadromous species ..... 49
4. DISCUSSION ..... 61
4.1. Fish assemblages ..... 61
4.2. Abundance and recruitment of diadromous fish ..... 65
4.3. Implications for management and operation of the barrages and fishways ..... 68
5. CONCLUSIONS ..... 71
REFERENCES ..... 73

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. A map of the Coorong and Lake Alexandrina at the terminus of the River Murray, southern Australia showing the study area in the Coorong estuary, highlighting the Murray Mouth and Murray Barrages (bold lines). Goolwa and Tauwitchere barrages are identified, as are the fish sampling locations (red dots); Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Hunters Creek vertical slot (Hunters Creek), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and rock ramp (TRR).....10
Figure 2-2. Annual freshwater discharge (GL) through the Murray Barrages into the Coorong estuary from 1975–June 2016. Dashed lines represent mean annual end of system discharge pre- (blue) and post-regulation (red).....11
Figure 2-3 a) Cage trap used to sample the Tauwitchere and Goolwa vertical-slot fishways and b) large fyke net used to sample adjacent Goolwa Barrage. A net of the same dimensions was also used to sample adjacent to the Tauwitchere rock ramp. .....14
Figure 3-1. a) Mean daily flow ($ML.d^{-1}$) to the Coorong through the Murray Barrages (all barrages combined) from July 2005–March 2016 and b) Mean daily salinity ($g.L^{-1}$) of the Coorong below Tauwitchere (grey line) and Goolwa (black line) barrages from July 2005 – March 2016. Sampling periods are represented by hatched bars. Barrage discharge data was sourced from DEWNR, whilst salinity data was sourced from water quality monitoring stations immediately below Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages (DEWNR 2015).....22
Figure 3-2. Relative abundance (number of $fish.hour^{-1}.trap\ event^{-1}$) of fish (all species combined) sampled at a) the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), from 2006–2016. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08, whilst sampling at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) commenced in 2010/11. Sampling at the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage commenced in 2008/09. No sampling was conducted at any site in 2012/13. .....27
Figure 3-3. Species richness (all sites combined) from 2006–2016, including the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories, i.e. freshwater (freshwater 'estuarine-opportunists' and 'stragglers' combined), diadromous (catadromous and anadromous combined), estuarine (solely estuarine and 'estuarine and marine' combined) and marine (marine 'estuarine-opportunists' and 'stragglers' combined). Guilds follow those proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015). 28

Figure 3-4. MDS ordination plots of fish assemblages sampled at a) Tauwitchere rock ramp, b) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, c) Goolwa vertical-slot, d) adjacent Goolwa Barrage, e) Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and f) Hunters Creek vertical-slot, between 2006 and 2016. .....29
Figure 3-5. MDS ordination plot of fish assemblages sampled at the a) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) in 2015/16. .....47
Figure 3-6. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) pouched lamprey and b) short-headed lamprey at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) from 2006–2015. No sampling was undertaken in 2012/13, whilst Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot was only sampled in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2013/14. Data from 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16 includes supplementary sampling in winter. .....50
Figure 3-7. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from 2006–2016. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were sampled from 2010/11 onwards. All sites were not sampled in 2012/13. .....52
Figure 3-8. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from November 2015–February 2016. .....54
Figure 3-9. Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of congolli sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from November 2015–February 2016. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets. .....57
Figure 3-10. Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of common galaxias sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at

the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from November 2015–February 2016. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets.....58
Figure 3-11. Catadromous annual recruitment index (*RI,* number of upstream migrating YOY.hour$^{-1}$ ± half confidence interval for a) congolli and b) common galaxias from 2006/07 to 2015/16 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The reference value is indicated by the blue line and half confidence intervals indicated by dashed lines.....59
Figure 3-12. Anadromous migration index (*MI*, yearly proportion of sites where sampled: proportion of sites where sampled in reference year) for short-headed lamprey (*open circles*) and pouched lamprey (*closed circles*) from 2006/07 to 2015/16 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The blue line represents the reference value and dashed line indicates a 40% tolerance and level deemed to indicate target was met. * indicate years in which specific sampling for lamprey occurred during winter. .....60

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Details of sites where fish were sampled at the Murray Barrages in 2015/16, including site name, abbreviated name used throughout and the barrage associated with site, as well as latitude and longitude. .....13
Table 2-2. Definitions of fish 'estuarine use' categories and guilds represented by fishes of the Coorong, following the approach of Potter et al. (2015). Examples of representative species from the Coorong are presented for each guild. .....16
Table 3-1. Summary of species and total number of fish sampled from the entrances of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, Goolwa vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, and from the Tauwitchere rock-ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2015/16. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Potter et al. (2015). .....24
Table 3-2. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.012. ns = non-significant. .....31
Table 3-3. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.012. ns = non-significant. .....32
Table 3-4. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2010–2016 at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.017. ns = non-significant. .....33
Table 3-5. Results of similarity of percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere rock ramp, deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....34
Table 3-6. Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence

represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....35
Table 3-7. Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....36
Table 3-8. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere rock ramp from 2006 to 2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007). .....38
Table 3-9. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot from 2006–2016, and at the small vertical-slot from 2010–2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007). .....39
Table 3-10. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction $\alpha = 0.013$. ns = non-significant. .....40
Table 3-11. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2008–2016 adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction $\alpha = 0.014$. ns = non-significant. .....40
Table 3-12. Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....42
Table 3-13. Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence

represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....43
Table 3-14. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Goolwa vertical slot from 2006–2015 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage from 2008–2015. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007). .....44
Table 3-15. PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2010–2016 at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot fishway. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. After B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.017. .....45
Table 3-16. Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison. .....45
Table 3-17. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages at the Hunters Creek vertical slot from 2010–2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007). .....46
Table 3-18. PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages from the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) in 2015/16. PERMANOVA was performed on bray-curtis similarity matrices. .....48
Table 3-19. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, in 2015/16. .....48
Table 3-20. Summary of results of uni-variate single factor PERMANOVA to determine differences in the relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of congolli and common galaxias sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small-vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot. PERMANOVA was performed on Euclidean Distance similarity matrices. α = 0.05. .....51

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Adrienne Rumbelow, Kirsty Wedge and Rebecca Turner (Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site) for facilitating funding and managing this project, and for ongoing support of aquatic ecosystem research in the Coorong and Lower Lakes. To Michael Shelton, Leigh Angus, Ray Maynard, Bryce Buchannan, Greg Bald, Dave Bishop, Arron Strawbridge, Ian Magraith and George Giatas, and all the other SA Water Barrage and SARDI staff who braved four seasons in one day to set and retrieve traps, and assist in processing fish, thanks for all your efforts. This project was funded by The Living Murray initiative of the Murray–Darling Basin Authority. The Living Murray is a joint initiative funded by the New South Wales, Victorian, South Australian, Australian Capital Territory and Commonwealth Governments, coordinated by the Murray–Darling Basin Authority. All sampling was conducted under an exemption (no. 9902620) of section 115 of the *Fisheries Management Act 2007*. Thanks to George Giatas and Gavin Begg (SARDI), and Kirsty Wedge and Rebecca Turner (DEWNR) for reviewing this report.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Estuaries form a dynamic interface between freshwater and marine ecosystems, supporting high levels of biological productivity and diversity. Estuaries support diverse fish assemblages which are characterised by a spatio-temporally variable mix of freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine fishes. As such, estuaries provide critical spawning and recruitment habitats for a range of species and essential migratory pathways for diadromous fish. Consequently, changes to flow regimes and physical barriers to movement represent two significant threats to estuarine dependent fishes.

The Lower Lakes and Coorong lie at the terminus of Australia's longest river system, the Murray–Darling Basin (MDB), and the region is regarded as an icon site under the *The Living Murray Initiative*. The MDB is highly regulated and on average only ~39% of the natural mean annual discharge now reaches the sea. The estuary is also separated from the Lower Lakes by a series of tidal barrages that form an abrupt physical and biological barrier between estuarine and freshwater environments. From 1997–2010, south-eastern Australia experienced severe drought and between 2006 and 2010, a combination of reduced system-wide inflows and over-allocation of water resulted in reduced flow to the Lower Lakes and the cessation of freshwater flow to the Coorong.

Declines in freshwater inflows, disconnection of freshwater and estuarine environments, and increasing salinity were accompanied by significant changes in fish assemblage structure at the Murray Barrages post–2007. Species diversity and abundance decreased, and fish assemblages became increasingly dominated by marine species in place of freshwater, diadromous and estuarine species. Furthermore, abundance and recruitment of catadromous congolli (*Pseudaphritis urvillii*) and common galaxias (*Galaxias maculatus*) were significantly reduced, and their migration and spawning seasons contracted. In winter–spring 2010, extensive rainfall in the MDB, led to the reinstatement of connectivity and high volume (12,500 GL) freshwater discharge in 2010–11. Salinities downstream of the barrages decreased and fish assemblages differed significantly from 2007–early 2010, due to increased abundance of freshwater species and estuarine lagoon goby (*Tasmanogobius lasti*), and decreased abundances of marine and some estuarine species. Abundances of congolli and common galaxias increased significantly but anadromous lamprey were not sampled.

Moderate but declining freshwater flows occurred in 2011/12 (8795 GL), 2012/13 (5177 GL), 2013/14 (1647 GL) and 2014/15 (984 GL). The fish assemblages in 2011/12 (no sampling was

conducted in 2012/13), 2013/14 and 2014/15 trended towards diverse but variable assemblages characteristic of dynamic estuarine environments. Freshwater species remained present, but less abundant than in 2010/11, whilst the abundance of catadromous (congolli and common galaxias), and certain estuarine (e.g. lagoon goby) and marine migrant (sandy sprat *Hyperlophus vittatus*) species increased. Additionally, both short-headed lamprey (*Mordacia mordax*) and pouched lamprey (*Geotria australis*) were sampled in low numbers.

An understanding of variability in estuarine fish populations and assemblage structure in relation to freshwater inflow and antecedent conditions is fundamental to the management of estuarine ecosystems. In the Coorong, such data can inform specific ecological objectives and targets within the Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site and aid development of the lakes and barrages operating strategies.

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of freshwater inflows and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and Coorong on fish assemblage structure and migration, and diadromous fish recruitment. By sampling fish attempting to move through the barrage fishways and inhabiting sites adjacent the barrages, we aimed to:

1. Determine the species composition and abundance of fish species immediately downstream of the barrages and/or attempting to move between the Coorong and Lower Lakes via the barrage fishways in 2015/16, and assess spatio-temporal variation in assemblage structure in relation to 2006–2015;
2. Assess spatio-temporal variability in the recruitment and relative abundance of catadromous fish (i.e. congolli and common galaxias) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2015/16, and in relation to long-term data from 2006–2015;
3. Utilise these data to inform on Ecological Targets associated with the following revised Ecological Objective (F-1) – *'Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'* (Robinson 2014); and
4. Inform operation of the barrages and development of the lakes and barrages operating strategies.

The year 2015–16 represented the sixth consecutive year of freshwater discharge and hydrological connectivity between the Lower Lakes and Coorong. Annual discharge (~561 GL) was less than that from 2010–2015, and salinities increased, but remained within the 'brackish' range (15–32 $g.L^{-1}$) in the Coorong estuary. The fish assemblage sampled in 2015/16 was diverse

(27 species) and similar in species composition to that of 2014/15, but total fish abundance and species-specific abundance decreased for some key species (e.g. sandy sprat). The freshwater estuarine-opportunist bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*) was the most abundant species, comprising 29% of the total catch numerically, followed by the catadromous congolli (~25% of the total catch). The freshwater estuarine-opportunist Australian smelt (*Retropinna semoni*) and catadromous common galaxias were also common.

The abundance of congolli and common galaxias remained high in 2015/16, relative to the period 2006–2012, but had declined from peak abundance in 2014/15. Over 90% of all individuals sampled were newly recruited young-of-the-year. High levels of recruitment of catadromous species in 2015/16 was likely a result of a combination of two mechanisms: 1) high levels of hydrological connectivity between freshwater and marine environments throughout 2015/16 and subsequently, favourable conditions for migration, spawning and survival of larvae/juveniles under brackish salinities; and 2) high spawning output as a result of high abundance of reproductively mature adults. Strong recruitment was observed in 2010/11 and 2011/12, and likely led to high abundance of reproductively mature individuals during the 2015 spawning season, contributing to high spawning output. These results highlight the importance of providing freshwater discharge to the Coorong on an annual basis and the cumulative benefit of consecutive 'favourable' years on population dynamics.

Whilst no lamprey were sampled during spring/summer monitoring in 2015/16, a total of 56 pouched lamprey were sampled from the Murray Barrages in July–August 2015. This confirmed the peak winter migration period for this species and the need to incorporate specific winter monitoring to adequately assess population status. No short-headed lamprey were sampled in winter 2015 and migration of this species likely occurs later in the year (e.g. August–November).

Diadromous species exhibited seasonal peaks in migration, which were generally consistent with those from previous years and should be considered priority periods for barrage and fishway operation. Freshwater discharge and fishway operation should be facilitated at Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages annually from at least June–January. This encompasses three key periods: 1) June–August to allow for downstream spawning migrations of congolli and common galaxias and upstream migrations of pouched lamprey; 2) August–November to allow for upstream migrations of short-headed lamprey; and 3) October–January to allow for the upstream migrations of juvenile congolli and common galaxias. Diadromous species will typically migrate and accumulate where freshwater is being discharged; therefore, during times of water shortage and trade-offs between

release locations, freshwater discharge should be limited (where possible) to barrages with effective fish passage. Following the construction and assessment of new fishways on the Murray Barrages (e.g. Boundary Creek, Mundoo Barrage and Ewe Island Barrage) an operations plan should be developed to prioritise operation of fishways during times of water scarcity.

The results of this investigation suggest further changes to fish assemblages of the Coorong following prolonged freshwater inflow and hydrological connectivity. In general, the assemblage trended towards diverse but variable fish assemblages that characterise dynamic estuarine environments under freshwater influence. There is evidence, however, to suggest the assemblage is following a trajectory towards the assemblage of 2006/07 (a period of diminishing freshwater discharge) should freshwater discharge continue to decline from current levels. Notwithstanding, abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias remained high relative to all preceding years, with the exception of 2014/15. These recent data indicate that the Ecological Objective (F-1) *'promoting the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'* was met for catadromous fishes. Ecological targets relating to the migration of pouched lamprey were achieved, but not for short-headed lamprey. Continued freshwater discharge and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and the Coorong is essential for the maintenance of populations of diadromous, estuarine and estuarine-dependent marine species and maintaining diversity in estuarine fish communities.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1. Background

Estuaries form a dynamic interface and conduit between freshwater and marine ecosystems, supporting high levels of biological productivity and diversity (Day *et al.* 1989, Goecker *et al.* 2009). Freshwater flows to estuaries transport nutrients and sediments and maintain a unique mixing zone between freshwater and marine environments (Whitfield 1999). Nevertheless, throughout the world, anthropogenic modification of rivers has diminished freshwater flows to estuaries and threatens the existence of estuarine habitats (Gillanders and Kingsford 2002, Flemer and Champ 2006). In addition, structures that regulate flow may alter the longitudinal connectivity between estuarine and freshwater environments (Lucas and Baras 2001).

Fish are a key indicator of the impacts of altered freshwater inflows to estuaries and of barriers to connectivity (Gillanders and Kingsford 2002, Kocovsky *et al.* 2009). Estuaries support highly diverse and complex fish assemblages with a broad range of life history strategies (Whitfield 1999). The interplay of temporally variable freshwater inflow and tidal cycle determines estuarine salinity regimes, influencing the structure of fish assemblages, which in turn are often characterised by a spatio-temporally variable mix of freshwater, estuarine and marine fish species (Kupschus and Tremain 2001, Barletta *et al.* 2005). Estuaries also represent critical spawning and recruitment habitats, and essential migratory pathways for diadromous fish (McDowall 1988, Beck *et al.* 2001). Consequently, changes to flow regimes and physical barriers to movement represent significant threats to estuarine dependent fishes, particularly diadromous species (Lassalle and Rochard 2009).

The Lower Lakes and Coorong estuary in south-eastern Australia lie at the terminus of Australia's longest river system, the Murray–Darling, and the region is an icon site under the *The Living Murray Initiative* (TLM). The river system is highly regulated and on average only ~39% (4723 GL) of the natural mean annual discharge (12,233 GL) now reaches the ocean (CSIRO 2008). Furthermore, the river now ceases to flow through the Murray Mouth 40% of the time compared to 1% under natural unregulated conditions (CSIRO 2008). The estuary is separated from the lower river by a series of tidal barrages that form an abrupt physical and biological barrier, and have substantially reduced the area of the historical estuary.

From 1997–2010, south-eastern Australia experienced severe drought (the 'Millennium Drought') resulting in reduced inflows to the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB) (Van Dijk *et al.* 2013). Over a four

year period (2006–2010), a combination of reduced system-wide inflows and consumptive water use resulted in reduced flow to the Lower Lakes (<600 $GL.y^{-1}$ in 2007 and 2008), causing a reduction in water level downstream of Lock 1 of >1.5 m and the cessation of freshwater flow to the Coorong estuary. Disconnection of the Coorong from the Lower Lakes resulted in increased salinities in the Coorong and a concomitant decrease in fish species diversity (Zampatti *et al.* 2010). When brackish conditions prevailed, fish assemblages were characterised by a diversity of freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine species. As salinities increased, however, the abundance of freshwater, diadromous and estuarine species decreased and marine species became more common (Zampatti *et al.* 2010). Furthermore, catadromous congolli (*Pseudaphritis urvillii*) and common galaxias (*Galaxias maculatus*) exhibited high inter-annual variations in recruitment, with significant declines in the abundance of young-of-the-year (YOY) migrants and contraction of migration and spawning periods (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). Anadromous short-headed lamprey (*Mordacia mordax*) and pouched lamprey (*Geotria australis*), present in 2006/07, were absent through 2007–2010.

The following five year period (2010–2015), was characterised by contrasting hydrology; increased inflows in the MDB in 2010/11 resulted in large-scale flooding and the return of typical water levels to the Lower Lakes, and subsequently, the delivery of large volumes (12,498 GL) of freshwater to the Coorong, with further moderate but declining volumes of freshwater in 2011/12 (8795 GL), 2012/13 (5177 GL), 2013/14 (1647 GL) and 2014/15 (984 GL). Annual (650 GL) and three-year rolling average (2000 $GL.yr^{-1}$) targets for barrage discharge volumes established under the icon site environmental water management plan, were achieved in each of these years. Increased discharge, relative to 2007–2010, was accompanied by significant changes in fish assemblage structure in the Murray Estuary. The fish assemblage in 2010/11 was dominated by freshwater (e.g. Australian smelt *Retropinna semoni*) and small-bodied estuarine species (e.g. lagoon goby *Tasmanogobius lasti*), whilst marine species and some estuarine species decreased in abundance (Zampatti *et al.* 2012). Recruitment of catadromous congolli and common galaxias was enhanced, resulting in increased abundance relative to 2007–2010. Nonetheless, short-headed lamprey and pouched lamprey were not collected.

The fish assemblages in 2011/12 and 2013/14 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13) trended towards diverse but variable assemblages characteristic of dynamic estuarine environments (Bice *et al.* 2012). Freshwater species remained present, but less abundant than in 2010/11, whilst the abundance of catadromous (congolli and common galaxias), and certain estuarine (e.g. lagoon goby) and marine migrant (sandy sprat *Hyperlophus vittatus*) species increased. Additionally, both

short-headed lamprey and pouched lamprey were sampled in low numbers in 2011/12. Fish assemblages in 2014/15, reflected declining freshwater discharge, with assemblage structure transitioning towards that observed in 2006/07, prior to the prolonged period of zero discharge (2007–2010). Nonetheless, the abundance of catadromous fishes was the greatest since the inception of the current monitoring program, and substantial numbers of pouched lamprey were detected during specific winter monitoring in 2015 (Bice and Zampatti 2015).

The year 2015/16, represented the sixth consecutive year of freshwater discharge to the Coorong and connectivity between the Coorong and Lower Lakes, post the Millennium drought (Van Dijk *et al.* 2013). This provided the opportunity to assess the response of fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment following a prolonged period of freshwater flow and connectivity (2010 onwards). Such data are important to gauge continued system recovery following drought and improve understanding of hydrologically mediated patterns in fish assemblage structure and movement. Ultimately, these data can be used to assess specific ecological targets as revised by Robinson (2014) and outlined in the Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site Condition Monitoring Plan (scheduled for completion 2016) and will aid future management of the system, including informing the lakes and barrages operating strategies.

## 1.2. Objectives

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of freshwater inflows and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and Coorong on fish assemblage structure and migration, and diadromous fish recruitment. Using the barrage fishways as a sampling tool we specifically aimed to:

1. Determine the species composition and abundance of fish immediately downstream of the barrages and/or attempting to move between the Coorong and Lower Lakes via the barrage fishways in 2015/16, and assess spatio-temporal variation in assemblage structure in relation to 2006–2015;
2. Investigate spatio-temporal variability in the recruitment and relative abundance of catadromous fish (i.e. congolli and common galaxias) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2015/16, in relation to long-term data from 2006–2015;
3. Utilise these data to inform on Ecological Targets associated with the following revised Ecological Objective (F-1): *'Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'* (Robinson 2014); and

4. Inform the development of lakes and barrages operating strategies currently under development through the Variable Lakes Project.

# 2. METHODS

## 2.1. Study area and fishways

This study was conducted at the interface between the Coorong estuary and Lower Lakes of the River Murray, in southern Australia (Figure 2-1). The River Murray discharges into a shallow (mean depth 2.9 m) expansive lake system, comprised of Lakes Alexandrina and Albert before flowing into the Coorong and finally the Southern Ocean via the Murray Mouth.

Under natural conditions, mean annual discharge was ~12,233 GL, but there was strong inter-annual variation (Puckridge *et al.* 1998). Under regulated conditions, an average of ~4723 $GL.y^{-1}$ reaches the sea, although from 1997–2010 this was substantially less and zero for a period of over three years (March 2007 – September 2010) (Figure 2-2). Discharge increased abruptly in September 2010 and annual discharges in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13 were approximately 12,500, 8800 and 5200 GL, respectively (Figure 2-2). Annual discharge continued to decrease through 2013/14 (~1600 GL), 2014/15 (~984 GL) and 2015/16 (~561 GL, up to 03/06/2016) (Figure 2-2).

The Coorong is a narrow (2–3 km wide) estuarine lagoon running southeast from the Murray Mouth and parallel to the coast for ~140 km (Figure 2-1). It consists of a northern and southern lagoon bisected by a constricted region that limits water exchange (Geddes and Butler 1984). The region was designated a Wetland of International Importance under the Ramsar Convention in 1985, based upon its unique ecological character and importance to migratory wading birds (Phillips and Muller 2006).




**Figure 2-1.** A map of the Coorong and Lake Alexandrina at the terminus of the River Murray, southern Australia showing the study area in the Coorong estuary, highlighting the Murray Mouth and Murray Barrages (bold lines). Goolwa and Tauwitchere barrages are identified, as are the fish sampling locations (red dots); Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Hunters Creek vertical slot (Hunters Creek), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and rock ramp (TRR).




**Figure 2-2.** Annual freshwater discharge (GL) through the Murray Barrages into the Coorong estuary from 1975–June 2016. Dashed lines represent mean annual end of system discharge pre- (blue) and post-regulation (red).

In the 1940s, five tidal barrages with a total length of 7.6 km were constructed to prevent saltwater intrusion into the Lower Lakes and maintain stable freshwater storage for consumptive use (Figure 2-1). The construction of the barrages dramatically reduced the extent of the estuary, creating an impounded freshwater environment upstream and an abrupt ecological barrier between estuarine/marine and freshwater habitats. Pool level upstream of the barrages was typically regulated for most of the year at an average of 0.75 m AHD (Australian Height Datum), but in recent years has been varied to meet ecological objectives.

Following the construction of the barrages the increased frequency of years without freshwater discharge to the estuary and reduced tidal incursion has contributed to a reduction in estuary depth and the prevalence of hypersaline (>40 $g.L^{-1}$) salinities (Geddes 1987, Walker 2002). During times of low freshwater discharge, salinity ranges from marine (30–35 $g.L^{-1}$) near the Murray Mouth to hypersaline (>100 $g.L^{-1}$) at the lower end of the Southern Lagoon (Geddes and Butler

1984). During periods of high freshwater discharge, salinities near the Murray Mouth and in the Northern Lagoon are typically brackish (i.e. 5–30 $g.L^{-1}$) (Geddes 1987).

In 2004, three experimental fishways (2 x large vertical-slots and 1 x rock ramp) were constructed on the Murray Barrages (Barrett and Mallen-Cooper 2006) with the aim of facilitating fish movement between the Coorong and Lower Lakes. The two large vertical slot fishways (slope = 13.6%), located on Goolwa and Tauwitchere Barrages, were designed to pass fish >150 mm total length (TL) and discharge approximately 30–40 $ML.d^{-1}$ (Mallen-Cooper 2001). Assessments of these fishways indicated they were effective in passing large-bodied species, but the passage of small-bodied species and small life stages (<100 mm TL) was largely obstructed (Stuart *et al.* 2005, Jennings *et al.* 2008). The rock ramp fishway (slope = 1:27) constructed on Tauwitchere Barrage aimed to pass fish 40–150 mm in length. Nevertheless, this fishway was found to have a limited operational window with function influenced by downstream tidal level and upstream water levels (Jennings *et al.* 2008).

In 2009, additional small vertical-slot fishways (slope ~3%) were constructed on Tauwitchere barrage and the Hunters Creek causeway. These new fishways were designed with internal hydraulics that were considered favourable for the upstream passage of small-bodied fish (i.e. low headloss, velocity and turbulence) and to operate with low discharge (<5 $ML.d^{-1}$). Both fishways effectively facilitate the passage of small-bodied fish (Zampatti *et al.* 2012).

A series of new fishways have recently been completed or are under construction, as part of the *Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth Program*. These fishways are likely to greatly enhance fish passage at the Murray Barrages, but are not monitored under the current program.

## 2.2. Fish sampling

Samples of fish were collected from the entrances of four vertical-slot fishways in 2015/16 (Figure 2-1 and Table 2-1). Samples of fish were also collected from a site adjacent to the rock ramp fishway at the southern end of Tauwitchere Barrage and a site adjacent the Hindmarsh Island abutment of the Goolwa Barrage (hereafter ‘adjacent Goolwa Barrage’) (Figure 2-1 and Table 2-1).

**Table 2-1.** Details of sites where fish were sampled at the Murray Barrages in 2015/16, including site name, abbreviated name used throughout and the barrage associated with site, as well as latitude and longitude.

| Name | Abbreviation | Barrage | Latitude | Longitude |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tauwitchere large vertical-slot | TVS | Tauwitchere | 35°35'09.35''S | 139°00'30.58''E |
| Tauwitchere small vertical-slot | TSVS | Tauwitchere | 35°35'23.44''S | 139°00'56.23''E |
| Tauwitchere rock ramp | TRR | Tauwitchere | 35°35'23.60''S | 139°00'56.30''E |
| Goolwa vertical-slot | GVS | Goolwa | 35°31'34.44''S | 138°48'31.12''E |
| Adjacent Goolwa Barrage | GDS | Goolwa | 35°31'24.16''S | 138°48'33.79''E |
| Hunters Creek vertical-slot | Hunters | Hunters Creek causeway | 35°32'07.08''S | 138°53'07.48''E |

The entrances of the vertical-slot fishways were sampled using aluminium-framed cage traps, designed to fit into the first cell of each fishway (Tauwitchere large vertical-slot: 2.3 m long x 4.0 m wide x ~2.0 m depth and 0.3 m slot widths; Tauwitchere small vertical-slot: 1.2 m long x 1.6 m wide x ~1.0 m depth and 0.2 m slot widths; Goolwa large vertical-slot: 2.6 m long x 3.6 m wide x ~3.6 m depth, 0.3 m slot widths (each baffle was modified in 2010 to three 200 mm wide x 500 mm deep orifices); Hunters Creek: 1.6 m long x 1.6 m wide x ~0.6 m depth and 0.1 m slot widths) (Figure 2-3a). Traps for the large vertical-slot fishways at Tauwitchere and Goolwa were covered with 6 mm knotless mesh and featured a double cone–shaped entrance configuration (each 0.39 m high x 0.15 m wide) to maximise entry and minimise escapement. Traps for the small vertical-slot fishways at Tauwitchere and Hunters Creek were covered with 3 mm knotless mesh with single cone–shaped entrances (each 0.75 m high x 0.11 m wide).

Large double-winged fyke nets (6.0 m long x 2.0 m wide x 1.5 m high with 8.0 m long wings) covered with 6 mm knotless mesh were used to sample the immediate area downstream of Tauwitchere Barrage at the rock ramp fishway and downstream Goolwa Barrage. At both locations, the net was set adjacent to the barrage to capture fish utilising this area.




**Figure 2-3** a) Cage trap used to sample the Tauwitchere and Goolwa vertical-slot fishways and b) large fyke net used to sample adjacent Goolwa Barrage. A net of the same dimensions was also used to sample adjacent to the Tauwitchere rock ramp.

Four weeks of sampling were conducted monthly between 23 November 2015 and 19 February 2016. The sites adjacent the Tauwitchere rock ramp and Goolwa Barrage were sampled once overnight during each sampling week. All vertical-slot fishway sites were sampled overnight 3 times per sampling week, with the exception of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, which could not be sampled in November 2015 due to limited access on Tauwitchere barrage. Cage traps at the large vertical-slot fishways were deployed and retrieved using a mobile crane (Figure 2-3a). All trapped fish were removed and placed in aerated holding tanks. Each individual was then identified to species and counted. For catadromous congolli and common galaxias, during each trapping event a random sub-sample of up to 50 individuals were measured to the nearest mm (total length, TL) to represent the size structure of the population.

Estimated daily barrage discharge and salinity data were obtained from the Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources (DEWNR).

## 2.3. Data analysis

### *Temporal variability in fish assemblages*

Temporal variability in fish assemblages was investigated by assessing changes in total fish abundance (all species combined), species richness and diversity, and fish assemblage structure (i.e. species composition and individual species abundance). Differences in the relative abundance (fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of fish (all species combined) sampled between years at each

site were analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (permutational ANOVA and MANOVA), in the software package PRIMER v. 6.1.12 and PERMANOVA+ (Anderson *et al.* 2008). These analyses were performed on fourth-root transformed relative abundance data. This routine tests the response of a variable (e.g. total fish abundance) to a single factor (e.g. year) in a traditional ANOVA (analysis of variance) experimental design using a resemblance measure (i.e. Euclidean distance) and permutation methods (Anderson *et al.* 2008). Unlike ANOVA, PERMANOVA does not assume samples come from normally distributed populations or that variances are equal. Changes in species richness and diversity were qualitatively assessed by comparing total species richness (number of species sampled across all sampling sites) and the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories and guilds (as defined by Potter *et al.* 2015) between years (Table 2-2). Data from the Tauwitchere small-vertical slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were excluded from these analyses as they have only been sampled since 2010.

The composition of fish assemblages sampled at each location was assessed between all sampling years (i.e. 2006–2016). Non-Metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) generated from Bray-Curtis similarity matrices of fourth-root transformed relative abundance data (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trip$^{-1}$) were used to graphically represent assemblages from different years in two dimensions. PERMANOVA, based on the same similarity matrices, was used to detect differences in assemblages between years. To allow for multiple comparisons between years at each site, a false discovery rate (FDR) procedure presented by Benjamini and Yekutieli (2001), hereafter the 'B–Y method' correction, was adopted ($\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(1/i)$; e.g. for $n_{comparisons}$ = 15, B-Y method α = 0.05/ (1/1 + 1/2 + 1/3…….+1/15) = 0.015) (Benjamini and Yekutieli 2001, Narum 2006). When significant differences occurred, a similarity of percentages (SIMPER) analysis was undertaken to identify species contributing to these differences. A 40% cumulative contribution cut-off was applied.

Indicator species analysis (ISA) (Dufrene and Legendre 1997) was used to calculate the indicator value (site fidelity and relative abundance) of species between years at each site using the package PCOrd v 5.12 (McCune and Mefford 2006). Non-abundant species may 'characterise' an assemblage without largely contributing to the difference between years detected with PERMANOVA. Such species may be important indicators of environmental change. A perfect indicator remains exclusive to a particular group or site and exhibits strong site fidelity during sampling (Dufrene and Legendre 1997). Statistical significance was determined for each species indicator value using the Monte Carlo (randomisation) technique (α = 0.05).

**Table 2-2.** Definitions of fish 'estuarine use' categories and guilds represented by fishes of the Coorong, following the approach of Potter et al. (2015). Examples of representative species from the Coorong are presented for each guild.

| Category and guild | Definition | Example |
|---|---|---|
| ***Marine category*** | | |
| Marine straggler | Truly marine species that spawn at sea and only sporadically enter estuaries, and in low numbers. | King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctatus*) |
| Marine estuarine-opportunist | Marine species that spawn at sea, but regularly enter estuaries in substantial numbers, particularly as juveniles, but use, to varying degrees, coastal marine waters as alternative nurseries. | Mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*) |
| ***Estuarine category*** | | |
| Solely estuarine | Species that complete their life cycles only in estuaries. | Small-mouthed hardyhead (*Atherinosoma microstoma*) |
| Estuarine and marine | Species represented by populations that may complete their life cycles only in estuaries, but also discrete populations that complete their lifecycle in marine environments. | Yellow-eyed mullet (*Aldrichetta forsteri*) |
| ***Diadromous category*** | | |
| Anadromous | Most growth and adult residence occurs in the marine environment prior to migration into, spawning and larval/juvenile development in freshwater environments. | Pouched lamprey (*Geotria australis*) |
| Catadromous | Most growth and adult residence occurs in the freshwater environments prior to migration into, spawning and larval/juvenile development in marine environments. | Congolli (*Pseudaphritis urvillii*) |
| Semi-catadromous | As per catadromous species, but spawning run extends as far as downstream estuarine areas rather than the ocean. | Common galaxias (*Galaxias maculatus*) |
| ***Freshwater category*** | | |
| Freshwater straggler | Truly freshwater species that spawn in freshwater environments and only sporadically enter estuaries, and in low numbers. | Golden perch (*Macquaria ambigua ambigua*) |
| Freshwater estuarine-opportunist | Freshwater species found regularly and in moderate numbers in estuaries, and whose distribution can extend beyond low salinity zones of these system. | Bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*) |

***Intra-annual spatial variability in fish assemblages***

Spatial variation in fish assemblages between sampling locations in 2015/16 was also investigated using MDS, PERMANOVA and ISA. Due to differences in sampling methods, spatial variation was assessed separately for the vertical-slot fishway sites and the two sites sampled with the large fyke net (i.e. the Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage). MDS plots generated from Bray-Curtis similarity matrices were used to graphically represent assemblages from different locations in two dimensions and PERMANOVA was used to detect differences in assemblages between locations. To allow for multiple comparisons between sites within 2015/16, a B–Y method FDR correction for significance was adopted. ISA was then used to determine what species characterised assemblages at the different sampling locations in 2015/16.

***Spatio-temporal variability in diadromous species abundance***

Inter-annual (2006–2016) differences in the standardised abundance (fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey were qualitatively assessed. Inter-annual (2006–2016) differences in the standardised abundance of common galaxias and congolli (fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) sampled at all six sites were analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (Anderson *et al.* 2008). Intra-annual (monthly) differences in the standardised abundance (fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of common galaxias and congolli sampled at all sites in 2015/16 were also analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (Anderson *et al.* 2008).

## 2.4. Assessment against TLM Ecological Targets

A specific Ecological Objective (F-1), revised by (Robinson 2014) and to be outlined in the revised Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site Condition Monitoring Plan (In Press) is to – *'Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'*. The achievement of this objective is determined by the assessment of three ecological targets developed as part of the TLM Condition Monitoring Refinement Project (Robinson 2014). These targets were developed from empirical data collected from 2006 to 2014 and relate specifically to the migration and recruitment of congolli and common galaxias, and the migration of short-headed and pouched lamprey:

1. The annual abundance of upstream migrating YOY congolli is ≥ the lower confidence bound of the recruitment reference value (i.e. lower bound 22.67 YOY.hr$^{-1}$);

2. The annual abundance of upstream migrating YOY common galaxias is ≥ the lower confidence bound of the recruitment reference value (i.e. lower bound 3.12 YOY.hr$^{-1}$); and
3. Pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey are sampled from ≥60% of the vertical-slot fishway sites sampled in any given year.

### *Ecological Target 1*

This target is assessed by calculating an annual recruitment index for congolli, derived by calculating overall site abundance of upstream migrating YOY (i.e. fish.hr$^{-1}$) during the period November to January and comparing that to a predetermined reference value and associated confidence intervals. Annual recruitment index is calculated using equation 1:

**Equation 1** $RI = (S_1(mean((r^*A_{Nov}) )+(r^*A_{Dec})+(r^*A_{Jan})) + S_2(mean((r^*A_{Nov})+(r^*A_{Dec})+(r^*A_{Jan}))\ldots..S_n)$

where $S$ = site, $A$ = abundance (fish hour$^{-1}$) and $r$ = the proportion of the sampled population comprised of YOY (i.e. <60 mm in length). The annual recruitment index ($RV$) ± half confidence interval = 44.26 ± 21.78 YOY.hr$^{-1}$.

### *Ecological Target 2*

This target is assessed by calculating an annual recruitment index for common galaxias, derived by calculating overall site abundance of upstream migrating YOY (i.e. fish.hr$^{-1}$) during the period October to December and comparing that to a predetermined reference value and associated confidence intervals. Annual recruitment index is calculated using equation 1:

**Equation 2** $RI = (S_1(mean((r^*A_{Oct}) )+(r^*A_{Nov})+(r^*A_{Dec})) + S_2(mean((r^*A_{Oct})+(r^*A_{Nov})+(r^*A_{Dec}))\ldots..S_n)$

where $S$ = site, $A$ = abundance (fish hour$^{-1}$) and $r$ = the proportion of the sampled population comprised of YOY (i.e. <60 mm in length). The annual recruitment index ($RV$) ± half confidence interval = 6.12 ± 3.00 YOY.hr$^{-1}$. Sampling was delayed in 2015/16, and subsequently sampling was not conducted in October. As such, calculation of the recruitment index in 2015/16 is confined to data from sampling in November and December.

### *Ecological Target 3*

The achievement of this target is assessed by determining a migration index for both pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey. The annual migration index is calculated as the ratio of the proportion of sites from which these species were sampled in a given year, against the proportion of sites from which these species were sampled in a predetermined reference year:

**Equation 3** $$\boldsymbol{Short-headed\ lamprey\ MI(year)} = \frac{\textbf{Proportion of sites where detected (of GVS,GDS,TVS,TRR and TSVS)}}{\textbf{Proportion of sites where detected in 2006/07}}$$

**Equation 4** $$\boldsymbol{Pouched\ lamprey\ MI(year)} = \frac{\textbf{Proportion of sites where detected (of GVS,GDS,TVS,TRR and TSVS)}}{\textbf{Proportion of sites where detected in 2011/12}}$$

This provides a value of *MI* of ≤1.0 and an arbitrary tolerance of 0.4 is adopted, i.e. *MI* ≥ 0.6 is taken to suggest achievement of target. These indices are calculated from all monitoring undertaken at the Murray Barrages in a given year, including spring/summer monitoring under the current project and specific lamprey monitoring during winter, which has occurred in 2011, 2013 and 2015 (Bice and Zampatti 2015). Whilst this influences comparability of data between years it was deemed necessary for these rare species and inter-annual variability in sampling effort needs to be considered during interpretation of results.

# 3. RESULTS

## 3.1. Hydrology

Freshwater discharge to the Coorong and salinity were highly variable over the period 2005–2016. Prior to sampling in 2006, low-volume freshwater flows of 1000–12,000 ML.d$^{-1}$ were consistently released into the Coorong through barrage 'gates', but by September 2006 discharge was confined to fishways (Tauwitchere: 20–40 ML.d$^{-1}$, Goolwa: ~20 ML.d$^{-1}$) (Figure 3-1a). Low inflows from the River Murray and receding water levels in the Lower Lakes resulted in the closure of fishways in March 2007 (Figure 3-1a) and persistent drought in the MDB resulted in no freshwater being released to the Coorong until September 2010. Significant inflows to the Lower Lakes in late 2010 saw the fishways reopened and the release of large volumes of freshwater to the Coorong throughout the 2010/11 sampling season. Cumulative flow across the barrages peaked at >80,000 ML.d$^{-1}$ with a mean daily discharge (± SE) of 49,955 ± 1396 ML.d$^{-1}$ over the 2010/11 sampling period (Figure 3-1a). Medium-volume freshwater flows continued throughout the 2011/12 sampling season (range 800–34,600 ML.d$^{-1}$; mean daily discharge = 10,823 ± 657 ML.d$^{-1}$) and 2012/13 (range 220–69,000 ML.d$^{-1}$; mean daily discharge = 12,617 ± 948 ML.d$^{-1}$), although no sampling was conducted in 2012/13 (Figure 3-1a). Low–medium volume flows occurred throughout 2013/14 with flow during the sampling season ranging 20–18,020 ML.d$^{-1}$ and a mean daily discharge of 1617 ± 217 ML.d$^{-1}$. Overall discharge decreased further in 2014/15 and ranged 8–2950 ML.d$^{-1}$ with a mean of 1547 ± 67 ML.d$^{-1}$ during sampling. During sampling in 2015/16, daily discharge ranged 1–1503 ML.d$^{-1}$, with a mean of 128 ± 28 ML.d$^{-1}$.

During sampling in 2006/07, salinity below Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages fluctuated 20–34 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 28.42 ± 0.18 g.L$^{-1}$) and 11–29 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 21.93 ± 0.29 g.L$^{-1}$), respectively (Figure 3-1b). Following the cessation of freshwater releases in March 2007, salinities at Tauwitchere increased and ranged 30–60 g.L$^{-1}$ until September 2010, with mean salinities during sampling ranging 34–36 g.L$^{-1}$. Salinities at Goolwa Barrage, between March 2007 and September 2010, also increased, ranging from 26–37 g.L$^{-1}$ with mean salinities during sampling ranging 26–34 g.L$^{-1}$. Following significant increases in freshwater releases to the Coorong in September 2010, salinities over the 2010/11 sampling period ranged 0.3–25 g.L$^{-1}$ at Goolwa Barrage and 0.2–27 g.L$^{-1}$ at Tauwitchere Barrage; however, mean salinities were significantly reduced at both Goolwa (1.95 ± 0.31 g.L$^{-1}$) and Tauwitchere (3.78 ± 0.33 g.L$^{-1}$) (Figure 3-1b). During 2011/12 sampling, salinity at Goolwa ranged 0.3–32 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 10.39 ± 0.77 g.L$^{-1}$) and 3–26 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean

= 12.69 ± 0.42 g.L$^{-1}$) at Tauwitchere (Figure 3-1b), but was more variable than 2010/11, appearing to follow a fortnightly lunar cycle, with higher tides resulting in seawater incursion and greater salinities. In 2012/13, salinity fluctuated over a similar range to 2011/12, but no sampling was conducted. During sampling in 2013/14, decreasing freshwater flows resulted in increased salinity relative to the three previous years; nevertheless, conditions remained 'brackish' with salinity ranging 0.5–30 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 13.53 ± 0.86 g.L$^{-1}$) at Goolwa and 5–22 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 10.39 ± 0.77 g.L$^{-1}$) at Tauwitchere. Further decrease in freshwater discharge in 2014/15 resulted in further increases in salinities, ranging 7–32 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 18.68 ± 0.60 g.L$^{-1}$) at Goolwa and 15–32 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 22.73 ± 0.39 g.L$^{-1}$) at Tauwitchere. Salinity during sampling in 2015/16, ranged 21–31 g.L$^{-1}$ (mean = 27 ± 2.86 g.L$^{-1}$) at Goolwa and 19–34 g.L$^{-1}$ at Tauwitchere (mean = 27.76 ± 3.16 g.L$^{-1}$).




**Figure 3-1.** a) Mean daily flow (ML.d$^{-1}$) to the Coorong through the Murray Barrages (all barrages combined) from July 2005–March 2016 and b) Mean daily salinity (g.L$^{-1}$) of the Coorong below Tauwitchere (grey line) and Goolwa (black line) barrages from July 2005 – March 2016. Sampling periods are represented by hatched bars. Barrage discharge data was sourced from DEWNR, whilst salinity data was sourced from water quality monitoring stations immediately below Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages (DEWNR 2015).

## 3.2. Catch summary

A total of 286,304 fish from 26 species were sampled in 2015/16 (Table 3-1). The freshwater estuarine opportunist bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*) and catadromous congolli dominated, comprising ~29% and 27% of the total catch, respectively. The freshwater Australian smelt (16.2%), semi-catadromous common galaxias (7.9%) and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat (7.1%) were the next most abundant species, whilst the remaining 21 species collectively comprised <13% of the total catch.

**Table 3-1.** Summary of species and total number of fish sampled from the entrances of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, Goolwa vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, and from the Tauwitchere rock-ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2015/16. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Potter et al. (2015).

| | | | **Tauwitchere large vertical-slot** | **Tauwitchere small vertical-slot** | **Tauwitchere rock ramp** | **Goolwa vertical-slot** | **Adjacent Goolwa Barrage** | **Hunters Creek** | **Total** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Common name** | **Scientific Name** | **Guild** | | | | | | | |
| | | Sampling events | 7 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 11 | |
| | | No. of species | 11 | 7 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 11 | |
| Australian smelt | *Retropinna semoni* | Freshwater estuarine opportunist | 2318 | 15660 | 22031 | 5746 | 519 | 64 | **46,338** |
| Bony herring | *Nematalosa erebi* | Freshwater estuarine opportunist | 131 | 19 | 83408 | 44 | 11 | 0 | **83,613** |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | *Philypnodon grandiceps* | Freshwater estuarine opportunist | 13108 | 43 | 1008 | 1066 | 592 | 27 | **15,844** |
| Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon | *Philypnodon macrostomus* | Freshwater straggler | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 0 | **23** |
| Carp gudgeon | *Hypseleotris* spp | Freshwater straggler | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **2** |
| Common carp | *Cyprinus carpio** | Freshwater straggler | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | **4** |
| Redfin perch | *Perca fluviatilis** | Freshwater straggler | 0 | 20 | 1290 | 42 | 15 | 0 | **1367** |
| Eastern gambusia | *Gambusia holbrooki** | Freshwater straggler | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | **4** |
| Common galaxias | *Galaxias maculatus* | Semi-catadromous | 3768 | 10477 | 2664 | 1760 | 3597 | 467 | **22,733** |
| Congolli | *Pseudaphritis urvillii* | Catadromous | 1574 | 718 | 52107 | 4848 | 15042 | 2440 | **76,729** |
| Small-mouthed hardyhead | *Atherinosoma microstoma* | Solely estuarine | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 8 | 9166 | 488 | **11,320** |

***denotes introduced species***

**Table 3-1 continued.**

| | | | Tauwitchere large vertical-slot | Tauwitchere small vertical-slot | Tauwitchere rock ramp | Goolwa vertical-slot | Adjacent Goolwa Barrage | Hunters Creek | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Common name** | **Scientific Name** | **Guild** | | | | | | | |
| Tamar River goby | *Afurcagobius tamarensis* | Solely estuarine | 1 | 0 | 336 | 6 | 2366 | 2 | **2711** |
| Blue-spot goby | *Pseudogobius olorum* | Solely estuarine | 2 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 54 | 118 | **209** |
| Lagoon goby | *Tasmanogobius lasti* | Solely estuarine | 486 | 0 | 1656 | 38 | 70 | 11 | **2261** |
| River garfish | *Hyperhamphus regularis* | Solely estuarine | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | **13** |
| Black bream | *Acanthopagrus butcheri* | Solely estuarine | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **1** |
| Bridled goby | *Arenogobius bifrenatus* | Estuarine & marine | 0 | 0 | 565 | 3 | 941 | 1 | **1510** |
| Yellow-eyed mullet | *Aldrichetta forsteri* | Estuarine & marine | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1321 | 30 | 0 | **1352** |
| Soldier fish | *Gymnapistes marmoratus* | Estuarine & marine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | **6** |
| Greenback flounder | *Rhombosolea tapirina* | Marine estuarine-opportunist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | **5** |
| Flat-tailed mullet | *Liza argentea* | Marine estuarine-opportunist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | **2** |
| Mulloway | *Argyrosomus japonicus* | Marine estuarine-opportunist | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | **17** |
| Smooth toadfish | *Tetractenos glaber* | Marine estuarine-opportunist | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | **9** |
| Sandy sprat | *Hyperlophus vittatus* | Marine estuarine-opportunist | 7 | 0 | 14481 | 771 | 4967 | 2 | **20,228** |
| Bridled leatherjacket | *Acanthaluteres spilomelanurus* | Marine straggler | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **1** |
| Australian Anchovy | *Engraulis australias* | Marine straggler | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **2** |
| | | **Total** | **21,400** | **26,938** | **181,273** | **15,659** | **37,413** | **3621** | **286,304** |

## 3.3. Temporal variation in fish assemblages

### *Total fish abundance, species richness and diversity*

The mean number of fish (all species combined) sampled per trap event varied substantially from 2006/07 to 2015/16 (Figure 3-2), with significant differences between years detected at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (*Pseudo-*$F_{8, 57}$ = 13.81, $p < 0.001$), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{8, 48}$ = 9.85, $p < 0.001$), Goolwa vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{7, 48}$ = 3.29, $p = 0.009$) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{4, 29}$ = 2.79, $p = 0.040$), but not at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{4, 29}$ = 0.93, $p = 0.473$) or adjacent Goolwa Barrage (*Pseudo-*$F_{6, 37}$ = 2.16, $p = 0.086$). Patterns of temporal variability in total fish abundance were similar at all locations, with low total abundance during the period of no freshwater discharge and disconnection through 2007–2010 and high total abundance following the resumption of freshwater discharge and connectivity in 2010/11 and 2011/12 (Figure 3-2). Abundance remained high, but variable in 2013/14 and 2014/15, but a general decline in total fish abundance was evident in 2015/16, with decreases observed at the Tauwitchere rock ramp, Tauwitchere vertical-slot, Goolwa vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage.




**Figure 3-2.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of fish (all species combined) sampled at a) the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), from 2006–2016. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08, whilst sampling at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) commenced in 2010/11. Sampling at the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage commenced in 2008/09. No sampling was conducted at any site in 2012/13.

Species richness (all sites combined) varied little between years, except for 2007/08 when 24 species were sampled (Figure 3-3). Nevertheless, the Goolwa vertical-slot and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage were not sampled in this year, likely resulting in reduced overall species richness. Species richness ranged 28–34 in all other years, with greatest species richness (*n* = 34) recorded in 2011/12. Nevertheless, the number of species sampled from different estuarine use categories varied substantially (Figure 3-3). The number of species from the freshwater category (freshwater 'estuarine-opportunists' and 'stragglers' combined) was lowest from 2007–2010 (*n* = 2–3), but greatest during times of freshwater discharge and connectivity from 2010–2015 (*n* = 10–11). In contrast, the number of species of marine origin (marine 'estuarine-opportunist' and 'stragglers' combined) was greatest from 2008–2010 (*n* = 18–19) and lowest in 2010/11 and 2013–2016 (*n* = 8–9). The number of diadromous species was reduced during 2007–2011 and 2014/15 (*n* = 2), due to the absence of both lamprey species, whilst the number of estuarine species did not differ substantially over the entire study period (*n* = 8–9).




**Figure 3-3.** Species richness (all sites combined) from 2006–2016, including the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories, i.e. freshwater (freshwater 'estuarine-opportunists' and 'stragglers' combined), diadromous (catadromous and anadromous combined), estuarine (solely estuarine and 'estuarine and marine' combined) and marine (marine 'estuarine-opportunists' and 'stragglers' combined). Guilds follow those proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015).

### *Assemblage structure*

MDS ordination plots show groupings of fish assemblages by year at each sampling location (Figure 3-4). These groupings are supported by PERMANOVA, which detected significant differences in fish assemblages at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (*Pseudo-*$F_{8, 57}$ = 15.28, $p < 0.001$), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{8, 48}$ = 11.31, $p < 0.001$), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{4, 29}$ = 3.57, $p < 0.001$), Goolwa vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{7, 48}$ = 5.75, $p < 0.001$), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (*Pseudo-*$F_{6, 37}$ = 7.91, $p < 0.001$) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{4, 29}$ = 5.79, $p < 0.001$).




**Figure 3-4.** MDS ordination plots of fish assemblages sampled at a) Tauwitchere rock ramp, b) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, c) Goolwa vertical-slot, d) adjacent Goolwa Barrage, e) Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and f) Hunters Creek vertical-slot, between 2006 and 2016.

*Tauwitchere sites*

Pair-wise comparisons revealed significant differences in fish assemblages at the Tauwitchere rock ramp between most years, except for 2008/09 and 2009/10, as well as 2009/10 and the years from 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.012$; Table 3-2). Fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot in 2006/07 differed significantly from assemblages sampled in all subsequent years (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.012$; Table 3-3). No significant difference was detected between assemblages sampled in 2007/08, 2008/09, 2009/10, 2014/15 and 2015/16. Assemblages sampled in 2010/11 and 2011/12 were not significantly different, but both years were significantly different from all previous years, and 2010/11 was also significantly different from all subsequent years. Similarly, the assemblage sampled in 2013/14 was not significantly different from that of 2011/12, but was significantly different from all preceding years. Assemblages sampled in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 were not significantly different. Fish assemblages at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot differed significantly between 2010/11 and all proceeding years, but assemblages sampled in 2011/12, 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 were not significantly different (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.017$; Table 3-4).

**Table 3-2.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.012. ns = non-significant.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2007/08** | *t* = 2.28<br>*p* < 0.001* | - | | | | | | |
| **2008/09** | *t* = 2.78<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.77<br>*p* = 0.008* | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *t* = 3.06<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 2.14<br>*p* = 0.008* | *t* = 2.09<br>*p* = 0.017<br>ns | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *t* = 5.20<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 6.04<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.50<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.30<br>*p* = 0.003* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *t* = 4.98<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.81<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.46<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.27<br>*p* < 0.011* | *t* = 2.44<br>*p* < 0.001* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *t* = 3.89<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 4.99<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 4.73<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 5.05<br>*p* = 0.029<br>ns | *t* = 2.77<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 1.76<br>*p* = 0.002* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *t* = 3.92<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 4.82<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 4.48<br>*p* = 0.013<br>ns | *t* = 4.60<br>*p* = 0.034<br>ns | *t* = 2.59<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.83<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 1.46<br>*p* = 0.039<br>ns | - |
| **2015/16** | *t* = 2.68<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 3.58<br>*p* = 0.004* | *t* = 3.41<br>*p* = 0.011* | *t* = 3.34<br>*p* = 0.028<br>ns | *t* = 2.78<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 2.49<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.50<br>*p* = 0.072<br>ns | *t* = 1.40<br>*p* = 0.206<br>ns |

**Table 3-3.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.012. ns = non-significant.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2007/08** | *t* = 2.784<br>*p* = 0.003* | - | | | | | | |
| **2008/09** | *t* = 3.447<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 1.597<br>*p* = 0.010* | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *t* = 3.637<br>*p* = 0.005* | *t* = 2.662<br>*p* = 0.037<br>ns | *t* = 2.439<br>*p* = 0.019<br>ns | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *t* = 4.527<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 5.450<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 4.693<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 4.914<br>*p* = 0.008* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *t* = 3.205<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 4.676<br>*p* = 0.004* | *t* = 4.290<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 4.232<br>*p* = 0.014<br>ns | *t* = 1.665<br>*p* = 0.015<br>ns | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *t* = 1.879<br>*p* = 0.007* | *t* = 3.551<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 3.506<br>*p* = 0.010* | *t* = 3.589<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 2.319<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.399<br>*p* = 0.083<br>ns | - | |
| **2014/15** | *t* = 2.212<br>*p* = 0.007* | *t* = 4.406<br>*p* = 0.026<br>ns | *t* = 3.609<br>*p* = 0.019<br>ns | *t* = 3.723<br>*p* = 0.115<br>ns | *t* = 2.250<br>*p* = 0.005* | *t* = 1.730<br>*p* = 0.017<br>ns | *t* = 7.739<br>*p* = 0.788<br>ns | - |
| **2015/16** | *t* = 2.364<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 5.080<br>*p* = 0.029<br>ns | *t* = 3.959<br>*p* = 0.016<br>ns | *t* = 4.304<br>*p* = 0.094<br>ns | *t* = 3.350<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 3.024<br>*p* = 0.011* | *t* = 1.369<br>*p* = 0.115<br>ns | *t* = 1.400<br>*p* = 0.115<br>ns |

**Table 3-4.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2010–2016 at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.017. ns = non-significant.

| Year | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2011/12** | *t* = 1.793<br>*p* = 0.006* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *t* = 2.310<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.476<br>*p* = 0.092 ns | - | |
| **2014/15** | *t* = 2.496<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 1.594<br>*p* = 0.031 ns | *t* = 0.765<br>*p* = 0.747 ns | - |
| **2015/16** | *t* = 2.591<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 1.765<br>*p* = 0.052 ns | *t* = 0.897<br>*p* = 0.536 ns | *t* = 1.341<br>*p* = 0.180 ns |

SIMPER indicated that fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwitchere rock ramp in 2015/16, differed from assemblages sampled in the years 2006–2009 due to greater abundance of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias, marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat, and freshwater bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*) and Australian smelt (*Retropinna semoni*) in 2015/16 (Table 3-5). Alternatively, differences between 2015/16 and the years 2010/11 and 2011/12, were driven by greater abundances of the freshwater Australian smelt, bony herring, flat-headed gudgeon (*Philypnodon grandiceps*) and redfin perch (*Perca fluviatilis*), estuarine lagoon goby and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat in 2010/11 and 2011/12, relative to 2015/16.

At the Tauwitchere vertical-slot, assemblages sampled in 2015/16 differed from those in 2006/07, due to greater abundance of the freshwater flat-headed gudgeon and Australian smelt, but lower abundance of the marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat in 2015/16 (Table 3-6). Alternatively, differences between assemblages in 2015/16 and the years 2010/11 and 2011/12 were driven by greater abundances of the catadromous common galaxias and freshwater flat-headed gudgeon in 2015/16, but greater abundance of the freshwater Australian smelt and redfin perch in 2010/11 and 2011/12. At the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, assemblages sampled in 2015/16 differed from those of 2010/11 due to greater abundance of the catadromous common galaxias and freshwater Australian smelt in 2015/16, but greater abundance of the freshwater redfin perch in 2010/11 (Table 3-7).

**Table 3-5.** Results of similarity of percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere rock ramp, deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2007/08** | *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus** *T. lastii** *R. semoni** *A. forsterii* | - | | | | | | |
| **2008/09** | *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus** *T. lastii** *R. semoni** *A. forsterii* | *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus** *A. forsterii* *R. tapirina* | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus** *T. lastii** *A. tamarensis** *P. olorum** *A. truttaceus* *A. georgianus* | *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus** *A. japonicus* *C. brevicaudus* *A. truttaceus* *A. georgianus* | *NS* | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *N. erebi* *P. fluviatilis* | *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *N. erebi* *T. lastii* | *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *N. erebi* *T. lastii* | *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *T. lastii* *N. erebi* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *H. vittatus* *R. semoni* *N. erebi* | *H. vittatus* *R. semoni* *T. lastii* | *H. vittatus* *R. semoni* *T. lastii* | *H. vittatus* *R. semoni* *T. lastii* | *H. vittatus* *P. grandiceps** *P. fluviatilis** *G. maculatus* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *P. urvillii* *R. semoni* | *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *R. semoni* *T. lastii* | *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *R. semoni* *T. lastii* | *NS* | *H. vittatus* *P. grandiceps** *P. fluviatilis** *R. semoni** *C. carpio** | *H. vittatus** *T. lastii** *R. semoni** *P. urvillii* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *P. urvillii* | *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *P. urvillii* *T. lastii* | *NS* | *NS* | *H. vittatus* *P. urvillii* *P. grandiceps** *R. semoni** *C. carpio** | *H. vittatus** *R. semoni** *P. urvillii* *G. maculatus* | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *P. urvillii* *N. erebi* *R. semoni* *H. vittatus* | *P. urvillii* *N. erebi* *H. vittatus* *G. maculatus* | *P. urvillii* *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *G. maculatus* | *NS* | *R. semoni** *N. erebi** *P. grandiceps** *P. fluviatilis** *T. lastii** | *H. vittatus** *R. semoni** *N. erebi** *T. lastii** | *NS* | *NS* |

**Table 3-6.** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2007/08** | *P. grandiceps** *P. urvillii** *G. maculatus** *H. vittatus** | - | | | | | | |
| **2008/09** | *P. grandiceps** *P. urvillii** *G. maculatus** | *G. maculatus** *A. microstoma** *H. vittatus* | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *P. grandiceps** *G. maculatus** *H. vittatus** | *NS* | *NS* | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *G. maculatus** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *P. grandiceps* | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *P. grandiceps* | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *P. grandiceps* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *T. lastii* *H. vittatus** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *T. lastii* | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *T. lastii* *P. urvillii* | *NS* | *NS* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *P. grandiceps* *P. urvillii* *H. vitattus* *R. semoni* | *P. urvillii* *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *T. lastii* | *P. urvillii* *G. maculatus* *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* | *G. maculatus* *P. grandiceps* *R. semoni* *P. urvillii* | *R. semoni** *H. vittatus* *G. maculatus* | *NS* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *P. urvilii* *H. vittatus* | *NS* | *NS* | *NS* | *R. semoni** *P. urvillii* *G. maculatus* | *NS* | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *P. grandiceps* *R. semoni* *H. vittatus** | *NS* | *NS* | *NS* | *R. semoni** *P. grandiceps* *G. maculatus* | *P. grandiceps* *R. semoni** *G. maculatus* *P. fluviatilis** | *NS* | *NS* |

**Table 3-7.** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2011/12** | *P. fluviatilis** <br> *R. semoni* <br> *G. maculatus* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *P. fluviatilis** <br> *R. semoni* <br> *P. urvillii* | *NS* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *G. maculatus* <br> *P. urvillii* <br> *R. semoni* | *NS* | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *P. fluviatilis** <br> *R. semoni* <br> *G. maculatus* | *NS* | *NS* | *NS* |

At the Tauwitchere rock ramp, the fish assemblage in 2006/07 was characterised by the presence of the anadromous short-headed lamprey (*Mordacia mordax*) (Table 3-8). In contrast, the assemblage in 2007/08 was characterised by the marine estuarine-opportunist flat-tailed mullet (*Liza argentea*) and marine straggler blue sprat (*Spratelloides robustus*), whilst in in 2009/10, the assemblage was characterised by the estuarine and marine estuary catfish (*Cnidglanis microcephalus*), six marine estuarine-opportunist species (i.e. mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*), Australian salmon (*Arripis truttaceus*), Australian herring (*Arripis georgianus*), prickly toadfish (*Contusus brevicaudus*), yellowfin whiting (*Sillago schomburgkii*) and Australian anchovy (*Engraulis australis*)) and two marine stragglers (i.e. big belly seahorse (*Hippocampus abdominalis*) and silver spot (*Threpterius maculosus*)). The assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by five freshwater species including carp gudgeon complex (*Hypseleotris* spp.), Australian smelt, flat-headed gudgeon, redfin perch and common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and one marine straggler (i.e. southern longfin goby (*Favonigobius lateralis*)). The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch (*Macquaria ambigua ambigua*), estuarine river garfish (*Hyperhamphus regularis*) and lagoon goby, and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat, whilst the assemblage in 2013/14 was characterised by the estuarine Tamar River goby (*Afurcagobius tamarensis*) and marine estuarine-opportunist long-snout flounder (*Ammosetris rostratus*). The assemblage in 2014/15 was characterised by the catadromous congolli and

common galaxias, and estuarine and marine bridled goby (*Arenogobius bifrenatus*). There were no significant indicators of the fish assemblage sampled in 2015/16.

At the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, there were no significant indicators of assemblages sampled in 2006/07, but assemblages from 2007/08 were characterised by the estuarine blue-spot goby (*Pseudogobius olorum*) (Table 3-9). There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2008/09, but in 2009/10, assemblages were characterised by the estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead (*Atherinosoma microstoma*). The assemblage in 2010/11 was characterised by freshwater species, namely bony herring, Australian smelt, redfin perch and common carp. The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch, whilst 2013/14 was characterised by the freshwater dwarf flat-headed gudgeon (*Philypnodon macrostomus*). The assemblage in 2014/15 was characterised by the catadromous congolli and common galaxias, and in 2015/16, by the freshwater flat-headed gudgeon.

At the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot in 2010/11, assemblages were characterised by the freshwater flat-headed gudgeon, carp gudgeon and redfin perch, and the estuarine blue-spot goby and lagoon goby (Table 3-9). The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater common carp, whilst there were no significant indicators of assemblages in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16.

**Table 3-8.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere rock ramp from 2006 to 2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007).

| Species | Guild | Year | Indicator Value | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Tauwitchere rockramp** | | | | |
| Short-headed lamprey | Anadromous | 2006/07 | 45.5 | 0.007 |
| Blue sprat | Marine straggler | 2007/08 | 44.4 | 0.010 |
| Flat-tailed mullet | Marine est-opportunist | 2007/08 | 31.1 | 0.028 |
| Mulloway | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 47.0 | 0.006 |
| Estuary catfish | Estuarine & marine | 2009/10 | 33.3 | 0.047 |
| Australian salmon | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 32.1 | 0.013 |
| Australian herring | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 60.7 | <0.001 |
| Prickly toadfish | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 90.3 | <0.001 |
| Yellowfin whiting | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 46.1 | 0.004 |
| Big belly seahorse | Marine straggler | 2009/10 | 33.3 | 0.048 |
| Silver spot | Marine straggler | 2009/10 | 33.3 | 0.048 |
| Australian anchovy | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 36.8 | 0.023 |
| Carp gudgeon complex | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 76.2 | <0.001 |
| Australian smelt | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2010/11 | 31.0 | <0.001 |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2010/11 | 42.2 | <0.001 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 32.0 | 0.034 |
| Common carp | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 61.6 | <0.001 |
| Southern longfin goby | Marine straggler | 2010/11 | 82.9 | <0.001 |
| Golden perch | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 36.5 | 0.016 |
| Lagoon goby | Solely estuarine | 2011/12 | 27.5 | 0.015 |
| River garfish | Solely estuarine | 2011/12 | 62.6 | 0.038 |
| Sandy sprat | Marine est-opportunist | 2011/12 | 28.9 | 0.035 |
| Tamar River goby | Solely estuarine | 2013/14 | 23.2 | <0.001 |
| Long-snout flounder | Marine est-opportunist | 2013/14 | 28.6 | 0.037 |
| Common galaxias | Semi-catadromous | 2014/15 | 34.4 | 0.012 |
| Congolli | Catadromous | 2014/15 | 30.2 | 0.033 |
| Bridled goby | Estuarine & marine | 2014/15 | 24.5 | 0.022 |

**Table 3-9.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot from 2006–2016, and at the small vertical-slot from 2010–2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007).

| Species | Guild | Year | Indicator Value | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Tauwitchere large vertical-slot** | | | | |
| Blue-spot goby | Solely estuarine | 2007/08 | 36.4 | 0.003 |
| Small-mouthed hardyhead | Solely estuarine | 2009/10 | 21.9 | 0.030 |
| Bony herring | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2010/11 | 32.7 | 0.036 |
| Australian smelt | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2010/11 | 35.5 | 0.002 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 39.1 | 0.008 |
| Common carp | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 31.0 | 0.017 |
| Golden perch | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 40.5 | 0.019 |
| Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater straggler | 2013/14 | 40.0 | 0.026 |
| Common galaxias | Semi-catadromous | 2014/15 | 29.0 | 0.013 |
| Congolli | Catadromous | 2014/15 | 29.2 | 0.014 |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2015/16 | 30.1 | 0.001 |
| **Tauwitchere small vertical-slot** | | | | |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 54.4 | 0.009 |
| Carp gudgeon complex | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 37.5 | 0.043 |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater est-opportunist | 2010/11 | 38.6 | 0.003 |
| Lagoon goby | Solely estuarine | 2010/11 | 38.8 | 0.044 |
| Blue-spot goby | Solely estuarine | 2010/11 | 44.4 | 0.021 |
| Common carp | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 40.8 | 0.039 |

*Goolwa sites*

Pair-wise comparisons revealed that fish assemblages sampled at the Goolwa vertical-slot in 2006/07 were significantly different from all other years, with the exception of 2009/10, 2013/14 and 2015/16 (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.013$; Table 3-10). The assemblage sampled in 2008/09 was not significantly different from 2009/10, but differed significantly from all proceeding years. Fish assemblages sampled in 2010/11 and 2011/12 were also not significantly different from one another, but both years were significantly different from other years for most comparisons. The years 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 were also not significantly different. Fish assemblages sampled adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2008/09 were similar to those from 2009/10, but significantly different to all other years (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.015$; Table 3-11). Similarly, assemblages from both 2010/11 and 2011/12 were significantly different from all other years. Assemblages sampled during the years 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 were not significantly different, but differed significantly from most preceding years.

**Table 3-10.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2006–2016 at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.013. ns = non-significant.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2008/09** | *t* = 2.805<br>*p* < 0.001* | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *t* = 1.720<br>*p* = 0.028<br>ns | *t* = 1.865<br>*p* = 0.042<br>ns | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *t* = 2.997<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 3.974<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 2.640<br>*p* = 0.007* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *t* = 2.020<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 3.745<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 3.044<br>*p* = 0.010* | *t* = 1.456<br>*p* = 0.063<br>ns | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *t* = 1.644<br>*p* = 0.015<br>ns | *t* = 3.142<br>*p* = 0.010* | *t* = 2.580<br>*p* = 0.008* | *t* = 2.089<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.615<br>*p* = 0.014<br>ns | - | |
| **2014/15** | *t* = 1.681<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 3.450<br>*p* = 0.010* | *t* = 3.197<br>*p* = 0.030<br>ns | *t* = 2.065<br>*p* = 0.004* | *t* = 1.643<br>*p* = 0.032<br>ns | *t* = 1.017<br>*p* = 0.432<br>ns | - |
| **2015/16** | *t* = 1.592<br>*p* = 0.034<br>ns | *t* = 3.078<br>*p* = 0.010* | *t* = 2.555<br>*p* = 0.029<br>ns | *t* = 1.743<br>*p* = 0.029<br>ns | *t* = 1.556<br>*p* = 0.012* | *t* = 0.903<br>*p* = 0.581<br>ns | *t* = 1.127<br>*p* = 0.348<br>ns |

**Table 3-11.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled from 2008–2016 adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS). PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. *denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.014. ns = non-significant.

| Year | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2009/10** | *t* = 1.295<br>*p* = 0.139 ns | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *t* = 4.222<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 3.334<br>*p* = 0.005* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *t* = 3.370<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 2.519<br>*p* = 0.005* | *t* = 2.731<br>*p* < 0.001* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *t* = 3.358<br>*p* = 0.005* | *t* = 2.614<br>*p* = 0.013* | *t* = 2.390<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 1.859<br>*p* = 0.005* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *t* = 4.018<br>*p* = 0.009* | *t* = 3.475<br>*p* = 0.028 ns | *t* = 2.367<br>*p* = 0.002* | *t* = 2.093<br>*p* = 0.004* | *t* = 1.066<br>*p* = 0.324 ns | - |
| **2015/16** | *t* = 3.541<br>*p* = 0.008* | *t* = 2.917<br>*p* = 0.022 ns | *t* = 2.756<br>*p* = 0.003* | *t* = 2.777<br>*p* < 0.001* | *t* = 1.665<br>*p* = 0.016 ns | *t* = 2.072<br>*p* = 0.032 ns |

Patterns of temporal variation in fish assemblages at the Goolwa vertical-slot were similar to the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot. In 2015/16, the assemblage differed from that of 2008/09 due to greater abundance of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias, and freshwater Australian smelt and flat-headed gudgeon in 2015/16 (Table 3-12). Alternatively, differences between 2015/16 and 2011/12 were due again to greater abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias in 2015/16, but greater abundances of the freshwater Australian smelt and bony herring, and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat in 2011/12. Similarly at the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage, differences between assemblages in 2015/16 and 2008/09 were driven by greater abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias, marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat and estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead in 2015/16, but greater abundance of the estuarine and marine yellow-eyed mullet in 2008/09 (Table 3-13). Differences between 2015/16 and the years 2010/11 and 2011/12 were driven by greater abundances of catadromous congolli, estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead and estuarine and marine bridled goby (*Arenogobius bifrenatus*) in 2015/16, but greater abundances of the freshwater bony herring, redfin perch and flat-headed gudgeon, and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat in 2010/11 and 2011/12.

ISA of assemblage data from the Goolwa vertical-slot indicated that assemblages in 2006/07 were characterised by the anadromous short-headed lamprey (Table 3-14). The assemblage in 2008/09 was characterised by the estuarine black bream and marine estuarine-opportunist flat-tailed mullet, whilst the assemblage in 2009/10 was characterised by estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead, estuarine and marine bridled goby and soldier fish (*Gymnapistes marmoratus*), and marine straggler zebra fish (*Girella zebra*). The assemblage in 2010/11 was characterised by the freshwater redfin perch, and the 2011/12 assemblage by the freshwater goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2013/14, but the assemblage in 2014/15 was characterised by the catadromous congolli and common galaxias. There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2015/16.

The assemblage sampled adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2008/09 was characterised by the estuarine black bream and the estuarine and marine yellow-eyed mullet, whilst the assemblage in 2009/10 was characterised by the marine estuarine-opportunist smooth toadfish (*Tetractenos glaber*) (Table 3-14). The assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by five freshwater species, namely bony herring, carp gudgeon, Australian smelt, flat-headed gudgeon and redfin perch, and the estuarine and marine bridled goby. The assemblage sampled in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch and goldfish, and the marine estuarine-opportunist Australian salmon. There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2013/14, but in

2014/15, the assemblage was characterised by the freshwater dwarf flat-headed gudgeon and catadromous congolli, and in 2015/16 by the marine estuarine-opportunist blue-spot flathead (*Platycephalus speculator*).

**Table 3-12.** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2006/07 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2008/09** | *H. vittatus** *R. semoni** *G. maculatus** *P. urvillii** | - | | | | | |
| **2009/10** | *NS* | *NS* | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *R. semoni* *T. lastii* *H. vittatus** *G. maculatus** *P. urvillii** | *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *N. erebi* *P. fluviatilis* *L. argentea** | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *P. fluviatilis* *T. lastii* *H. vittatus** *L. argentea** | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *R. semoni* *H. vittatus** *G. maculatus** *P. urvillii** | *R. semoni* *H. vittatus* *N. erebi* *G. maculatus* | *R. semoni* *N. erebi* *G. maculatus* *A. microstoma** *L. argentea** | *NS* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *NS* | *P. urvillii* *R. semoni* *P. grandiceps* *N. erebi* | *P. urvilli* *N. erebi* *A. mcrostoma** *H. vittatus** *L. argentea** | *P. urvilli* *G. maculatus* *N. erebi* *R. semoni** | *NS* | - | |
| **2014/15** | *H. vittatus* *G. maculatus* *P. urvillii* | *P. urvilli* *R. semoni* *G. maculatus* | *NS* | *P. urvilli* *G. maculatus* *H. vittatus* | *NS* | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *NS* | *P. urvilli* *R. semoni* *G. maculatus* *P. grandiceps* | *NS* | *NS* | *P. urvilli* *G. maculatus* *R. semoni** *H. vittatus** *N. erebi** | *NS* | *NS* |

**Table 3-13.** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2009/10** | *NS* | - | | | | |
| **2010/11** | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. grandiceps*<br>*P. fluviatilus*<br>*N. erebi* | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. grandiceps*<br>*P. fluviatilus*<br>*N. erebi* | - | | | |
| **2011/12** | *H. vittatus*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*R. semoni*<br>*T. lastii*<br>*A. forsterii** | *H. vittatus*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*T. lastii*<br>*A. forsterii**<br>*A. bifrenatus** | *H. vittatus*<br>*A. bifrenatus**<br>*P. fluviatilis**<br>*P. grandiceps**<br>*A. microstoma** | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. urvillii*<br>*G. maculatus*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*P. grandiceps* | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. urvillii*<br>*G. maculatus*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*T. lastii* | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. urvillii*<br>*P. fluviatilis**<br>*A. bifrenatus**<br>*P. grandiceps** | *P. urvilli*<br>*T. lastii*<br>*H. vittatus**<br>*A. truttaceus**<br>*N. erebi** | - | |
| **2014/15** | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. urvillii*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*P. grandiceps* | *NS* | *H. vittatus*<br>*P. urvillii*<br>*A. microstoma**<br>*A. bifrenatus**<br>*P. grandiceps** | *P. urvilli*<br>*T. lastii*<br>*N. erebi*<br>*H. vittatus**<br>*A. truttaceus** | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *P. urvillii*<br>*G. maculatus*<br>*H. vittatus*<br>*A. microstoma*<br>*A. forsterii** | *NS* | *P. urvillii*<br>*H. vittatus**<br>*N. erebi**<br>*P. fluviatilis**<br>*P. grandiceps** | *P. urvilli*<br>*A. microstoma*<br>*A. bifrenatus*<br>*H. vittatus**<br>*N. erebi** | *NS* | *NS* |

**Table 3-14.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Goolwa vertical slot from 2006–2015 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage from 2008–2015. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007).

| Species | Guild | Year | Indicator Value | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Goolwa vertical-slot** | | | | |
| Short-headed lamprey | Anadromous | 2006/07 | 46.2 | 0.008 |
| Black bream | Solely estuarine | 2008/09 | 44.4 | 0.007 |
| Flat-tailed mullet | Marine est-opportunist | 2008/09 | 33.1 | 0.026 |
| Small-mouthed hardyhead | Solely estuarine | 2009/10 | 39.1 | <0.001 |
| Bridled goby | Estuarine & marine | 2009/10 | 33.6 | 0.023 |
| Soldier fish | Estuarine & marine | 2009/10 | 35.3 | 0.031 |
| Australian salmon | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 37.8 | 0.026 |
| Zebra fish | Marine straggler | 2009/10 | 58.3 | 0.005 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 24.3 | 0.023 |
| Goldfish | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 46.2 | 0.007 |
| Common galaxias | Semi-catadromous | 2014/15 | 21.4 | 0.046 |
| Congolli | Catadromous | 2014/15 | 27.1 | 0.003 |
| **Adjacent Goolwa Barrage** | | | | |
| Black bream | Solely estuarine | 2008/09 | 36.2 | 0.020 |
| Yellow-eyed mullet | Estuarine & marine | 2008/09 | 37.5 | 0.013 |
| Smooth toadfish | Marine est-opportunist | 2009/10 | 47.4 | 0.005 |
| Bony herring | Freshwater migrant | 2010/11 | 29.5 | 0.016 |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater migrant | 2010/11 | 36.5 | <0.001 |
| Carp gudgeon | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 61.3 | 0.003 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 40.1 | 0.001 |
| Australian smelt | Freshwater migrant | 2010/11 | 28.6 | 0.007 |
| Bridled goby | Estuarine & marine | 2010/11 | 31.9 | 0.009 |
| Golden perch | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 54.2 | <0.001 |
| Goldfish | Freshwater straggler | 2011/12 | 35.4 | 0.042 |
| Australian salmon | Marine est-opportunist | 2011/12 | 51.3 | 0.001 |
| Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater straggler | 2014/15 | 48.8 | 0.006 |
| Congolli | Catadromous | 2014/15 | 29.7 | 0.010 |
| Blue-spot flathead | Marine est-opportunist | 2015/16 | 50.0 | 0.021 |

### *Hunters Creek*

Pair-wise comparisons revealed that fish assemblages sampled at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot differed significantly between all years, with the exception of 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 (B-Y method corrected $\alpha = 0.0170$) (Table 3-15). SIMPER indicated the assemblage in 2015/16, differed from the years 2010/11 and 2011/12 due to greater abundances of catadromous congolli in 2015/16 compared to 2011/12, but greater abundances of freshwater redfin perch, common carp and bony herring in 2010/11 and 2011/12. Furthermore, ISA determined that the assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by the freshwater carp gudgeon, flat-headed

gudgeon, redfin perch and common carp, whilst the assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch (Table 3-17). There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2013/14, 2014/15 or 2015/16.

**Table 3-15.** PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled from 2010–2016 at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot fishway. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. After B-Y method FDR correction α = 0.017.

| Year | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2011/12** | $t$ = 2.209<br>$p$ < 0.001* | - | | |
| **2013/14** | $t$ = 3.049<br>$p$ = 0.002* | $t$ = 2.637<br>$p$ = 0.002* | - | |
| **2014/15** | $t$ = 2.713<br>$p$ = 0.002* | $t$ = 2.580<br>$p$ = 0.003* | $t$ = 1.238<br>$p$ = 0.229 ns | - |
| **2015/16** | $t$ = 2.790<br>$p$ = 0.004* | $t$ = 2.630<br>$p$ = 0.002* | $t$ = 1.323<br>$p$ = 0.139 ns | $t$ = 1.481<br>$p$ = 0.167 ns |

**Table 3-16.** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. * indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. *NS* = non-significant comparison.

| Year | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **2011/12** | *P. fluviatilis** <br>*P. grandiceps** <br>*N. erebi** | - | | |
| **2013/14** | *P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** <br>*P. grandiceps** | *P. urvillii* <br>*P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** | - | |
| **2014/15** | *P. urvillii* <br>*P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** | *P. urvillii* <br>*P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** | *NS* | - |
| **2015/16** | *P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** <br>*N. erebi** | *P. urvillii* <br>*P. fluviatilis** <br>*C. carpio** <br>*N. erebi** | *NS* | *NS* |

**Table 3-17.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages at the Hunters Creek vertical slot from 2010–2016. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Elliott *et al.* (2007).

| Species | Guild | Year | Indicator Value | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Carp gudgeon | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 48.7 | 0.023 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | 2010/11 | 58.9 | 0.003 |
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater migrant | 2010/11 | 45.1 | <0.001 |
| Common carp | Freshwater migrant | 2010/11 | 49.6 | 0.001 |
| Golden perch | Freshwater migrant | 2011/12 | 64.0 | 0.003 |

## 3.4. Spatial variation in fish assemblages in 2015/16

MDS ordination of fish assemblage data from the vertical-slot fishways exhibited interspersion of samples from the large vertical-slot fishways at Goolwa and Tauwitchere, and separation of samples from Hunters Creek and the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (Figure 3-5a), supported by PERMANOVA, which detected significant differences in fish assemblages between capture locations (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 14} = 4.62$, $p < 0.001$). Pair-wise comparisons, when adopting a correction of significance to account for multiple comparisons (i.e. B-Y corrected $\alpha = 0.02$), suggest there was no significant differences between any fishway (Table 3-9). Nonetheless, if in this case we adopt a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, pairwise comparisons suggest that assemblages were significantly different between all fishway comparisons with the exception of the Goolwa vertical-slot and the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, as well as the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot and the Hunters Creek vertical-slot. MDS ordination of fish assemblage data from the Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) exhibited interspersion (Figure 3-5b) and PERMANOVA suggested assemblages sampled from these locations were not significantly different (*Pseudo-*$F_{1, 7} = 1.25$, $p = 0.284$).




**Figure 3-5.** MDS ordination plot of fish assemblages sampled at the a) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) in 2015/16.

**Table 3-18.** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages from the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) in 2015/16. PERMANOVA was performed on bray-curtis similarity matrices.

| Pairwise comparison | | *t* | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Location** | **Location** | | |
| TVS | GVS | 1.67 | 0.092 ns |
| TVS | TSVS | 3.16 | 0.026* |
| TVS | Hunters | 2.17 | 0.056 ns |
| GVS | Hunters | 1.93 | 0.033* |
| GVS | TSVS | 2.32 | 0.026* |
| Hunters | TSVS | 1.82 | 0.032* |

Indicator species analysis was used to determine species that characterised assemblages at the different vertical-slot fishways in 2015/16. Of 25 species sampled, five were significant indicators of the fish assemblage at a particular location (Table 3-19). The freshwater flat-headed gudgeon, catadromous common galaxias and estuarine lagoon goby characterised the assemblage at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, whilst the freshwater redfin perch and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat characterised the assemblage at the Goolwa vertical-slot.

**Table 3-19.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, in 2015/16.

| Species | | Location | Indicator Value | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Flat-headed gudgeon | Freshwater est-opportunist | TVS | 93.9 | 0.006 |
| Common galaxias | Semi-catadromous | TVS | 70.0 | 0.036 |
| Lagoon goby | Estuarine | TVS | 92.3 | 0.025 |
| Redfin perch | Freshwater straggler | GVS | 73.8 | 0.025 |
| Sandy sprat | Marine est-opportunist | GVS | 95.9 | 0.025 |

## 3.5. Spatio-temporal variation in the abundance and recruitment of diadromous species

### *Inter-annual variation in abundance*

*Lamprey*

No short-headed lamprey or pouched lamprey were captured during sampling in spring/summer 2015/16; nonetheless, substantial numbers of pouched lamprey (*n* = 56) were sampled across the Goolwa vertical-slot, Tauwitchere large vertical-slot and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot fishways during specific winter monitoring from June to August 2015 (Figure 3-6a). This followed the sampling of low numbers of pouched lamprey in July and September 2011 (*n* = 10) and July 2013 (*n* = 2).

Short-headed lamprey was sampled in moderate abundance across three locations from September to November 2006 and in low abundance adjacent Goolwa Barrage in November 2011, but was absent from sampling in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 (Figure 3-6b).




**Figure 3-6.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) pouched lamprey and b) short-headed lamprey at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) from 2006–2015. No sampling was undertaken in 2012/13, whilst Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot was only sampled in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2013/14. Data from 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2015/16 includes supplementary sampling in winter.

*Congolli and common galaxias*

The abundance of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias differed significantly between years at all sampling locations (Table 3-20), with the exception of common galaxias at Hunters Creek (Table 3-20). The abundances of congolli recorded in 2015/16 were considerably greater than all years from 2006–2012, and similar to those observed in 2013/14, across all sites (Figure 3-7a). Nonetheless, abundance had declined substantially from that observed in 2014/15 at all sites except the Tauwitchere rock ramp. Patterns of variability in abundance were generally consistent across sites with decreased abundances over the period 2007–2010, relative to 2006/07, and a trend of gradually increasing abundance from 2010/11 through to 2014/15.

A similar pattern of variability in abundance was evident for common galaxias. Abundance in 2015/16 was similar to that observed in 2014/15, at most sites, and among the highest recorded since the inception of the current monitoring project in 2006 (Figure 3-7b). As with congolli, common galaxias was typically sampled in low abundances through the period 2007–2010, with the exception of the Goolwa vertical-slot where this species was sampled in relatively high abundance in 2009/10. Following the reconnection of the Lower Lakes and Coorong in 2010/11 there were generally increases in the abundances of this species relative to the preceding years, with further increases occurring annually until abundance peaked in 2014/15.

**Table 3-20.** Summary of results of uni-variate single factor PERMANOVA to determine differences in the relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of congolli and common galaxias sampled from 2006–2016 at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small-vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot. PERMANOVA was performed on Euclidean Distance similarity matrices. α = 0.05.

| | | Congolli | | Common galaxias | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Site** | **df** | ***Pseudo-F*** | ***P* value** | ***Pseudo-F*** | ***P* value** |
| TRR | 8, 98 | 31.39 | <0.001* | 34.39 | <0.001* |
| TVS | 8, 122 | 20.66 | <0.001* | 48.40 | <0.001* |
| GVS | 7, 131 | 22.67 | <0.001* | 5.16 | 0.002* |
| GDS | 6, 46 | 20.89 | <0.001* | 17.41 | <0.001* |
| TSVS | 4, 76 | 9.93 | <0.001* | 17.12 | <0.001* |
| Hunters | 4, 76 | 5.14 | 0.002* | 0.55 | 0.680 ns |




**Figure 3-7.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from 2006–2016. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were sampled from 2010/11 onwards. All sites were not sampled in 2012/13.

### *Intra-annual variation in abundance and recruitment of congolli and common galaxias*

The abundance of upstream migrating congolli was significantly different between months at the Goolwa vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 11}$ = 7.99, $p$ = 0.006) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 10}$ = 26.81, $p$ = 0.005), but not at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{2, 6}$ = 8.07, $p$ = 0.072) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 11}$ = 0.83, $p$ = 0.530). Statistical tests of significance could not be carried out on data from the Tauwitchere rock ramp or adjacent Goolwa Barrage, as these sites were only sampled once each week; nevertheless, abundance varied substantially between months. Intra-annual variation in abundance was similar between sites, with congolli sampled in greatest abundance in either November or December at all sites (Figure 3-8a). Abundance generally declined through January and February, with the exception of the Tauwitchere rock ramp, where abundance remained high in February. Peak abundance of congolli in 2015/16 was detected at the Tauwitchere rock ramp in December (~2090 fish.hr$^{-1}$), and at the Goolwa vertical-slot in November when an average of 50 ± 28 fish.hr$^{-1}$ were detected migrating upstream.




**Figure 3-8.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour$^{-1}$.trap event$^{-1}$) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from November 2015–February 2016.

The abundance of upstream migrating common galaxias was significantly different between months at the Goolwa vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 11}$ = 31.87, $p < 0.001$) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 10}$ = 52.21, $p = 0.002$), but not at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{3, 10}$ = 2.05, $p = 0.226$) or the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (*Pseudo-*$F_{2, 6}$ = 3.46, $p = 0.111$). Statistical tests of significance could not be carried out on data from the Tauwitchere rock ramp or adjacent Goolwa Barrage, as these sites were only sampled once each week. Peaks in abundance were variable between sites; abundance peaked adjacent Goolwa Barrage in January, whilst at the Goolwa vertical-slot, Tauwitchere rock ramp, Tauwitchere large vertical-slot and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, peaks occurred in November and/or February (Figure 3-8b).

Below Tauwitchere Barrage (Tauwitchere rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot data combined) in November 2015, congolli ranged 32–93 mm TL, but the sampled population was dominated (~92%) by fish ranging 32–59 mm TL (Figure 3-9a). Whilst fish were not aged in 2015/16, fish of this size have previously been determined to represent a 0+ cohort (Bice *et al.* 2012). This cohort increased in length throughout the sampling period (December 2015: 31–56 mm TL, January 2016: 35–70 mm TL and February 2016: 36–72 mm TL) and represented ≥90% of the sampled population during each month.

A similar pattern was evident below Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage data combined) with the sampled population of fish ranging 29–112 mm TL and dominated by a YOY cohort (29–57 mm TL; ~89% of population) in November 2015 (Figure 3-9b). Growth of this cohort was evident through the following months, progressing to 32–62, 35–72 and 40–68 mm TL in December 2015, January 2016 and February 2016, respectively. This cohort remained dominant throughout sampling, comprising ≥89% of the population in all months.

Length-frequency distributions at Hunters Creek were similar to both Tauwitchere and Goolwa, with the exception of fewer fish being sampled in January and February 2016, and no individuals >100 mm TL sampled for the entire sampling season (Figure 3-9c). Sampled fish ranged 33–61, 35–82, 44–98 and 54–73 mm TL during sequential sampling events and the 0+ cohort represented >90% of the sampled population during all months except January when only eight individuals were sampled.

Common galaxias ranged 32–97 mm TL at Tauwitchere in November 2015, but individuals 32–63 mm TL comprised ~88% of the sampled population (Figure 3-10a). As for congolli, whilst common galaxias were not aged in 2015/16, fish of this size have been determined to represent

a 0+ cohort in previous years (see Bice *et al.* 2012). The 0+ cohort represented >90% of the sampled population in each sampling month.

At Goolwa in November 2015, the 0+ cohort of common galaxias ranged 31–59 mm TL and comprised 100% of the sampled population (Figure 3-10b). Larger individuals within this range progressively comprised greater proportions of the population in subsequent months indicating growth of the 0+ cohort, whilst small individuals <35 mm remained present suggesting a persistent influx of young fish. The 0+ cohort remained dominant throughout sampling comprising 95–100% of the sampled population throughout sampling.

The length-frequency distributions for common galaxias at Hunters Creek in 2015/16 were similar to Tauwitchere and Goolwa, in that the 0+ cohort (<60 mm TL) typically comprised ≥90% of the sampled population in all months (Figure 3-10c). Length-frequency distributions were, however, more constrained and fewer fish were sampled in February 2016 at Hunters Creek than at both Tauwitchere and Goolwa.




**Figure 3-9.** Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of congolli sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from November 2015–February 2016. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets.




**Figure 3-10.** Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of common galaxias sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from November 2015–February 2016. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets.

## 3.6. Assessment of TLM condition monitoring targets

### *Target 1 and 2: Catadromous fish migration and recruitment*

Comparison of the annual recruitment index (*RI*) against the predetermined reference value suggests that Target 1 was met for congolli in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16 (Figure 3-11a); however, the target was not met in 2006/07, 2007/08, 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11. A similar pattern of variability in abundance of upstream migrating juveniles was evident for common galaxias; however, Target 2 was met in all years, with the exception of 2007/08, 2008/09 and 2010/11 (Figure 3-11b).




**Figure 3-11.** Catadromous annual recruitment index (*RI*, number of upstream migrating YOY.hour$^{-1}$ ± half confidence interval for a) congolli and b) common galaxias from 2006/07 to 2015/16 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The reference value is indicated by the blue line and half confidence intervals indicated by dashed lines.

### *Target 3: Anadromous migration*

Comparison of the migration index (*MI*) across years indicates the target for short-headed lamprey was met in 2006/07, but not from 2007 to 2011, indeed no short-headed lamprey were sampled in these years (Figure 3-12). A slight increase in *MI* was observed in 2011/12, but not of a magnitude to meet the prescribed target, and no individuals have been sampled in the past three years (2013–2016). Pouched lamprey was only sampled from one site in 2006/07, resulting in low *MI* and failure to meet the target. Similar to short-headed lamprey, this was followed by absence of the species from monitoring and failure to meet the target from 2007 to 2011. Individuals were subsequently sampled from four sites in 2011/12 and the target was met for this species. Individuals were sampled from one site in 2013/14 and were absent in 2014/15, resulting in failure to meet the target in both years. In 2015/16, pouched lamprey were detected at three sites, resulting in the target being met. Notably, *MI* is typically highest during years with specific winter monitoring.




**Figure 3-12.** Anadromous migration index (*MI*, yearly proportion of sites where sampled: proportion of sites where sampled in reference year) for short-headed lamprey (*open circles*) and pouched lamprey (*closed circles*) from 2006/07 to 2015/16 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The blue line represents the reference value and dashed line indicates a 40% tolerance and level deemed to indicate target was met. * indicate years in which specific sampling for lamprey occurred during winter.

# 4. DISCUSSION

## 4.1. Fish assemblages

### *Inter-annual variation*

From 2007–2010, a combination of drought and consumptive water use resulted in cessation of freshwater discharge to the Coorong, disconnection of the Lower Lakes and Coorong, and elevated salinities in the Coorong, to the detriment of a range of native flora and fauna (Kingsford *et al.* 2011). Nonetheless, 2015/16 represented the sixth consecutive year of continuous freshwater discharge to the Coorong post the end of the Millennium Drought (late 2010), promoting connectivity between the Coorong and Lower Lakes and persistence of a salinity gradient from brackish to marine in the Coorong estuary. In 2015/16, however, discharge decreased relative to the five preceding years, with associated increases in salinity. The structure of fish assemblages remained characteristic of a dynamic estuary under freshwater influence, but transitioning towards that characteristic of 'low flow' conditions. Nonetheless, young-of-the-year (YOY) of catadromous species were abundant, albeit less so than in 2014/15, reflecting continuous freshwater discharge and connectivity between freshwater and estuarine environments over the preceding year.

In 2015/16, 27 fish species, representing 20 families, were sampled at six sites immediately downstream of the Murray Barrages in the Coorong estuary. The fish assemblage consisted of a diverse range of life history categories including freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine species, with each represented by one or more species that was abundant (i.e. >10,000 individuals). This contrasts the depauperate fish assemblage during the extended period (2007–2010) of no freshwater discharge to the Coorong when species of marine origin and some medium to large-bodied estuarine species were dominant, and diadromous and freshwater species were absent or in low abundance (Zampatti *et al.* 2011a). It also contrasts the fish assemblage in 2010/11 and 2011/12, which was diverse but characterised by common freshwater species (i.e. Australian smelt, redfin perch, bony herring and flat-headed gudgeon) which numerically comprised >65% of the total catch (Zampatti *et al.* 2012). The assemblage in 2015/16 was statistically similar to that in 2013/14 and 2014/15 (Bice and Zampatti 2014, Bice and Zampatti 2015), with similar species richness for species from marine, estuarine and diadromous

life history categories, but a decline in freshwater species richness. Furthermore, total fish abundance decreased substantially at several sites, relative to 2013/14 and 2014/15. Declining freshwater species richness and overall abundance may indicate a greater marine influence and reduced system productivity in association with decreased freshwater discharge in 2015/16. Indeed, the assemblage of 2015/16 appeared increasingly similar to that of 2006/07 (a year of low discharge, 63 GL, and preceded by a year of low discharge in 2005/06, 770 GL), suggesting a potential trajectory towards an assemblage associated with consecutive years of low discharge.

Reductions in overall abundance in 2015/16 were largely driven by declines in the abundance of the marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat. From 2010/11 to 2014/15, sandy sprat was typically the dominant species sampled, numerically comprising ≥50% of the overall catch, but in 2015/16 this species comprised <10% of the catch. Sandy sprat is a small-bodied (typically <100 mm TL), pelagic, schooling clupeid, which is common in coastal bays and estuaries across southern Australia (Gaughan *et al.* 1996, Gomon *et al.* 2008). Whilst considered a marine estuarine-opportunist species, the species has previously exhibited an association with freshwater inflows to the Coorong, being caught in greatest abundance during years of freshwater flow (i.e. 2006/07 and 2010–2015) and lowest during years of low or zero freshwater inflow (2007–2010) (Zampatti *et al.* 2011, 2012, Bice *et al.* 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2014). Sandy sprat is zooplanktivorous and a recent study, utilising gut content and stable isotope analyses, has documented both the direct predation of freshwater zooplankton transported to the Coorong in freshwater discharge, and assimilation of organic matter of freshwater origin (Bice *et al.* 2016). Bice *et al.* (2016) propose this trophic subsidy as a potential mechanism driving the abundance–discharge association for the species, which is consistent with declining abundance observed in 2015/16. Sandy sprat is fundamental to trophic dynamics in the Coorong (Giatas and Ye 2016), particularly the Murray estuary, where, contrary to the North and South Lagoons, it supplants small-mouthed hardyhead as the most abundant small-bodied fish (Ye *et al.* 2012). Declines in the abundance of sandy sprat are likely to have flow on effects to higher trophic organisms, including juvenile mulloway.

The influence of salinity on spatio-temporal variation in estuarine fish assemblage structure has been documented widely (Lonergan and Bunn 1999, Barletta *et al.* 2005, Baptista *et al.* 2010). Indeed the results of this study, from 2006–2015, confirm the importance of spatio-temporal variation in salinity in influencing fish assemblage patterns in the Coorong. At a range of spatial and temporal scales, low salinities promoted by high freshwater flows (e.g. 2010/11) often result in low species diversity and high abundances of a few freshwater and estuarine dependent species (Lamberth *et al.* 2008). Brackish salinities, such as those present in the Murray estuary

in 2006/07, 2011/12, 2013/14 and 2014/15 result in high species diversity, with a range of freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine migrant and straggler species present (Baptista *et al.* 2010). In contrast high salinities (e.g. marine and greater), such as those resulting from diminished freshwater inflows to the Coorong estuary from 2007–2010, result in decreased species diversity and an assemblage characterised by the loss of freshwater species and increases in marine species (Martinho *et al.* 2007).

***Intra-annual spatial variation***

In 2015/16, fish assemblages sampled at vertical-slot fishways were generally similar, with the exception of the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot differing from all other fishways, and Hunters Creek vertical-slot differing from the Goolwa vertical-slot. This pattern of intra-annual spatial variability between fishways is similar to that observed in 2013/14 and 2014/15 (Bice and Zampatti 2014, 2015). These differences are likely due to a combination of: 1) differences in habitat upstream and downstream of the fishways; 2) different positioning of fishways on the barrages (i.e. the edge of the barrage vs the centre of the barrage); and 3) differences in fishway designs and hydraulics. Both upstream and downstream of the Hunters Creek causeway, aquatic habitat is characterised by small sheltered streams and wetlands, in contrast to the other fishways, which are situated on the barrages and characterised by open water habitats both upstream and downstream. As such, several pelagic freshwater species (e.g. Australian smelt and bony herring) are typically uncommon at Hunters Creek, whilst the assemblage is often dominated by YOY catadromous species (congolli and common galaxias). Differences between use of the Tauwitchere small and large vertical-slot fishways has been previously observed (SARDI unpublished data), despite being located on the same barrage. The small vertical-slot is positioned at the end of the barrage as opposed to the centre of the barrage for the large vertical-slot, and also discharges substantially less water than the large vertical-slot. Greater abundance at the small vertical-slot may indicate the use of the littoral zone in upstream migration or greater abundance at the large vertical-slot may indicate a greater preference for higher volume discharge and subsequently, attraction. As such, a combination of fishway positioning and hydraulics (i.e. discharge volume and velocities), in concert with differences in species migratory behaviour, likely resulted in the differences observed between these fishways.

Similar total abundances of fish were sampled at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (3057 fish.sampling event$^{-1}$) and the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (2449 fish.sampling event$^{-1}$), followed by the Goolwa vertical-slot (1305 fish.sampling event$^{-1}$) and the Hunters Creek vertical-

slot (329 fish.sampling event$^{-1}$). Whilst discharge through the Murray Barrages was generally low during sampling in 2015/16, greater volumes of water were often discharged through Tauwitchere, relative to the other barrages, which likely resulted in greater displacement of freshwater fishes (e.g. Australian smelt) and generally greater attraction of migrating fish to fishways on this barrage. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot is highly effective at passing small-bodied fishes (Zampatti *et al.* 2012) and attraction to the fishway is greatest under conditions of high head loss across the barrages, which was typical of conditions experienced in 2015/16. The use of this fishway by similar numbers of fish to the large vertical-slot is encouraging, given the small vertical-slot discharges much less water and is more effective at passing small-bodied fishes. Nonetheless, use of individual fishways is typically influenced by specific barrage operations (i.e. positioning of individual gate openings on each structure) and such operations should be tailored to attracting fish to fishways that are most effective at facilitating passage given the prevailing conditions (head loss, discharge, etc.).

Whilst not compared statistically, the fish assemblages sampled at the vertical-slot fishways and sites adjacent the barrages (i.e. Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage) appear to vary substantially. This variation reflects potential behavioural differences between species and the specificity of sampling locations at these sites. Sampling in the entrance of vertical-slot fishways typically collects fish in the process of undertaking 'driven' migrations between the Coorong and Lower Lakes, whilst sampling at sites adjacent to the barrages captures accumulations of such species but also, large numbers of species from estuarine and marine life history categories residing adjacent the barrages. As such, species richness and overall abundance are typically greatest at the sites adjacent the barrages (Zampatti *et al.* 2011, 2012, Bice *et al.* 2012). Indeed, species richness and overall fish abundance varied from seven species at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and 3,621 individuals (~1.3% of all fish sampled in 2015/16) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot to 20 species and a total of 181,273 individuals (~63% of all fish sampled in 2015/16) at the Tauwitchere rock ramp.

## 4.2. Abundance, recruitment and assessment of ecological targets for diadromous fish

### *Catadromous species*

Total numbers and relative abundances of congolli in 2015/16, were among the highest since the inception of this monitoring program in 2006/07 (Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2011, Bice *et al.* 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2014). Indeed, congolli was the second most abundant species sampled in 2015/16 (~27% of the total catch) after bony herring. Nonetheless, the total number sampled ($n$ = 76,729), as well as standardised abundance at most sites, had decreased from that sampled in 2014/15 ($n$ = 212,284) and 2013/14 ($n$ = 104,462). Common galaxias was also sampled in high abundance, relative to most previous sampling years, but a decline in total number ($n$ = 22,733), and standardised abundance at several sites, relative to 2014/15 ($n$ = 30,367) was also observed. Whilst no ageing of fish was conducted in 2015/16, length-at-age data from previous years (Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2011, Bice *et al.* 2012), indicate that typically >90% of all individuals sampled for both species, in each month, were newly recruited YOY. As such, ecological targets defined as part of the TLM Condition Monitoring Refinement Project (Robinson 2014) that relate to the annual abundance of upstream migrating YOY congolli and common galaxias were achieved in 2015/16.

Successful recruitment of catadromous species in 2015/16, relative to most preceding years, was likely a result of a combination of two mechanisms: 1) hydrological connectivity between freshwater, estuarine and marine environments throughout 2015/16 and subsequently, favourable conditions for migration, spawning and survival of larvae/juveniles under brackish salinities (Whitfield 1994, Gillanders and Kingsford 2002); and 2) relatively high spawning output as a result of high abundance of reproductively mature adults. Recruitment and subsequent YOY abundance steadily increased from 2010/11 to 2014/15, following reinstatement of freshwater discharge and high levels of connectivity. A trend of increasing abundance of YOY over this period likely reflected cumulative benefits of multiple consecutive years of enhanced connectivity. The lack of connectivity and reduced recruitment of congolli and common galaxias from 2007–2010 may have resulted in a depleted population of reproductively mature adults. As such, while recruitment was enhanced following the resumption of freshwater flow in 2010/11, the number of juveniles produced may have been limited by the adult spawning biomass. Congolli typically mature at 3–4 years of age (Hortle 1978) and thus, the adult spawning population in winter 2014

and 2015 was likely abundant and comprised, in large part, of fish that were recruited in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13. Whilst there are no projects specifically investigating the demographics of congolli populations in the freshwater Lower Lakes, there are data to suggest that reproductively mature fish were abundant in 2015 (Wedderburn pers comm.; SARDI unpublished data). These results highlight the importance of providing freshwater discharge to the Coorong on an annual basis and the influence of consecutive 'favourable' years on population dynamics of diadromous fishes.

Despite generally high abundances of YOY congolli in 2015/16, declines in abundance at several sites relative to 2014/15, is potentially related to declines in system productivity. The fact that connectivity was mostly facilitated throughout winter 2015, suggests these declines were unrelated to partial obstruction of adult spawning migrations. Similar to sandy sprat, reduced system productivity and food resource availability with declining freshwater discharge, may relate to congolli also. The early life history of congolli is poorly understood, but the abundance of juveniles, prior to upstream migration, is likely dictated by growth and survival of earlier life history stages, which is commonly related to prey availability and productivity for fishes (Houde 1997). Such a pattern and process is consistent with declining freshwater discharge to the Coorong and a general shift in fish-related ecological character from that of high-flow to a low-flow period.

Variability in the abundance of congolli was observed between months in 2015/16. Peak abundance occurred at all sites in either November or December 2015, and generally declined through January and February. This is consistent with monitoring of the fishways in previous years, when the months November–January have typically yielded the greatest abundance of this species (Bice and Zampatti 2014). Nonetheless, lower numbers of individuals migrate upstream prior to (i.e. October) and following (i.e. February) this peak period (Zampatti *et al.* 2012).

Intra-annual variability in the abundance of common galaxias was greater than that for congolli and not consistent between sites. In particular, abundance at Goolwa peaked in November and January, whilst at Tauwitchere, peaks in abundance were observed in both November and February. Sampling has often not been conducted in February in previous years, but a spike in abundance during this month is surprising given abundance typically peaks between October and December (Zampatti *et al.* 2010, Bice and Zampatti 2014, 2015). Barrage discharge increased from ~5 $ML.d^{-1}$ to ~500 $ML.d^{-1}$ at Tauwitchere Barrage, immediately prior to sampling in February, and may have resulted in downstream transport of common galaxias and subsequent return upstream movements, or generally greater attraction of individuals to this fishway. Nevertheless,

common galaxias are generally considered to exhibit flexible reproduction (McDowall *et al.* 1994, Chapman *et al.* 2006), with spawning, recruitment and upstream migration potentially occurring over a broad season (up to six months) at the Murray Barrages (Bice *et al.* 2012).

### *Anadromous species*

No short-headed lamprey or pouched lamprey were sampled in spring/summer 2015/16, but substantial numbers of pouched lamprey were sampled in winter 2015. As such, the ecological target relating to annual migration of pouched lamprey (Robinson 2014), was met in 2015/16. The target was not met for short-headed lamprey, but sampling may not have been appropriately timed for the detection of short-headed lamprey.

Whilst the migration of pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey at the Murray Barrages, and more broadly in the MDB, is poorly understood, a model for the movement of these species is beginning to emerge. Capture of pouched lamprey in all years in which specific winter monitoring (June–August) has been undertaken, as well as knowledge of migration from other river systems (McDowall 1996), suggests winter is the key upstream migration period for this species. Alternatively, we suspect peak upstream migration of short-headed lamprey at the Murray Barrages likely occurs slightly later, in late winter–early spring, which is consistent with peak migration in other systems in southeastern Australia (McDowall 1996). This period is typically not sampled during specific winter monitoring or annual spring/summer sampling, which generally commences from mid-October.

Assessment of the status of lamprey species is reliant on sampling during specific periods. As such, we propose that in years when monitoring is conducted from June to August, a confident assessment of pouched lamprey status may be achieved. Nonetheless, rigorous assessment of the status of short-headed lamprey likely requires sampling from August to November. Knowledge of the key migration periods and sampling regime in any given year must be considered when assessing the achievement of ecological targets related to lamprey.

## 4.3. Implications for management and operation of the barrages and fishways

Data collected from this project from 2006–2016 (Bice *et al.* 2007, 2012, Jennings *et al.* 2008, Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2011, 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2014, 2015) and related projects (Jennings *et al.* 2008, Zampatti *et al.* 2011), provide fundamental knowledge to inform the operation of the Murray Barrages and associated fishways to aid in the conservation and restoration of native fish populations in the MDB. Indeed specific periods of peak migration can be identified for different life stages of diadromous species, which require movement between freshwater and marine/estuarine environments to complete their lifecycle. These periods should be prioritised for freshwater releases and fishway operation.

Newly recruited YOY congolli and common galaxias migrate upstream during spring/summer, but there are often subtle differences in the timing of peak migration. Peak migration of congolli in 2015/16 occurred at all sites during November and December, consistent with previous years of freshwater flow and connectivity (i.e. 2006/07 and 2010–2015) (Bice *et al.* 2007, 2012, Zampatti *et al.* 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2015). In contrast, peak abundance of upstream migrating common galaxias differed between sites, occurring in November, January and February depending on location. In previous years of freshwater flow and connectivity, peak migration was observed in October and November. Whilst both of these species migrate upstream in greatest numbers during specific months, migrations generally occur over a protracted period from September–March (Bice *et al.* 2007).

Adult congolli and common galaxias must also migrate downstream to spawn. The key downstream migration period for adult congolli occurs from June–August (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). The downstream migration of adult common galaxias has not been directly observed in the Lower Lakes and Coorong, but the presence of reproductively active fish (i.e. ‘running ripe’) near the barrages in winter (SARDI unpublished data), suggests peak downstream migration also occurs at this time. Additionally, analyses of the otolith microstructure of newly recruited upstream migrants suggests peak spawning activity of congolli in July–August and common galaxias in August–September (Bice *et al.* 2012). The provision of open ‘barrage gates’, rather than just open fishways, is likely important over this period. Vertical-slot fishways, like those present at the Murray Barrages, are designed to facilitate upstream migrations and thus, are generally poor at facilitating downstream migrations (Clay 1995, Larinier and Marmulla 2004). Rates of downstream migration are likely to be far greater through open barrage gates.

Peak upstream migration of pouched lamprey also appears to occur during winter, with peak migration of short-headed lamprey likely extending into spring. This species, however, is rare and the data collected on timing of migration in the current project should be considered preliminary. Furthermore, timing of downstream migration of newly metamorphosed juveniles in the region is unknown, but in other regions also occurs in winter (McDowall 1996).

Periods of peak migration for diadromous species indicate important seasons and months for barrage and fishway operation, but prioritising locations (i.e. specific barrages) for freshwater releases, in relation to fish migration, is more difficult. Whilst there were specific differences in the abundance of upstream migrating congolli and common galaxias between sites, overall, abundances downstream of Goolwa and Tauwitchere Barrages were not substantially different. YOY catadromous fish are likely to respond to salinity and olfactory cues from freshwater discharge during their upstream migration, and moderate–high abundances at Goolwa and Tauwitchere potentially reflect consistent freshwater discharge, and thus, attraction at both of these locations during the study period. In support of this hypothesis, in 2009/10, upstream migrating common galaxias were moderately abundant at the Goolwa vertical-slot, but absent from sites at Tauwitchere Barrage (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). No freshwater was discharged from Tauwitchere in 2009/10 but small volumes were released at Goolwa during navigation lock operation, which occurred in association with the Goolwa Channel Water Level Management Plan (Bice and Zampatti 2011). This suggests that these species migrate and accumulate where freshwater is being discharged and thus, the actual release location (i.e. barrage) may not be of major importance, but rather releases should be prioritised to barrages where effective fish passage is facilitated.

Of the fishways sampled in our study, the Goolwa vertical-slot fishway and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot fishway successfully facilitate the upstream passage of YOY common galaxias and congolli (Zampatti *et al.* 2012). Nonetheless, new fishways were recently completed and assessed on Goolwa, Boundary Creek and Ewe Island Barrages. These fishways also successfully passed YOY common galaxias and congolli, among other species (Bice *et al.* 2016). As such, releases should currently be prioritised to these barrages, and in particular the fishways and gates immediately adjacent the fishways. Further fishways have been constructed or are planned for construction on Goolwa, Mundoo and Tauwitchere Barrages. Upon assessment of the effectiveness of these new fishways (planned for 2016/17), and determination of differences in species utilisation between fishways, an operations plan could be developed to inform the order of closing/opening fishways during times of water scarcity, to maximise fish passage benefits.

Operating the barrages and their respective fishways in a manner that enhances fish migration is fundamental to the sustainability of fish populations, particularly diadromous species in the MDB. Suggestions for future barrage and fishway operation, considering fish migration, are summarised below:

1) Freshwater discharge and operation of all fishways on Goolwa and Tauwitchere Barrages should occur, at a minimum, from June–January to: 1) allow for downstream spawning migrations of congolli and common galaxias and upstream migrations of pouched lamprey from June to August; 2) allow for upstream migrations of short-headed lamprey from August to November; and 3) allow for the upstream migrations of YOY congolli and common galaxias (and other species) from October to January. Where possible, attraction flow should be provided from barrage gates immediately adjacent to each fishway. If discharge is being decreased at Tauwitchere, gates adjacent the small vertical-slot fishway should be the last to 'shut-down' as this fishway is the most effective at passing small-bodied fishes.
2) In addition to the operation of fishways from June to August, barrage gates should be opened on each of these barrages (and potentially at other barrages), to facilitate downstream migrations of catadromous species and provide attraction flow for upstream migrations of anadromous species. Barrage gates are likely to far better facilitate downstream movement than fishways.
3) Fishways should remain open for at least two months following the complete closure of barrage gates to facilitate the return migrations of freshwater fishes. Freshwater fish such as Australian smelt, bony herring and flat-headed gudgeon remained common in the Coorong 2015/16, but following the closure of all barrage gates and increasing salinity within the Coorong, will attempt to migrate back into freshwater habitats.
4) Lakes and barrages operating strategies should be reviewed following the construction and assessment of new fishways on the Murray Barrages.

# 5. CONCLUSION

Freshwater flows and connectivity between freshwater and marine environments play a crucial role in structuring the composition of estuarine fish assemblages and facilitating the recruitment of catadromous congolli and common galaxias, among other species, in the Coorong estuary. During 2006–2010, the cessation of freshwater discharge to the Coorong estuary led to increases in salinity, a loss of fish species diversity and reduced abundances, particularly in the case of diadromous and estuarine species. Alternatively, the year 2015/16 represented the sixth consecutive year of consistent freshwater inflows post the Millennium Drought. Whilst less freshwater was discharged in 2015/16 relative to 2010–2015, brackish salinities prevailed in the Coorong estuary and fish assemblages were typical of a spatio-temporally dynamic temperate estuary under the influence of freshwater flow. Nonetheless, there was some evidence to suggest a trajectory towards the assemblage of 2006/07, which was characterised by decreasing freshwater discharge and increasing salinities prior to the complete closure of the barrages in March 2007. Assemblages will likely continue on this trajectory should discharge remain low.

Abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias remained high, albeit less so than 2014/15, with the majority of individuals sampled representing newly recruited YOY. Whilst no fish were aged in 2015/16, high levels of connectivity and freshwater inflows throughout 2015/16 likely facilitated protracted spawning seasons and provided conditions conducive to larval/juvenile survival and subsequently recruitment. Furthermore, successful recruitment in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13 likely led to increases in the adult spawning population of 2015, which in turn led to high spawning output and subsequent high abundance of YOY of catadromous species in 2015/16. These data indicated that the revised ecological objective (F-1) – '*Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'* (Robinson 2014), and more specifically (a) – '*promote the successful migration and recruitment of catadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong',* was achieved in 2015/16. Furthermore, (b) – '*promote the successful spawning migration of anadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong',* was achieved for pouched lamprey, but not short-headed lamprey, in 2015/16. Targeted sampling is likely required to adequately determine the status of both lamprey species.

The current project has contributed to a greater understanding of the dynamics of fish assemblages in the Coorong in association with variable freshwater discharge. Such data will

form a basis for determining the status and trajectories of fish assemblages and populations in the Coorong estuary into the future.

## REFERENCES

Anderson, M. J., R. N. Gorley and K. R. Clarke (2008). PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods, PRIMER-E: Plymouth, UK.

Baptista, J., F. Martinho, M. Dolbeth, I. Viegas, H. Cabral and M. Pardal (2010). Effects of freshwater flow on the fish assemblage of the Mondego estuary (Portugal): comparison between drought and non-drought years. Marine and Freshwater Research **61**: 490-501.

Barletta, M., A. Barletta-Bergan, U. Saint-Paul and G. Hubold (2005). The role of salinity in structuring fish assemblages in a tropical estuary. Journal of Fish Biology **66**: 45-72.

Barrett, J. and M. Mallen-Cooper (2006). The Murray River's 'Sea to Hume Dam' fish passage program: Progress to date and lessons learned. Ecological Management and Restoration **7**: 173-183.

Beck, M. W., K. L. Heck, K. W. Able, D. L. Childers, D. B. Eggleston, B. M. Gillanders, B. Halpern, C. Hays, K. Hoshino, T. J. Minello, R. J. Orth, P. F. Sheridan and M. P. Weinstein (2001). The identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. BioScience **51**: 633-641.

Benjamini, Y. and D. Yekutieli (2001). The control of false discovery rate under dependency. Annals of Statistics **29**: 1165-1188.

Bice, C., P. Jennings and B. Zampatti (2007). Fish movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes: 2006/07 progress report, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide, 48pp. SARDI Publication No. 2007/000555-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 232.

Bice, C. and B. Zampatti (2011). Response of fish to the 'Goolwa Channel Water Level Management Plan': 2009-2011, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2010/000703-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 541, 45 pp.

Bice, C. M., D. Furst, S. Lamontagne, R. L. Oliver, B. P. Zampatti and A. Revill (2016). The influence of freshwater discharge on productivity, microbiota community structure and trophic dynamics in the Murray estuary: evidence of freshwater derived trophic subsidy in the sandy sprat, Goyder Institute for Water Research Technical Report Series No. 15/40, Adelaide, South Australia.

Bice, C. M. and B. P. Zampatti (2014). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2013/14, South Australian Research and Development Institute

(Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-4. SARDI Research Report Series No. 800. 61pp.

Bice, C. M. and B. P. Zampatti (2015). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2014/15, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI publication No. F2011/000186-5. SARDI Research Report Series No. 862. 64 pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti and F. Fredberg (2016). Assessment of the biological effectiveness of newly constructed fishways on the Murray Barrages, South Australia: Progress Report 2016, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2016/000452-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 923. 55pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti, P. R. Jennings and P. Wilson (2012). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2011/12, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-3. SARDI Research Report Series No. 680. 53pp.

Chapman, A., D. L. Morgan, S. J. Beatty and H. S. Gill (2006). Variation in life history of land-locked lacustrine and riverine populations of *Galaxias maculatus* (Jenyns 1842) in Western Australia. Environmental Biology of Fishes **77**: 21-37.

Clay, C. H. (1995). Design of fishways and other fish facilities. Boca Raton, Lewis.

CSIRO (2008). Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin., Report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia, 67pp**:** 67.

Day, J. W., C. A. S. Hall, W. M. Kemp and A. Yanez-Aracibia (1989). Estuarine Ecology, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

DEWNR (2015). DEWNR Unpublished Data. Department of Environment Water and Natural Resources

Dufrene, M. and P. Legendre (1997). Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. Ecological Monographs **67**: 345-366.

Flemer, D. A. and M. A. Champ (2006). What is the future fate of estuaries given nutrient over-enrichment, freshwater diversion and low flows? Marine Pollution Bulletin **52**: 247-258.

Gaughan, D. J., W. J. Fletcher, R. J. Tregonning and J. Goh (1996). Aspects of the biology and stock assessment of the whitebait, *Hyperlophus vittatus*, in South Western Australia, WA Fisheries Research Report 108. 127 pp.

Geddes, M. C. (1987). Changes in salinity and in the distribution of macrophytes, macrobenthos and fish in the Coorong Lagoons, South Australia, following a period of River Murray flow. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia **108**: 173-181.

Geddes, M. C. and A. J. Butler (1984). Physicochemical and biological studies on the Coorong Lagoons, South Australia, and the effect of salinity on the distribution of the macrobenthos. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia **108**: 51-62.

Giatas, G. C. and Q. Ye (2016). Conceptual food-web models for the Coorong: A focus on fishes and the influence of freshwater inflows, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2016/000124-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 892.

Gillanders, B. and M. Kingsford (2002). Impacts of changes in flow of freshwater on estuarine and open coastal habitats and the associated organism. Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review **40**: 233-309.

Goecker, M. E., J. F. Valentine, S. A. Sklenar and G. I. Chaplin (2009). Influence from hydrological modification on energy and nutrient transference in a deltaic food web. Estuaries and Coasts **32**: 173-187.

Gomon, M., D. Bray and R. Kuiter, Eds. (2008). Fishes of Australia's Southern Coast, Reed, New Holland, Sydney.

Hortle, M. (1978). The ecology of the sandy, *Pseudaphritis urvillii,* in south-east Tasmania, Honours thesis, University of Tasmania.

Houde, E. D. (1997). Fish early life history dynamics and recruitment variability. American Fisheries Society Symposium **2**.

Jennings, P., B. Zampatti and C. Bice (2008). Fish movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes 2007/08 Progress Report, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Research Report Series No. F2007/000555-2. SARDI Publication No. 302. 47pp

Jennings, P., B. Zampatti, I. G. Stuart and L. J. Baumgartner (2008). Fish passage at the Murray barrages. The sea to Lake Hulme: Restoring fish passage in the Murray River, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra. MDBC publication No. 32/08**:** 62-77.

Kingsford, R. T., K. F. Walker, R. E. Lester, W. J. Young, P. G. Fairweather, J. Sammut and M. C. Geddes (2011). A Ramsar wetland in crisis - the Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research **62**: 255-265

Kocovsky, P. M., R. M. Ross and D. S. Dropkin (2009). Prioritising removal of dams for passage of diadromous fishes on a major river system. River Research and Applications **25**: 107-117.

Kupschus, S. and D. Tremain (2001). Associations between fish assemblages and environmental factors in nearshore habitats of a subtropical estuary. Journal of Fish Biology **58**: 1383-1403.

Lamberth, S. J., L. van Niekerk and K. Hutchings (2008). Comparison of and the effects of altered freshwater inflow on fish assemblages of two contrasting South African estuaries: The cool-temperate Oliphants and the warm-temperate Breede. African Journal of Marine Science **30**: 311-336.

Larinier, M. and G. Marmulla (2004). Fish passages: types, principles and geographical distribution - an overview. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the Management of Large Rivers for Fisheries. RAP publication 2004/17, vol. II. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand, pp 183-206. R. L. Welcomme and T. Petr.

Lassalle, G. and E. Rochard (2009). Impact of twenty-first century climate change on diadromous fish spread over Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. Global Change Biology **15**: 1072-1089.

Lonergan, N. R. and S. E. Bunn (1999). River flows and estuarine ecosystems: implications for coastal fisheries from a review and a case study of the Logan River, southeast Queensland. Australian Journal of Ecology **24**: 431-440.

Lucas, M. and E. Baras (2001). Migration of Freshwater Fishes, Blackwell Sciences, Oxford.

Mallen-Cooper, M. (2001). Fish passage options for the Murray Barrages, Fishways Consulting Services, Sydney

Martinho, F., R. Leitao, I. Viegas, M. Dolbeth, J. M. Neto, H. N. Cabral and M. A. Pardal (2007). The influence of an extreme drought event in the fish community of a southern Europe temperate estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science **75**: 537-546.

McCune, B. and M. Mefford (2006). PC-ORD. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data, Version 5.0. MjM Software Design, Glendon Beach, Oregon.

McDowall, R., C. Mitchell and E. Brothers (1994). Age at migration from the sea of juvenile *Galaxias* in New Zealand (Pisces: Galaxiidae). Bulletin of Marine Science **54**: 385-402.

McDowall, R. M. (1988). Diadromy in Fishes - Migrations between Freshwater and Marine Environments, Croom Helm, London, UK.

McDowall, R. M., Ed. (1996). Freshwater fishes of south-eastern Australia. Sydney, Reed.

Narum, S. R. (2006). Beyond Bonferroni: Less conservative analyses for conservation genetics. Conservation Genetics **7**: 783-787.

Phillips, W. and K. Muller (2006). Ecological Character Description of the Coorong, Lakes Alexandrina and Albert Wetland of International Importance, Department of Environment and Heritage.

Potter, I. C., J. R. Tweedley, M. Elliott and A. K. Whitfield (2015). The ways in which fish use estuaries: a refinement and expansion of the guild approach. Fish and Fisheries **16**: 230-239.

Puckridge, J. T., F. Sheldon and K. F. Walker (1998). Flow variability and the ecology of large rivers. Marine and Freshwater Research **49**: 55-72.

Robinson, W. A. (2014). The Living Murray Condition Monitoring Plan Refinement Projecr: Summary Report, Technical Report to the MDBA, March 2015. 95 pp.

Stuart, I. G., Q. Ye, J. Higham and T. O'Brien (2005). Fish Migration at Tauwitchere Barrage: new options for fish passage, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra, 33pp.

Van Dijk, A., H. E. Beck, R. S. Crosbie, R. A. M. de Jeu, Y. Y. Liu, G. M. Podger, B. Timbal and N. R. Viney (2013). The millenium drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009): Natural and human causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. Water Resources Research **49**: 1040-1057.

Walker, D. (2002). What is possible: hydrology and morphology. In 'The Murray Mouth: exploring implications of closure or restricted flow, A report prepared for the Murray-Darling Basin Commission and Department of Water Land and Biodiversity Conservation**:** pp 85-92.

Whitfield, A. K. (1994). Abundance of larval and 0+ juvenile marine fishes in the lower reaches of three southern African estuaries with differing freshwater inputs Marine Ecology Progress Series **105**: 257-267.

Whitfield, A. K. (1999). Icthyofaunal assemblages in estuaries: A South African case study. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries **9**: 151-186.

Ye, Q., L. Bucater, D. Short and J. Livore (2012). Fish response to barrage releases in 2011/12, and recovery following the recent drought in the Coorong, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2012/000357-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 665. 81pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2010). Temporal variability in fish assemblage structure and recruitment in a freshwater deprived estuary: The Coorong, Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research **61**: 1298-1312.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2011). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes from 2006-2010, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 569. 43pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2011). Movements of female congolli (*pseudaphritis urvillii*) in the Coorong and Lower Lakes of the River Murray South Australian Research and Development Institiute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000333-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 577. 32pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2012). Fish assemblage response and fishway effectiveness at Goolwa, Tauwitchere and Hunters Creek Barrages in 2010/11, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 605. 66pp.